



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration  
NATIONAL OCEAN SERVICE  
**Office of National Marine Sanctuaries**  
**Stellwagen Bank National Marine Sanctuary**  
175 Edward Foster Road  
Scituate, Massachusetts 02066  
Tel: 781.545.8026 Fax: 781.545.8036

MEMORANDUM FOR: John Armor  
Director, Office of National Marine Sanctuaries

FROM: Ben Cowie-Haskell, HASKELL.BENJAMIN.D.1 Digitally signed by  
Deputy Superintendent 365820003 HASKELL.BENJAMIN.D.1365820003  
Date: 2018.09.20 10:42:52 -04'00'

THROUGH: Matthew Brookhart BROOKHART.MATTHEW.J.1365 Digitally signed by  
883366 BROOKHART.MATTHEW.J.1365883366  
Acting Regional Director, Northeast and Great Lakes Region  
Date: 2018.09.20 10:22:18 -04'00'

SUBJECT: Completion of Evaluation of Sanctuary Management Plan

Pursuant to section 304(e) of the National Marine Sanctuaries Act (NMSA; 16 U.S.C. § 1434(e)), the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Office of National Marine Sanctuaries (ONMS), Stellwagen Bank National Marine Sanctuary (SBNMS) staff conducted an evaluation of the progress made toward implementing the Stellwagen Bank Sanctuary Final Management Plan published in 2010. Sanctuary staff also considered the prioritization of management goals. Based on this review, ONMS has determined that no urgent or immediate revisions to the management plan or the regulations are needed at this time. This evaluation demonstrates the sustained relevance of the goals, objectives, and priorities of the existing management plan.

#### Background

The 2010 SBNMS management plan<sup>1</sup> was the product of a multi-year process with extensive public involvement. The management plan is comprised of action plans and was developed with a five to ten year planning horizon. National Marine Sanctuaries Act (NMSA) section 304(e) requires NOAA to review sanctuary management plans at intervals not exceeding five years (16 USC 1434(e)). Specifically, NOAA must (1) evaluate the substantive progress toward implementing the management plan and goals for the sanctuary; (2) include a prioritization of management objectives; and (3) revise the management plan and regulations as necessary to fulfill the purposes and policies of the NMSA.

#### Result of Evaluation

In fall 2016, SBNMS staff reviewed the substantive progress made in implementing the action plans designed to address the six priority issues identified in the 2010 SBNMS Management Plan. The result of this evaluation can be found in a spreadsheet populated by staff that records the level of completion for each of the strategies in the management plan.

The spreadsheet accompanies this memo and is summarized below. Note that there is some overlap between partially completed and ongoing strategies, so the total combined

<sup>1</sup> <https://stellwagen.noaa.gov/management/fmp/fmp2010.html>

number of strategies in each category may be higher than the number of strategies in each action plan.

The current management plan is organized into four themes, each subdivided into action plans:

1. Capacity building (four action plans)

Of the 33 strategies in these action plans, 17 were completed, six were partially completed, and 24 are ongoing. Notable achievements include the establishment of the SBNMS volunteer program in 2012 that now provides more than 1,000 hours each year by more than 150 volunteers to expand the sanctuary's reach, making progress toward mission-ready capacity through development of a strong partnership with the Office of Law Enforcement, and preparing for development of the Marine Operations Center.

2. Ecosystem protection (three action plans)

Of the 24 strategies in these action plans, three were completed, nine were partially completed, and 14 are ongoing. SBNMS has concentrated on building monitoring and research programs, including development of strong partnerships with sister agencies, universities, and other organizations.

3. Marine mammal protection (three action plans)

Of the 23 strategies in these action plans, 14 were completed, eight were partially completed, and 16 are ongoing. Some highlights include the use of Dtags<sup>2</sup> to understand underwater behavior of endangered whales better, including their interactions with prey and habitat, and the development of a mobile app that provides mariners with real-time information about the presence of endangered right whales along with information about required speed and management programs. In addition, the Sister Sanctuary Program was expanded and now provides joint research, monitoring, and conservation of humpback whales from their wintering habitat in the Caribbean with the summer habitats in the eastern and western Atlantic.

4. Maritime heritage management (one action plan)

Of the 20 strategies in this action plan, six were completed, six were partially completed, and 13 are ongoing. Of note is the exploration and documentation of historic shipwrecks, including listing of six sites (comprising seven wrecks) on the National Register of Historic Places.

Since the publication of the 2010 management plan, SBNMS has made significant progress in implementing the strategies associated with these action plans. Almost 70 percent of the strategies have been completed or partially completed. This means that most of the action plans contain strategies that were not completed and would benefit from further efforts. This lack of completion does not indicate that the goals and objectives of the management plan have lost relevance. Rather, a large number of

---

<sup>2</sup> DTAGs are miniature sound and movement recording tags designed for use on marine animals. See <https://www.soundtags.org/dtags/>

strategies are designed to be ongoing (e.g., monitoring programs, collaborative management, education programs, etc.), so while they were successfully implemented they cannot be considered “completed.”

The human and environmental setting has not changed significantly since 2010, and most strategies would appropriately continue as prioritized in the 2010 management plan. All four themes are still highly relevant and SBNMS will continue to pursue strategies to address them. The evaluation showed that the action plans of the 2010 management plan accurately reflect the current management priorities and resource protection issues for SBNMS and are adequate to continue guiding SBNMS operations and programs for the foreseeable future. SBNMS staff has already started working on a new condition report with partners. A subcommittee of the sanctuary advisory council (SAC) has started looking at potential future priorities to be explored during the next periodic management plan review. Overall, while revision would be appropriate to remove the 70 percent of completed strategies from the plan, there is no urgent or immediate need for revision because the remaining strategies continue to be pursued and the four management themes and underlying action plans all continue to be relevant for guiding operations and programs into the foreseeable future. No new or different strategies or themes have been identified during this evaluation. The aforementioned SAC subcommittee will help identify whether any new priorities should be established in the future.

As such, revisions at this time are not urgently needed and can be revisited during the next periodic evaluation that will follow completion of the new condition report and the SAC subcommittee's recommendations.

#### Conclusion

The completion of this evaluation of the 2010 SBNMS management plan satisfies the requirements of NMSA section 304(e). Based upon this review, no urgent or immediate revisions to the management plan or to the regulations are needed at this time. NOAA will use this evaluation and an updated condition report as the management and scientific information basis to undertake the next periodic management plan review. The result of this evaluation was shared with the SAC management plan subcommittee at its meeting on May 4, 2018.

---

Approved as written x  
Denied \_\_\_\_\_

Approved with modifications (See below) \_\_\_\_\_  
Request for additional information \_\_\_\_\_

John Armor / FOR  
John Armor  
Director, Office of National Marine Sanctuaries

09/28/2018

Date

Objective	Strategy	Implementation Status 2016				A=Completed B=Partially completed C= Ongoing D= No Action			
		A	B	C	D				
<b>CAPACITY BUILDING</b>									
	<b>ADMINISTRATIVE CAPACITY AND INFRASTRUCTURE</b>								
ADMIN 1 Strengthen Site Staffing and Program Support Capabilities	(1.1) Integrate staff capabilities with program needs.	1							
	(1.2) Hire additional staff and streamline organizational structure.		1						
	(1.3) Enhance operation of the SAC.			1					
	(2.1) Maintain and acquire vessels as necessary.	1							
	(2.2) Work with NMSP to develop and implement a long-range facilities plan.		1						
	(2.3) Maintain a database for sanctuary permitting.			1					
	(2.4) Maintain and enhance a SBNNMS diving program.	1							
	(2.5) Develop an effective enforcement program.		1						
	(3.1) Develop SBNNMS volunteer program.			1					
	(3.2) Maintain and Expand the SBNNMS Volunteer Diver Corps activities.				1				
	(3.3) Develop and support international exchange of volunteers between SBNNMS and other MPAs.	1							
	<b>Sub-total</b>	7	1	3	0	7	1	7	0
	<b>INTERAGENCY COORDINATION</b>								
ADMIN 3 Develop a SBNNMS Volunteer Program that Leverages Sanctuary Programs and Increases Site Visibility	(1.1) Re-establish discussions regarding a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) among SBNNMS, NOAA Fisheries NERO and the NEFMC to facilitate cooperation and coordination.			1			1		
	(1.2) Coordinate proposed activities with the NOAA Fisheries Service NERO.	1							
	(1.3) Facilitate cooperative research and outreach between SBNNMS and the NOAA Fisheries Service NEFSC.		1				1	1	
	(1.4) Evaluate the Memorandum of Agreement (MOA) between the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) and NOAA Fisheries Service for commenting on proposed activities occurring at the Massachusetts Bay Disposal Site (MBDS).			1			1		
	(2.1) Provide information via the web on the responsibilities and activities of multiple agencies with roles pertinent to the SBNNMS.				1		1	1	
	(2.2) Provide regular updates to the USCG Area Contingency Plans.	1					1	1	
	(2.3) Establish a mechanism for informal consultation with the EPA, NEFMC, MWRA, MADEP and MACZM Office on water quality issues.				1		1	1	
	(2.4) Update and continue to implement the sanctuary Cooperative Enforcement Program.	1					1	1	
	(2.5) Support continued meetings of the advisory council's Interagency Cooperation Working Group.						1	1	
	(2.6) Participate in the GovM Council and other regional initiatives.			1			1	1	
	(2.7) Participate on relevant advisory panels of the NEFMC.						1	1	
	(2.8) Depict Sanctuary boundaries in fishery management plans and related documents.							1	
	<b>Sub-total</b>	6	1	2			1	1	3
	<b>PUBLIC OUTREACH AND EDUCATION</b>								
	(1.1) Produce public outreach products and programs that best address sanctuary visibility needs.				1			1	



POE 1 Build Capacity for Outreach Programs that Increase Sanctuary Awareness, and Stewardship								
(1.1) Develop and implement outreach programs with stakeholder groups to increase sanctuary visibility and promote stewardship.	1							
(1.3) Work with the NMSP headquarters to develop and implement a SBNMS long-range facilities plan that prioritizes partnering opportunities with interpretive centers and articulates federal funding needs.	1							
(1.4) Establish a Media Outreach Program.	1							
POE 2 Build Capacity for Formal and Informal Education Programs that Support Sanctuary Management Goals								
(2.1) Develop an action plan for establishing education partnerships and identify the types of programs and objectives that would best be achieved.	1							
(2.2) Support K-12 Educational Programming.	1							
(2.3) Support Undergraduate and Graduate Education Programming.	1							
(2.4) Support Adult Education Programming.	1							
	<b>Sub-total</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>0</b>
ECOSYSTEM PROTECTION								
<b>COMPATIBILITY DETERMINATION</b>								
(1.1) Demonstrate the application of S-CAP.	1							
(1.2) Refine S-CAP by incorporating results of ongoing sanctuary monitoring.	1							
	<b>Sub-total</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>
Total Capacity Building Summary	18	3	6	6	17	6	24	5
ECOSYSTEM-BASED SANCTUARY MANAGEMENT								
EBM 1 Establish a Science Review Protocol								
(1.1) Work with the advisory council to establish a science advisory working group.	1							
(1.2) Convene a sanctuary science symposium.	1							
	<b>Sub-total</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>
EBM 2 Understand Ecosystem Structure and Function								
(1.1) Form a science consortium.	1							
(2.1) Design and implement an information management system.	1							
(2.2) Design and implement a web portal for public access to databases.	1							
	<b>Sub-total</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>
EBM 3 Protect Ecological Integrity								
(3.1) Define and operationalize the term ecological integrity.	1							
(3.2) Develop programs to monitor and evaluate ecological integrity within the sanctuary.	1							
(3.3) Establish research programs directed at informing EBSM.	1							
(3.4) Develop models that afford a predictive capability to better understand sanctuary dynamics and to guide EBSM.	1							
(4.1) Continue to convene the zoning working group of the advisory council to: (1) evaluate the adequacy of existing zoning schemes in the SBNMS; (2) address the scientific requirements to meet the goals of EBSM; and, if needed, (3) develop a modified zoning scheme including consideration of fully protected reserves.	1							
(5.1) Evaluate the need and feasibility for modifying the sanctuary boundary.	1							
	<b>Sub-total</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4</b>
EA 1 Reduce Impacts of Laying Cables and Pipelines								
(1.1) Establish minimum criteria for permit applications for the laying of cables and pipelines.	1							
(2.1) Develop a process to establish reference areas that serve as benchmarks for discerning human and natural impacts on habitat alteration.	1							
(2.2) Develop a science plan to assess and mitigate benthic habitat alteration.	1							
(3.1) Minimize bycatch and discard of all species, in all fisheries (commercial and recreational), by all gear types.	1							
(3.2) Determine the effects of the biomass removal of targeted species by commercial and recreational fishing on the ecological integrity of the sanctuary.	1							
	<b>Sub-total</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4</b>
EA 2 Reduce Alteration of Benthic Habitat by Mobile Fishing								
(1.1) Establish minimum criteria for permit applications for the laying of cables and pipelines.	1							
(2.1) Develop a process to establish reference areas that serve as benchmarks for discerning human and natural impacts on habitat alteration.	1							
(2.2) Develop a science plan to assess and mitigate benthic habitat alteration.	1							
	<b>Sub-total</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4</b>
EA 3 Reduce Impacts of Biomass Removal by Fishing Activity								
	<b>Sub-total</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4</b>





MHR 4 Develop and Implement a MHR Outreach and Education Program	(4.1) Identify and partner with regional organizations to conduct MHR exhibits and other outreach programs			1	1	1	
	(4.2) Develop and implement an artifact documentation and curation program through partnerships and relationships with local or regional maritime museums			1			
MHR 5 Assess Shipwrecks and Other Submerged Objects for Potential Hazards	(5.1) Establish an inventory of shipwrecks and submerged objects, inside and outside of SBNMS boundaries that may pose environmental threats to resources.		1				
	(5.2) Coordinate information exchanges pertaining to shipwrecks and other submerged objects as environmental threats with NOAA's HAZMAT division and the ONMS for the development of the SHIELDS and RUST database systems.		1				
	(5.3) Identify shipwrecks and other submerged objects to be examined with remote sensing technology and report findings to state and federal trustees.		1				
	(5.4) Establish a monitoring program for shipwreck and submerged objects that have been located and are considered a threat to SBNMS. Develop protocols for site evaluation and a timeline for future site monitoring.			1		1	
MHR 6 Facilitate Access to Modern Shipwrecks	(6.1) Disseminate information about modern shipwrecks.	1			1	1	
	(6.2) Develop and implement a mooring system on modern shipwrecks in collaboration with affected parties, regional, recreational SCUBA diving organizations, and regional SCUBA diving charter operators		1		1	1	
	<b>Sub-total</b>	7	0	9	6	6	<b>13</b>
							<b>6</b>