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## Preface

This document was prepared to provide detailed documentation on how various measurements were derived as reported for visitors to the Florida Keys/Key West in "Visitor Profiles: Florida Keys/Key West" (Leeworthy and Wiley 1996) and "Economic Contribution of Recreating Visitors to the Florida Keys/Key West" (English et al 1996). As a technical appendix, this document is intended for researchers that want to do further analyses with the visitor data and for researchers that may want to replicate the study in the future.

Chapter 1 provides details on the sampling methodologies and methods for estimating the total number of visitors or person-trips (visits) and the number of person-days of visitation. Chapter 2 documents the sample weighting applied to both the on-site and mailback samples. Chapter 3 provides details on the results of analyses conducted to determine the existence of nonresponse bias in the various mailback surveys. The corrections for nonresponse bias are included in the sample weighting explained in Chapter 2. Chapter 4 documents the methods used to estimate participation rates and the total number of participants in each activity by season and region. Chapter 4 also documents how intensity of use was estimated for a select list of 39 activities by region and season. Intensity of use was defined in terms of the number of separate person-days of activity and the number of hours of activity. Results presented in the Visitor Profiles report were extended in this appendix to include estimates for all 39 activities, in all four regions, in both seasons by substituting "best" estimates for items identified as having unreliable estimates of average days or hours per trip. In each case, these estimates had little influence on the totals for a more aggregated activity like all snorkeling because the sub-activity with an unreliable average for days or hours of activity, e.g. snorkeling from a rental boat, had low participation rates. Finally, Chapter 5 documents the methods used for estimating the economic contribution visitors had on Monroe County.

All project data and documentation will be distributed on CD-ROM. To obtain copies contact:
Dr. Vernon R. (Bob) Leeworthy
Project Leader
N/ORCA1
1305 East West Highway, SSMCIV Rm. 9124
Silver Spring, MD 20910
telephone (301) 713-3000 ext. 138
fax (301) 713-4384
e-mail: bleeworthy@seamail.nos.noaa.gov

This document and all other project documents can be obtained on the World Wide Web at the following address: http://www-orca.nos.noaa.gov/projects/econkeys/econkeys.html
Please note that it is a dash not a dot after www.
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## Chapter 1. Method of Estimating the Number of Person-Trips (visits) and Person-days

The sampling design used in the Auto, Air, and Cruise Ship Survey allows us to estimate the number of person-trips to the Florida Keys made by non-residents of Monroe County by season and mode of travel (access) to the Florida Keys. The measurement, "person-trips," must be differentiated from the number of visitors and the number of visitor days.

Concept of a Person-trip. For any given day, the number of person-trips and the number of visitors is the same. But once we expand the time period for estimation beyond one day, then the possibility exists that the same person can make more than one trip (visit). Because we interview visitors as they are leaving the Florida Keys (ending their visit), we count someone each time they visit the Florida Keys. This is the concept of a person-trip or visit. We can use these two terms interchangeably.

Number of Visitors. The number of person-trips (visits) and the number of visitors are two measurements that have long been a source of confusion. The State of Florida's Division of Tourism has long confused these two measurements. For the two measurements to be equivalent requires that for the given time period of estimation that each person only makes one visit (trip). Although this is true for the vast majority of visitors, it is not true for all visitors. In the Florida Keys, visitors during the July-August 1995 sampling period, made on average 5.12 trips annually, while visitors during the January-April 1996 sampling period made on average 2.42 trips annually. By dividing the total number of person-trips (visits) by the average number of trips (visits), for any given time period, we get an estimate of the separate number of visitors. That is, the separate number of different people that visited the Florida Keys during the given time period. We did not obtain the separate number of trips (visits) made by visitors each sampling season, so we cannot derive and estimate of the number of separate visitors by season. We can make such an estimate for the annual time period, however, the estimate is not needed for purposes of this study. For purposes of this study, we want an estimate of the total number of person-trips (visits) during each season. This estimate allows us to extrapolate average trip expenditures per person into total expenditures during the given time period for estimation. Also, when we estimate the percent of visitors that engaged in a certain recreation activity, we can extrapolate this into an estimate of the total number of visitors that did the activity during that time period.

Number of Person-Days. Another useful measurement is the number of person-days. Each visit (trip) may have a different length of stay. For day-trips, the concept of a person-day and a person-trip are thus equivalent. But many trips (visits) are for more than one day. In the Florida Keys, the average length of stay was 4.2 days per visit and 6.35 days per visit, for the JulyAugust 1995 and January-April 1996 sampling periods, respectively. Multiplying the average length of stay by the total number of person-trips (visits) yields an estimate of the total number of person-days for any given time period. Dividing the estimate of the total number of person-days by the number of days in the time period yields an estimate of the average number of visitors in the Florida Keys for the average day during that time period. This latter estimate could be used in assessing the "functional population" i.e., the number of people in the Florida Keys on a given day. The concept of a functional population is used for planning for facilities and services and in the Florida Keys, hurricane evacuation.

## Sampling Methodology

## Auto, Air, and Cruise Ship Sample

The Florida Keys has a special geographic feature which allowed us to design a sample to estimate the total number of person-trips (visits). The Florida Keys are a chain of islands located at the southern end of the Florida peninsula. Access is limited to one highway (U.S. 1), two airports (Marathon and Key West), and the cruise ship docks in Key West. People can also come by private boat, and they do, but this is less than one percent of total visitation.

Another fact that makes estimation of person-trips possible is that the Florida Department of Transportation (FLDOT) collects hourly traffic counts on the northbound lane of U.S. 1 at points where people are exiting the Keys. The airports also maintain air enplanement counts on all flights leaving the Keys, and the Port Authority maintains passenger counts for all cruise ships docking at or anchoring off Key West.

Restricted access and availability of total count data allowed us to design a sample from which we could estimate both person-trips (visits) and person-days. We did this for two seasons. We chose July-August 1995 as a sampling period that would be representative of visitation during the June-November 1995 season and January 15-April 151996 as representative of the December 1995 through May 1996 season. ${ }^{1}$

We used a stratified random sample design. Stratified across mode of access (Auto, Air, and Cruise Ship). Within mode of access, we sampled during different days of the week and times of the day for the auto and air samples. The cruise ships were on fixed schedules. For cruise ships, we attempted to get a representative sample of the different size ships that visit Key West.

We over-sampled the air and cruise ship passengers to ensure adequate sample sizes to estimate important project measurements separately for these two groups. A priori, we had little information on how to exactly stratify by mode of access, since no one had ever estimated the number of person-trips (visits) by mode of travel for the Florida Keys. So our sample quotas by mode of access are not likely to result in exact sample stratification (i.e., not the same distribution that exists in the real population). Therefore, post sample weighting will be required based on the estimates of the total number of person-trips (visits) by mode of access. ${ }^{2}$

Auto Survey. We randomly pulled vehicles from the traffic stream in the northbound right lane of U.S. 1 (at approximately the 105 mile marker). The parking lots of the Thom Thumb (at the corner of Taylor Drive and U.S. 1) and the Key Largo Elementary School were used during the July-August 1995 sampling period, and only the Thom Thumb store during the January-April 1996 sampling period. A permit was obtained from the FLDOT (permit \# 052-95) to conduct the survey. Both survey sites met requirements for safely getting vehicles off and back onto the highway.

Traffic signs were placed on both sides of the northbound lanes. The first set read "TRAFFIC SURVEY 1,000 FEET", the second set read "TRAFFIC SURVEY 500 FEET", and the third set read "BE PREPARED TO STOP". Police units, with their emergency lights on, were placed on both sides of the northbound lane to aid in slowing traffic. One officer pointed at a vehicle (vehicle chosen randomly) and directed the vehicle into the parking lot. Traffic cones were deployed to help direct the traffic into the parking lot. In the parking lot, the driver of the vehicle was greeted by a member of the Bicentennial Volunteers, Inc. ${ }^{3}$ The volunteer screened occupants of the vehicle using several criteria designed to select only non-residents of Monroe County that were leaving the Florida Keys (ending their visit), and had participated in some recreation activity (See Exhibit 1, Tally Sheet and Exhibit 2, the Blue Card containing the list of recreation activities). Those not meeting the screening criteria or that refused to be interviewed were quickly sent back onto the highway and tallied in the appropriate column of the Tally Sheet. The information obtained on the tally sheet allows us to translate the number of vehicles to the number of vehicles with recreating visitors.

There are a couple of other design aspects that required special treatment. First, for purposes of safety, the Florida Highway Patrol recommended that we only pull vehicles from the right lane. U.S. 1 is a four lane highway along the portion we sampled. Second, not all types of vehicles would be eligible to be pulled into the parking lots (tractor trailers, large commercial vehicles and buses). We did pull motor homes and vehicles pulling trailers (both travel trailers and boats). In order to be able to translate vehicle counts from the FLDOT on U.S. 1 into vehicles containing eligible visitors, we needed to be able to estimate the proportion of vehicles that were eligible to be selected by the officers and we needed to be able to test whether traffic in the right lane was any different from traffic in the left lane (type of vehicle). We gathered the necessary information using Tally Sheet number two (Exhibit 3).

Exhibit 4 is a calendar showing the dates and times the highway survey was conducted during both the July-August 1995 and January-April 1996 sampling periods. The highway survey was conducted on 24 days during the July-August 1995 period and on 34 days during the January-April 1996 period. Note that our sampling times were restricted to the hours between 9 am and 4 pm . This is extremely important because intercounty commuters (i.e., people that live inside Monroe County but work outside Monroe County and people that work inside Monroe County but live outside Monroe County) are not accounted for in this sample design. We had to supplement our sample design with estimates of intercounty commuters from the Census of Intercounty Commuters (U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of Economic Analysis, 1996).

There were two key issues for which many members of the community expressed concern about the conduct of the highway survey; police intimidation and traffic delays and/or accidents. Neither problem occurred due to the design and professional implementation by the Florida Highway Patrol, the Monroe County Sheriffs Department, and the Florida Marine Patrol. ${ }^{4}$ The only person (s) that came into contact with the occupants of vehicles were the Bicentennial Volunteers located in the parking lots. Traffic was never stopped on the highway. Vehicles were selected and directed into a parking lot.

Residents of Monroe County, non-qualifying visitors, or visitors that refused the interview were never delayed more than one or two minutes maximum. For most residents, the delay was only a few seconds. An interesting finding was that the survey worked "best" when traffic was relatively heavy. That is, even during the heaviest traffic periods, the traffic survey never resulted in a traffic backup.

Person-trips-Auto Survey: Recreating Visitors. We need five basic measurements in order to estimate the number of person-trips by recreating visitors accessing the Florida Keys by the highway:

1. Total traffic counts.
2. Proportion of traffic that was eligible to be pulled from the traffic stream.
3. Proportion of vehicles that was pulled from the traffic stream that contained visitors that were non-residents of Monroe County, that were ending their visit to the Florida Keys, and that did some recreation activity during their visit.
4. Number of eligible visitors per vehicle.
5. Number of intercounty commuters.

Total traffic counts. Total traffic counts are available for U.S. 1 on an hourly basis from the FLDOT. Exhibit 5 shows an example for July 1995. We obtained this information from the FLDOT for June 1995 through May 1996. Table A.1.1 summarizes the traffic counts by sampling period or season and by type and time of day.

Proportion of traffic pulled. Exhibit 3 shows the tally sheet used for obtaining the information on the proportion of the traffic that was eligible to be pulled from the traffic stream. Tour buses, school buses, commercial pick-ups and vans, and commercial and government trucks were not eligible to be pulled from the traffic stream.

Ten minute samples were taken alternatively between the left and right northbound lanes on U.S. 1. The tally person counted every vehicle in the lane during each ten-minute period. On a typical sampling day, four to five samples were taken on each lane. This allowed us to test for differences in the distribution by type of vehicle between the left and right lanes (remember, we only pulled vehicles from the right lane). We used a non-parametric test (Kolmogorov-Smirnoff, two-sample test). ${ }^{5}$ The test showed no difference between the left and right lanes except for weekdays during the July-August, 1995 sampling season. Because the differences were not great or general, we used the average of the left and right lane proportions on the total traffic counts on U.S. 1. Table A.1.2 summarizes the proportions of eligible sample-type vehicles by season and type and time of day.

Proportion of vehicles with recreating visitors. Exhibit 1 shows the tally sheet used for gathering the necessary information for estimating the proportion of eligible vehicles that contained recreating visitors who were ending their trip to the Florida Keys. This proportion is defined as all exiting visitors who did some recreation activity (column $7+$ column 8 ) divided by the total number of vehicles pulled. Note that we can also get an estimate of the proportion of vehicles containing visitors no matter what they were doing (participants and non-participants in recreation activity). This estimate is obtained by adding columns 6,7 and 8 and then dividing by the total number of vehicles pulled.

But the above estimate of the proportion of eligible vehicles that contained recreating visitors would not be correct since, as noted above, our sampling times did not include times when workers would be commuting to and from work. If this correction is not made, the proportion of recreating visitors would be biased upwards by a factor of two.

From the Census of Intercounty Commuters (U.S. Bureau of Economic Analysis 1996), we estimate that, during the morning, 2,016 residents of the Florida Keys cross the 106.5 mile marker on their way to work outside Monroe County. Also, during the afternoons, we estimate that 2,046 workers who are employed in the Florida Keys, but live outside Monroe County, cross the 106.5 mile marker on their way home. Table A.1.3 summarizes the proportions of recreating visitors by mode of access and season after adjusting for intercounty commuters.

Number of people per vehicle. Exhibit 6 shows the questionnaire that was used for the Auto, Air, and Cruise Ship Survey. This form took about 3-5 minutes to complete. The information relevant to the estimation of person-trips (visits) is the number of people in the vehicle. The number of people per vehicle can also be further broken down into the number of people age 16 and older and the number less than 16 years of age. For the July-August, 1995 sampling period there were an average of 2.85 recreating visitors per vehicle and, for the January-April, 1996 sampling period there was an average of 2.43 recreating visitors per vehicle. These averages were not significantly different.

Estimation for the Two Sampling Periods. It was hypothesized that there would be differences in both the proportion of eligible vehicles and the proportion of eligible vehicles containing recreating visitors for weekday and weekend traffic.

Further, that there would also be differences between morning and afternoon traffic on both weekdays and weekends. We estimated total traffic and the proportions of vehicles for each of the four time periods 1 ) weekday mornings (1:00 AM - 12:00 noon), 2) weekday afternoons (1:00 PM - 12:00 midnight), 3) weekend mornings (1:00 AM - 12:00 noon), and 4) weekend afternoons (1:00 PM - 12:00 midnight). We found statistically significant differences in most of the proportions.

Tables A.1.4-A.1.5 show how we estimate the number of person-trips (visits) for the two sampling periods using the total traffic counts for each time period and the estimated vehicle proportions and number of people per vehicle for each season.

Column 1 of Tables A.1.4-A.1.5 contains the total traffic counts on U.S. 1 at the 106.5 mile marker by type of day and time of day. Column 2 is the estimated proportion of eligible vehicles to be pulled from the traffic stream. Column 3 is the total number of eligible vehicles (Column $1 *$ Column 2). Column 4 is the estimated proportion of eligible vehicles that contained recreating visitors ending their trip to the Florida Keys. Column 5 contains the total number of vehicles with recreating visitors exiting the Keys (Column 3 * Column 4). Column 6 contains the average number of people per vehicle. This did not vary by type of day or time of day. Column 7 contains our estimated number of person-trips (Column $5 *$ Column 6).

Estimation for the Two Six-month Seasons. As noted above, we used the July - August 1995 sample to estimate person-trips (visits) and person-days for the June - November 1995 season and the January - April 1996 sample to estimate for the December 1995 - May 1996 season. To do this required additional adjustments. We believe that it would not be correct to assume that the proportions of traffic would be constant across time periods. Our samples were taken during the busiest portions of each of the six-month seasons. So, we believe, that during the slower months in each season, that residents would be a constant number making the proportion of traffic that is recreating visitors smaller. We call this the constant resident assumption. Commuters who live outside the Florida Keys and work inside the Keys were allowed to vary with the increases and decreases in auto traffic within each season. Tables A.1.6 and A.1.7 show how we derived our estimates for auto visitors for the June - November 1995 and December 1995 - May 1996 seasons using the constant resident assumption.

Table A.1.6 shows that during the time period from June through November 1995 there were over 1.8 million vehicles that traveled on the northbound lane of U.S. 1. Between 85.64 and 98.67 percent of those vehicles were eligible vehicles for sampling. Over the June-November 1995 period, there were about 1.7 million eligible vehicles (about 91.5 percent). We estimate that over 345 thousand of those vehicles contained recreating visitors or about 20.4 percent of the eligible vehicles and about 18.7 percent of the total traffic on U.S. 1. With an estimated average of 2.85 people per vehicle, we estimate over 984 thousand person-trips (visits) for June-November 1995.

Table A.1.7 shows that during the December 1995 through May 1996 time period there were over 2.1 million vehicles that traveled on the northbound lane of U.S. 1. Between 86.86 and 97.97 percent of those vehicles were eligible vehicles for sampling. Overall there were about 1.9 million eligible vehicles (about 91 percent). We estimate that almost 417 thousand of those vehicles contained recreating visitors or about 21.57 percent of the eligible vehicles and about 19.56 percent of the total traffic on U.S. 1. With an estimated average of 2.43 recreating visitors per vehicle, we estimate over 1.013 million person-trips (visits) for the December 1995 through May 1996 period.

For the entire year (June 1995 through May 1996), we estimate almost 2 million person-trips (visits) were made to the Florida Keys by recreating visitors using the auto mode of access.

Person-trips Auto Survey: Non-recreating Visitors. Tables A.1.8-A.1.11 show the calculations for estimating the number of person-trips (visits) by non-recreating visitors. Although the estimates of non-recreating visitors were not an objective of the study, the information was collected to derive the estimates and some may find this useful. Below we will show how the information can be used in deriving the total visitor component of the "functional population".

During the June-November, 1995 time period, we estimate over 217.5 thousand person-trips (visits) by the auto mode of access for non-recreating visitors. This number excludes commuter workers (i.e. workers that live outside the Florida Keys). For the December 1995 through May 1996 period, we estimate over 294.16 thousand person-trips (visits) by non-recreating visitors. For the entire year (June 1995 through May 1996), we estimate 511.67 thousand person-trips (visits) by non-recreating visitors.

Person-trips Auto Survey: All Visitors. Combining our estimates for recreating and non-recreating visitors, we estimate about 1.2 million person-trips for the June-November 1995 season and about 1.3 million person-trips (visits) for the December 1995 through May 1996 season for a total annual estimate of over 2.5 million person-trips (visits) by the auto mode of access.

Airport Survey. We conducted sampling at both the Key West and Marathon airports. All flights out of both airports are carrying passengers leaving the Florida Keys. Exhibit 7 is a calendar showing the days and times we sampled flights and interviewed visitors for both the July-August 1995 and January-April 1996 sampling periods. Even though we sampled on different days of the week and time of days as in the highway survey, we did not develop separate estimates of the proportion of passengers by type and time of day. The reason is that air enplanement data are not available by type and time of day.

The Bicentennial Volunteers conducted all the interviews at the two airports. The volunteers set-up at the terminal gates and screened all passengers at the terminal using the questions on the Air Tally Sheet (Exhibit 8). Those that qualified for an interview and agreed to the interview were interviewed using the same questionnaire as the highway survey (Exhibit 6).

Person-trips Air Survey: Recreating Visitors. We only needed two measurements from the airport samples to estimate person-trips (visits) for visitors accessing the Florida Keys by the air mode of travel; 1) the number of air enplanements (people getting on planes leaving the Keys) and 2) the proportion of passengers that were recreating visitors.

Exhibit 9 shows the air enplanement counts for each month of the study period. Exhibit 8 is the tally sheet we used to gather the information necessary for estimating the proportion of passengers that were recreating visitors. Multiplying the estimated proportion of recreating visitors by the number of air passenger enplanements yields an estimate of the number of person-trips (visits) by the air mode of travel. Tables A.1.12 and A.1.13 summarize the estimation for different sampling periods and seasons.

During July-August 1995, 65.35 percent of the Key West passengers and 61.01 percent of the Marathon passengers were recreating visitors. For the June-November 1995 study period, we estimate 71,030 person-trips (visits) from the Key West airport and 8,494 person-trips (visits) from the Marathon airport for a total of 79,524 person-trips (visits) by the air mode of travel.

During the January-April 1996 sampling period, we estimate 74.74 percent of the Key West passengers and 73.14 percent of the Marathon passengers were recreating visitors. For the December 1995 through May 1996 study period, we estimate 124,246 person-trips (visits) from the Key West airport and 17,704 person-trips (visits) from the Marathon airport for a total of 141,950 person-trips (visits) by the air mode of travel.

Across both seasons, we estimate an annual total of 221,474 person-trips (visits) to the Florida Keys by the air mode of travel. About 88 percent of all the visits by the air mode of travel were made through the Key West airport. A slightly higher proportion of the Key West air traffic is made-up of recreating visitors.

Person-trips Air Survey: Non-recreating Visitors. Tables A.1.14 and A.1.15 show how we estimated person-trips (visits) for non-recreating visitors using the airport mode of access. Across both airports and season, the proportion of air enplanements that were non-recreating visitors were only between 1.32 and 3.67 percent. During the June-November 1995 season, we estimate only 1,946 non-recreating visitors. For the December 1995 through May 1996 period, we estimate 3,477 non-recreating visitors. For the entire year (June 1995 through May 1996), we estimate 5,423 persontrips (visits) made by non-recreating visitors using the airport mode of access.

Person-trips Air Survey: All Visitors. Combining our estimates of recreating and non-recreating visitors for the airport mode of access yields an estimate of about 81.5 thousand person-trips (visits) during the June-November 1995 season and about 145.4 thousand person-trips (visits) during the December 1995 through May 1996 season, for an annual total of about 227 thousand person-trips (visits) by the airport mode of access.

Cruise Ship Survey. All the cruise ships land their passengers in Key West. Smaller ships are able to dock at Mallory Square, Truman Annex Pier B, or at the Navy Mole. The Navy Mole began accepting cruise ships during the winter sampling period. The Navy Mole is also capable of docking larger ships such as the Ecstasy which during the summer season had to anchor in the channel and ferry passengers to shore at Truman Annex.

Cruise ships have fixed schedules. Ships docked at Mallory Square must depart before the daily sunset celebration. Most cruise ships are in Key West for half-a-day or less. During the entire year, there was only one over-night stay and only a few full-day scheduled stops. The Key West Port Authority keeps data on the number of passengers on each ship that lands in Key West (Exhibit 12). Two shipping agents handle all the cruise ships that land in Key West, Caribe Nautical and Maritime Services. Both shipping agents granted us permission to set-up on the docks and survey cruise ship passengers.

Because the cruise ships are self-contained (serve meals aboard) and have relatively short stays, and many ships have to ferry passengers to and from the ships to Key West (those anchored in the channel), we hypothesized that not all passengers that are on the cruise ship manifest get off the ship in Key West. Further, we also expected that a small proportion of passengers might be residents of Monroe County. And, to be consistent with our highway and airport surveys, we should screen-out cruise ship passengers that are residents of Monroe County.

We had to estimate the proportion of passengers that get off the cruise ships in Key West and the proportion of these passengers that were non-residents of Monroe County. Unlike the highway and airport surveys, all passengers that got off the ships were presumed to be recreating visitors. We never encountered anyone in our samples from the cruise ships that did not engage in at least one of the recreation activities on our list.

Proportion of passengers that get off the ships in Key West. The Florida Keys National Marine Sanctuary (FKNMS) recruited and supervised volunteers for the task of counting the number of passengers that got off the cruise ships in Key West. Hourly counts were obtained for each ship in the sample. We sampled five ships during the July-August 1995 sampling period and 10 ships during the January-April 1996 sampling period. We attempted to get a representative sample of different size ships especially with respect to the differences of whether they were anchored or docked.

A problem occurred for those ships docked at Mallory Square and at Truman Annex Pier B. Our counts generally exceeded the number of passengers on-board. It was not possible to keep count of people that got off and on the ship more than once. For these ships, we were forced to assume 100 percent of all passengers got off the ship in Key West.

For the ships that anchored and ferried their passengers to Truman Annex, the ferry drop-off location provided a situation where counts were better controlled. Few, if any, people went back and forth more than once. In none of these cases did 100 percent of the passengers get off the ships according to our counts, thus confirming our hypothesis.

During the January-April 1996 sampling period, the Navy Mole was used by the cruise ships. This is located across town from where the other ships land their passengers. The Conch Train was used to transport cruise ship passengers from the Navy Mole to downtown Key West. Our Bicentennial Volunteers were given permission to interview cruise ship passengers while they waited for the Conch Train for their return trip to the Navy Mole. The volunteers from the FKNMS were given permission to count the passengers as they got off the ship and onto the Conch Train at the Navy Mole. As with Mallory Square and Truman Annex Pier B, our counts resulted in an estimate that 100 percent of all passengers got off the ship in Key West. However, unlike the situations at Mallory Square and Truman Annex Pier B, the counting was much more controlled at the Navy Mole. It would appear that avoidance of the ferry ride is what keeps some cruise ship passengers from getting off the ships in Key West. So one need only worry about adjusting cruise ship passenger counts when the proportion of passengers on boats anchoring is significant.

Person-trips Cruise Ships. During July-August 1995, we estimate that 90.64 percent of all cruise ship passengers got off the ships in Key West and that 98.83 percent of them were recreating visitors. With 121,048 cruise ship passengers arriving during the June-November 1995 period, we estimate 108,434 person-trips (visits) to the Florida Keys by the cruise ship mode of travel (Table A.1.16).

During January-April 1996, we estimate that 94.81 percent of all cruise ship passengers got off the cruise ships in Key West and that 95.47 percent of them were recreating visitors. With 235,185 cruise ship passengers arriving during the December 1995 through may 1996 period, we estimate that 212,878 person-trips (visits) to the Florida Keys by the cruise ship mode of travel (Table A.1.16).

For the entire year (June 1995 through May 1996), we estimate 321,312 person-trips (visits) to the Florida Keys were made by the cruise ship mode of travel.

## Summary: Person-trips (visits)

Tables A.1.17-A.1.19 summarize our estimates of person-trips (visits) by type of visitor (e.g. recreating, non-recreating and all), by sampling period (July-August 1995 and January-April 1996), and by mode of access (e.g. auto, air and cruise ship). Tables A.1.20-A.1.22 summarize the same information for the June-November 1995 and December 1995 through May 1996 seasons.

June-November 1995. We estimate about 1.17 million person-trips (visits) were made by recreating visitors across all three modes of access to the Florida Keys. About 84.0 percent came by auto, 6.8 percent by air, and 9.3 percent by cruise ship. An additional 219.4 thousand person-trips (visits) were made by non-recreating visitors for a total of about 1.39 million persontrips (visits) by all visitors.

December '95-May '96. We estimate about 1.368 million person-trips (visits) were made by recreating visitors across all three modes of access to the Florida Keys. About 74 percent came by auto, 10.4 percent by air, and 15.6 percent by cruise ship. An additional 297 thousand person-trips (visits) were made by non-recreating visitors for a total of over 1.67 million person-trips (visits) by all visitors.

June '95-May '96. We estimate about 2.54 million person-trips (visits) were made by recreating visitors across all three modes of access to the Florida Keys. About 78.6 percent came by auto, 8.7 percent by air, and 12.7 percent by cruise ship. An additional 517 thousand person-trips (visits) were made by non-recreating visitors for a total of over 3.0 million persontrips (visits) by all visitors.

## Person-days

As discussed above, the concept of a person-trip (visit) is important for several purposes in the study. However, person-trips (visits) are not of constant length. The person-trip (visit) measurement doesn't tell us much about the relative congestion in the Keys during different seasons. As Table A.1.20 shows, there is very little difference between the estimated number of person-trips (visits) for the June-November 1995 and December 1995 - May 1996 seasons ( 1.17 million versus 1.368 million). But anyone familiar with the Florida Keys would readily attest to the fact that, on average, it is much busier during the December 1995 - May 1996 season than the June-November 1995 season.

Person-days is the appropriate measure to reflect the total demand placed on facilities and services by visitors to the Florida Keys. We can estimate person-days for each sampling period and season with measures obtained on the average length of stay for visitors by mode of access and season. Estimates of the average length of stay (measured in number of days) are summarized in Table A.1.23. These estimates were derived from the Auto, Air and Cruise Ship on-site samples. These estimates are for recreating visitors. Since we did not interview non-recreating visitors, we had to assume that non-recreating visitors have the same average length of stay as recreating visitors. Since the probability of engaging in a recreation activity is related to the length of stay, our estimates for non-recreating visitors will most likely be overstated or biased upwards. We can account for this upward bias by developing a range of estimates based on reducing the average length of stay for nonrecreating visitors by 50 percent. The estimate using the assumption that non-recreating visitors, on average, stay about half the number of days of recreating visitors will be called a lower bound estimate.

Person-days are derived by multiplying the estimates of person-trips (visits) by the average length of stay. Tables A.1.24A.1.29 summarize the results for different types of visitors (e.g., recreating, non-recreating, and all), by mode of access, and by sampling period or season.

Table A.1.29 contains the summary for all types of visitors during the June-November 1995 and December 1995-May 1996 seasons plus an annual total. We estimate that during the June-November 1995 season, there were between 4.64 and 5.83 million person-days of visitation in the Florida Keys. This translates into between 25 and 32 thousand visitors in the Keys on an average day. With a resident population of about 80 thousand, we estimate an average "functional population" of between 105 and 112 thousand people. This is an estimate of the number of people requiring facilities and services in the Keys on an average (not peak) day during this time period.

For the December 1995 - May 1996 season, we estimate between 9.4 and 10.4 million person-days of visitation. This translates into between 51 and 57 thousand visitors in the Keys on an average day during this season. Again, with a resident population of about 80 thousand, we estimate an average of between 131 and 137 thousand people per day during this time period.

As a comparison, Price Waterhouse and Wallace Roberts \& Todd prepared estimates of the "functional population" for Monroe County for the years 1985 and 1990 and forecasted this to the year 2010. For 1995, they forecasted a "functional population" (residents and visitors on a peak day) of 145,800 . This estimate is considerably higher than what we have estimated here for both seasons. The estimates here, however, are for an average, not peak day.

## Consistency Checks

Several consistency checks were performed to validate our estimates of total visitation. From sample data and our visitation estimates, it was possible to estimate campground usage, hotel, motel and vacation rental usage, total lodging expenditures, and expenditures on food \& beverages. For each of these items, official reported statistics exist with which we can compare our estimates to gauge whether they are reasonably accurate. This is only a rough test since the official reported statistics may contain under-reporting or other inaccuracies. For an example, see the guest editorial by Bernard Matthews on the number of vacation rentals (Solares Hill, October 3, 1996).

Campground Usage. Before estimating campsite usage, we compiled a list of campgrounds and number of campsites so campsite capacity could be calculated. Three sources were used: Trailer Life Campground/RV Park Services Directory 1995, The Monroe County Tourist Development Council (licensed campgrounds), and the State of Florida's, Department of Natural Resources 1991 outdoor recreation facilities inventory. From all three sources, it is estimated that there are 4,367 campsites in the Florida Keys (see Table A.1.30). Next our sample estimates of the percent of auto visitors that participated in camping were combined with our estimates of the number of auto visitors to estimate the number of person-trips of camping in each season. Estimates of the number of nights of camping per trip were then multiplied by the number of person-trips of camping to get an estimate of the number of person-nights of camping. This estimate was then divided by the average number per camping party to get the number of campsites used in each season. The number of campsites times the number of nights in each six-month season (183) yields an estimate of campsite capacity. Campsite usage divided by total campsite capacity yields an estimate of capacity utilization. It is estimated that capacity utilization was 51.52 percent for the year, 8.72 percent during the summer season and 94.31 percent during the winter season. These calculations are summarized in Table A.1.31.

Hotel, Motel and Vacation Rental Usage. Following similar methods followed above, we were able to estimate hotel and motel and vacation rental usage. For hotel, motel and vacation rental units, we obtained information on the number of units from the Florida Department of Business and Professional Regulation, Division of Hotels and Restaurants, the Monroe County Tax Collector's Office, Occupational Licensing Department, and from a guest editorial by Bernard Matthews, a Realtor specializing in vacation rentals, published in Solares Hill, October 3, 1996. Of importance here is that this editorial included estimates of 4,100 licensed vacation rental units and 4,000 estimated unlicensed rental units. We calculated capacity utilization using all licensed units, licensed and unlicensed vacation rentals ( 8,100 units), and rental units assuming only half the number of unlicensed units (total of 6,100 units). Table A.1.32 summarizes our calculations. Using the estimate of 13,239 licensed units, we estimate an annual capacity utilization rate of 66.73 percent ( 56.56 percent during the summer and 76.90 percent during the winter). For vacation rental units only using the 8,100 units estimate, we estimate an annual capacity utilization rate of 32.99 percent ( 31.24 percent during the summer and 34.74 percent during the winter). Using the 6,100 units estimate, we estimate an annual vacation rental utilization rate of 43.81 percent ( 41.48 percent during the summer and 46.13 percent during the winter). This estimate is close to the estimate of the average of 23 weeks of rental per unit used in the article by Bernard Matthews ( 23 divided by 52 weeks is about 44 percent).

Lodging and Food \& Beverage Expenditures. Another way of looking at consistency is to approach it from the expenditure/ reported sales approach. Using our visitation estimates and our estimates of average expenditures per person per trip for lodging and food \& beverages, we estimate total expenditures and compare these to reported sales from the State of Florida's Department of Revenue for Monroe County corresponding to our time period of estimation (June 1995-May 1996). For lodging (private lodging establishments only since government owned facilities revenues are not in those reported by the Department of Revenue), we estimated over $\$ 392$ million compared to almost $\$ 403$ million reported by the Department of Revenue. Thus using our estimates imply that recreating visitors account for 97.3 percent of reported lodging expenditures. If we include the revenue currently unreported from 2,000 unlicensed vacation rentals (the 6,100 units $=4,100$ licensed + 2,000 unlicensed) of $\$ 80$ million, our estimate is only 81.18 percent of reported plus unreported revenue. For food $\&$ beverages, we estimate recreating visitors accounted for 68 percent of reported sales and if we assume about 10 percent under reporting due to tips (which are included in visitor spending), our estimate is 61.82 percent of all sales. These calculations are summarized in Table A.1.33.

## Capacity Utilization Method of Visitor Estimation - A Comparison

Another consistency check is to compare our visitation estimates with estimates derived from an alternative method commonly used, called the capacity utilization method. This method of estimation requires estimates of the number of units of campsites, hotel, motel, and vacation rental units as we used above in our consistency checks along with capacity utilization rates from the industry or association representing the industry. Also required are estimates of the number of day-visitors and the number of visitors who stay with family and friends. A.T. Kearney, Inc. (1990) used this method for the Florida Keys. We used our surveys to estimate the number of day-visitors and the number of visitors staying with family and friends and the capacity utilization rates for each type of accommodation used by A.T. Kearney. We estimate three different scenarios based on different numbers of vacation rental units. Our estimates using the capacity utilization method range from 2.3 million to 2.7 million (see Tables A.1.34, A.1.35 and A.1.36). Thus, our estimate, derived using our estimation methodology of 2.54 million is within the range of estimates derived using this alternative method of estimating visitation.

## Visitation Estimates and the Economy of Monroe County

One of the primary objectives of this study is to estimate the economic contribution that recreation/tourism makes to Monroe County. Visitation estimates are crucial input into this estimation procedure. Over the past several years, there have been many visitor estimates that have circulated throughout Monroe County. Estimates of 2 million, 4 million and 6 million can be found in the socioeconomic impact assessment of the Draft Management Plan of the Florida Keys National Marine Sanctuary. Although these estimates likely refer to different time periods, only the 2 million estimate for 1990 by A.T. Kearney was coordinated with an attempt to estimate the corresponding economic contribution. As we will demonstrate, the estimates of 4 million and 6 million visitors are not consistent with the overall economy of Monroe County for the period June 1995 - May 1996. Table A.1.37 contains a summary of a set of consistency checks we performed using alternative visitation estimates. The table also contains our visitation estimate of 2.54 million and three alternative levels of visitation; 3 million, 4 million, and 6 million. We conclude that estimates of recreating visitors (remember we estimate a total of all visitors of a little over 3 million, that includes recreating and nonrecreating visitors) of 3 million and higher are not consistent with the economy of Monroe County for the period June 1995-May 1996.

The 4 million and 6 million visitor estimates can be easily rejected because they fail most, if not all, the consistency checks (see Table A.1.37). The 3 million estimate requires more context for this judgment. Except for lodging expenditures, the 3 million estimate may not at first seem inconsistent. The estimate does not yield estimates that exceed 100 percent for any item other than lodging expenditures and even this estimate is less than 100 percent, if we accept estimates of under reporting in the vacation rental market of 2,000 units and $\$ 80$ million.

As we delve further into the lodging issue, we looked at hotel, motel, vacation rental, and campsite capacity utilization rates. A combined capacity utilization rate for the year of 78.80 percent for hotels, motels, and vacation rentals is higher than weighted average capacity utilization rate used by A.T. Kearney (1990) of 75.3 percent (weighted average of 79.3 percent for hotels and motels and 44 percent for vacation rentals). This is not greatly different, but remember that the 78.8 percent capacity utilization rate is for all visitors (recreating and nonrecreating), whereas our estimates are for recreating visitors only. So, in our judgment, the 3 million recreating visitor estimate does not pass this consistency check. If we break-down the 3 million recreating visitor estimate by season, the corresponding capacity utilization rates would be 66.79 percent for the June - November 1995 season and 90.82 percent for the December 1995-May 1996 season. This would further reinforce the conclusion that 3 million recreating visitors is not consistent with hotel, motel, and vacation rental capacity utilization rates.

The campsite capacity utilization rate for the 3 million recreating visitor estimate of 60.83 percent is not too much higher than that reported by A. T. Kearney (1990) of 57 percent. However, when we did a break-down by season, the December 1995 May 1996 season estimate of capacity utilization was 111.37 percent. Thus, we conclude that the estimate of 3 million recreating visitors is not consistent with campsite capacity utilization.

The estimates for the percent of the economy for output/sales, income and employment associated with the estimate of 3 million recreating visitors may not seem inconsistent. None of the estimates exceed 100 percent and they are not that much higher than those for our estimate of 2.54 million recreating visitors. To understand why these estimates are inconsistent requires a better understanding of the entire Monroe County economy, especially the "basic" industries.

Basic industries are the driving force in an economy. Basic industries are characterized by the sources of demand for local goods and services originating outside the region of study. In this cases, from outside Monroe County. Tourists in this study are defined as nonresidents of Monroe County. So the demand for goods and services by tourists to Monroe County is
generated from income sources outside the county. There are several other "basic" industries in Monroe County. The retirement community is a basic industry because much of the income they receive (e.g. pensions, dividends, social security and medicare payments) are not related to income generating activities in Monroe County. However, this income received in Monroe County is spent on goods and services in Monroe County. Thus, the retirement community is a source of new money into the County and becomes a driving force in the local economy. The Keys as a "bedroom community" is also a basic industry. Residents of Monroe County that work outside the county bring income into the county and spend it on local goods and services. The military is also a basic industry. Federal dollars supporting operations and the wages and salaries of military personnel are to a large extent, spent locally. The final major basic industry is the commercial fishery. It is estimated that anywhere from 75 to 95 percent of the commercial landings in Monroe County are exported. This portion of the commercial fishery is thus a basic industry.

There have not been any detailed studies of all the basic industries in Monroe County to determine the contribution of each while ensuring that together they do not account for more than 100 percent of the economy, but there have been studies on several of these industries and there is some data that would give us a rough guide as to their possible cumulative total. Residents of Monroe County that worked outside Monroe County earned $\$ 116.5$ million in 1994. Military wages and salaries were $\$ 58.5$ million in 1994. A recent study done for the Monroe County Commercial Fishermen, Inc. by CEMR (1995) estimated that income generated by the commercial fishery is around $\$ 100$ million. Dividends, interest, rent, and transfer payments (most of this associated with the retirement community) was over $\$ 1$ billion in 1994. Not all of the bedroom community's income, retirement income, or military wages and salaries are spent locally in Monroe County but we could expect that a fairly high percentage of it is. Cumulatively, we could expect that these basic industries account for 35 to 40 percent of output/sales, 50 to 55 percent of income, and 50 to 55 percent of all employment in Monroe County. When put in the context of these estimates, the estimate in Table A.1.37 for the percent of output/sales, income and employment associated with the estimate of 3 million recreating visitors are not consistent with the Monroe County economy. Our estimate of 2.54 million recreating visitors is consistent with the Monroe County economy.

[^0]Table A.1.1 Total Auto Traffic Counts on U.S. 1 (MM106.5, Northbound lanes) By Sampling Period Season, and Type and Time of Day

|  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
| Sampling Period or Season/ | Traffic |  |
| Type and Time of Day | Counts | Percent |
|  |  |  |
| July-August 1995 | $\mathbf{6 7 6 , 4 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ |
| Week Day Mornings | 156,951 | 23.20 |
| Week Day Afternoons | 74,809 | 36.59 |
| Weekend Mornings | 197,117 | 11.07 |
| Weekend Afternoons | $\mathbf{1 , 4 6 4 , 9 7 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ |
| January-April 1996 | 394,712 | 26.95 |
| Week Day Mornings | 583,816 | 39.85 |
| Week Day Afternoons | 147,870 | 10.09 |
| Weekend Mornings | 338,578 | 23.11 |
| Weekend Afternoons | $\mathbf{1 , 8 4 8 , 4 5 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ |
| June-November 1995 | 474,964 | 25.70 |
| Week Day Mornings | 705,302 | 38.15 |
| Week Day Afternoons | 195,162 | 10.56 |
| Weekend Mornings | 473,026 | 25.59 |
| Weekend Afternoons | $\mathbf{2 , 1 3 0 , 7 2 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ |
| Dec. '95 - May '96 | 565,918 | 26.56 |
| Week Day Mornings | 839,975 | 39.42 |
| Week Day Afternoons | 220,942 | 10.37 |
| Weekend Mornings | 503,889 | 23.65 |
| Weekend Afternoons |  |  |
|  |  |  |

Source: Florida Department of Transportation

Table A.1.2 Proportions of Eligible Sample-type Vehicles on U.S. 1 By Season and Type and time of Day

Proportion of Sample-type Vehicles (\%)

Season/

| Type and Time of Day | Left Lane | Right Lane | K-S Test* |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |
| July-August 1995 |  |  |  |
| Week Day Mornings | 88.57 | 79.50 | Statistically different |
| Week Day Afternoons | 91.76 | 84.86 | Statistically different |
| Weekend Mornings | 97.92 | 100.00 | No difference |
| Weekend Afternoons | 97.14 | 99.50 | No difference |
| January-April 1996 |  |  |  |
| Week Day Mornings | 88.08 | 88.35 | No difference |
| Week Day Afternoons | 90.20 | 86.86 | No difference |
| Weekend Mornings | 97.01 | 97.97 | No difference |
| Weekend Afternoons | 96.09 | 96.48 | No difference |
|  |  |  |  |

[^1]Table A.1.3 Proportion of Recreating Visitors By Mode of Access and Season

|  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  | Non-exiting |  |
| Mode of Access/ | Recreating | Non-recreating |  | Recreating |
| Sampling Period | Visitors (\%) | Visitors (\%) | Residents (\%) | Visitors (\%) |

## Auto Visitors

July-August 1995

| Week Day Mornings | 16.75 | 7.50 | 69.48 | 6.27 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Week Day Afternoons | 22.63 | 44.00 | 31.21 | 2.15 |
| Weekend Mornings | 18.20 | 7.98 | 68.33 | 5.49 |
| Weekend Afternoons | 27.93 | 41.29 | 27.58 | 3.20 |
| January-April 1996 |  |  |  |  |
| Week Day Mornings | 22.25 | 7.12 | 53.10 | 17.53 |
| Week Day Afternoons | 22.05 | 42.35 | 28.44 | 7.16 |
| Weekend Mornings | 24.45 | 7.07 | 54.17 | 14.31 |
| Weekend Afternoons | 20.46 | 45.36 | 24.18 | 10.00 |

## Air Visitors

Key West

| July-August 1995 | 65.35 | 1.32 | 33.33 | N/A |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| January-April 1996 | 74.74 | 1.79 | 23.47 | N/A |
| Marathon |  |  |  |  |
| July-August 1995 | 61.01 | 3.67 | 35.32 | N/A |
| January-April 1996 | 73.14 | 2.07 | 24.79 | N/A |

Cruise Ship Visitors

| July-August 1995 | 98.83 | 0.00 | 1.17 | N/A |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| January-April 1996 | 95.47 | 0.00 | 4.53 | N/A |

Table A.1.4 Number of Person-trips (visits) By Mode of Access, July-August 1995: Recreating Visitors

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Type and Time of Day | Total Traffic | Proportion of Traffic Sample-type Vehicles | Total <br> Sample <br> Type <br> Vehicles | Proportion of Sample-type Vehicles With Recreating Visitors | Total <br> Vehicles <br> With <br> Recreating <br> Visitors | Average <br> Number <br> of People <br> Per <br> Vehicle | Total <br> Number of Person-trips By Recreating Visitors |
| Week Day Morning Week Day Afternoon Weekend Morning Weekend Afternoon | $\begin{array}{r} 156,951 \\ 247,509 \\ 74,848 \\ 197,117 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 85.64 \\ & 89.21 \\ & 98.67 \\ & 97.90 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 134,413 \\ 220,807 \\ 73,853 \\ 192,978 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 16.75 \\ & 22.63 \\ & 18.20 \\ & 27.93 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 22,514 \\ & 49,969 \\ & 13,441 \\ & 53,899 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.85 \\ & 2.85 \\ & 2.85 \\ & 2.85 \end{aligned}$ | $$ |
| Total | 676,425 | 91.96 | 622,051 | 22.48 | 139,823 | 2.85 | 398,496 |

Table A.1.5 Number of Person-trips (visits) By Mode of Access, January-April 1996: Recreating Visitors

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Table A.1.6 Number of Person-trips (visits) by Auto Mode of Access, June - November 1995: Recreating Visitors

## Step 1. Derivation of Resident Vehicles Per Day

|  | Proportion of <br> Traffic <br> Residents (\%) | Eligible <br> Vehicles | Number of <br> Resident <br> Vehicles | Number of <br> Resident Vehicles <br> Per Day ${ }^{1}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Week Day Mornings | 69.48 | 134,413 | 93,390 | 2,122 |
| Week Day Afternoons | 31.21 | 220,807 | 68,914 | 1,566 |
| Weekend Mornings | 68.33 | 73,853 | 50,464 | 2,804 |
| Weekend Afternoons | 27.58 | 192,978 | 53,223 | 2,957 |

Step 2. Derivation of Adjustment to Recreating Visitor Proportion Based on the Constant Resident Assumption

|  | Number of <br> Resident <br> Vehicles <br> Per Day | Eligible <br> Vehicles | Number of <br> Resident <br> Vehicles $^{2}$ | Proportion of <br> Traffic <br> Resident | Adjustment <br> to Recreating |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| June - November 1995 | 2,122 | 277,982 | 68.34 | Visitor <br> Proportion $(\%)$ |  |
| Week Day Mornings | 406,759 | 1,566 | 205,146 | 32.60 | - |
| Week Day Afternoons | 629,200 | 2,804 | 145,808 | 75.72 | -1.39 |
| Weekend Mornings | 192,566 | 2,957 | 153,764 | 33.20 | -7.39 |
| Weekend Afternoons | 463,092 |  |  |  | -5.62 |

Step 3. Derivation of Estimates for Extrapolation Months
Using the Constant Resident Assumption

|  | Adjusted <br> Proportion of <br> Recreating <br> Visitors $(\%)^{3}$ | Eligible <br> Vehicles | Number of <br> Vehicles with <br> Recreating <br> Visitors | Number of <br> Visitors <br> Per | Number of <br> Recreating |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| June, Sept., Oct., Nov. |  |  | Vehicle | Visitors |  |

Step 4. Estimates for June - November 1995
Number of Person-trips (visits)

|  | Number of Person-trips (visits) |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Type of Day | July - Aug 1995 | June, Sept., Oct. <br> \& Nov. 1995 | June - November 1995 |
| Week Day Mornings | 64,165 | 130,011 | 194,176 |
| Week Day Afternoons | 142,412 | 247,218 | 389,630 |
| Weekend Mornings | 38,307 | 36,574 | 74,881 |
| Weekend Afternoons | 153,612 | 171,747 | 325,359 |
| Total | 398,496 | 585,550 | 984,041 |

1. Number of resident vehicles divided by the number of days in July - Aug. 1995. There were 44 week days and 18 weekend days in the July - Aug. 1995 period.
2. Number of resident vehicles per day times the number of days in the June- November 1995 season. There were 131 week days and 52 weekend days in the June-November 1995 season.
3. Column 4, Table A.1.4 minus the adjustment to recreating visitor proportions from Step2.

Table A.1.7 Number of Person-trips (visits) by Auto Mode of Access, Dec. 1995 - May 1996: Recreating Visitors

## Step 1. Derivation of Resident Vehicles Per Day

|  | Proportion of <br> Traffic <br> Residents $(\%)$ | Eligible <br> Vehicles | Number of <br> Resident <br> Vehicles | Number of <br> Resident Vehicles <br> Per Day ${ }^{1}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Week Day Mornings | 53.10 | 348,728 | 185,175 | 2,128 |
| Week Day Afternoons | 28.44 | 507,103 | 144,220 | 1,658 |
| Weekend Mornings | 54.17 | 144,868 | 78,475 | 2,308 |
| Weekend Afternoons | 24.18 | 326,660 | 78,986 | 2,323 |

Step 2. Derivation of Adjustment to Recreating Visitor Proportion Based on the Constant Resident Assumption

|  | Number of <br> Resident <br> Vehicles <br> Per Day | Eligible <br> Vehicles | Number of <br> Resident <br> Vehicles ${ }^{2}$ | Proportion of <br> Traffic <br> Resident | Adjustment <br> to Recreating |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Dec. 1995-May 1996 | 2,128 | 278,768 | 55.75 | Visitor <br> Proportion $(\%)$ |  |
| Week Day Mornings | 499,989 | 1,658 | 217,198 | 29.77 | -2.65 |
| Week Day Afternoons | 729,602 | 2,308 | 120,016 | 55.45 | -1.33 |
| Weekend Mornings | 216,457 | 2,323 | 120,796 | 24.85 | -1.28 |
| Weekend Afternoons | 486,152 |  |  | -0.67 |  |

## Step 3. Derivation of Estimates for Extrapolation Months <br> Using the Constant Resident Assumption

| Dec. '95 \& May '96 | Adjusted <br> Proportion of Recreating Visitors (\%) ${ }^{3}$ | Eligible <br> Vehicles | Number of Vehicles with Recreating Visitors | Number of Visitors Per Vehicle | Number of Recreating Visitors |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Week Day Mornings | 19.60 | 151,261 | 29,647 | 2.43 | 72,042 |
| Week Day Afternoons | 20.72 | 222,499 | 46,102 | 2.43 | 112,028 |
| Weekend Mornings | 23.17 | 71,589 | 16,587 | 2.43 | 40,307 |
| Weekend Afternoons | 19.78 | 159,492 | 31,548 | 2.43 | 77,293 |
| Total | 20.48 | 604,841 | 123,884 | 2.43 | 301,670 |

## Step 4. Estimates for Dec. 1995 - May 1996

Number of Person-trips (visits)

| Type of Day | Jan. - Apr. | Dec. \& May | Dec. 1995-May 1996 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Week Day Mornings | 188,549 | 72,042 | 260,591 |
| Week Day Afternoons | 271,713 | 112,028 | 383,741 |
| Weekend Mornings | 86,071 | 40,307 | 126,378 |
| Weekend Afternoons | 162,409 | 77,293 | 239,702 |
| Total | 708,742 | 301,670 | $1,010,412$ |

1. Number of resident vehicles divided by the number of days in January - April 1996. There were 87 week days and 34 weekend days in the January April 1996 period.
2. Number of resident vehicles per day times the number of days in the Dec. 1995 - May 1996 season. There were 131 week days and 52 weekend days in the Dec. 1995 - May 1996 season.
3. Column 4, Table A.1.5 minus the adjustment to recreating visitor proportions from Step 2.

Table A.1.8 Number of Person-trips (visits) by Auto Mode of Access, July-August 1995: Non-Recreating Visitors

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Type and Time of Day | Proportion of Traffic Nonrecreating Visitors | Eligible <br> Vehicles | Number of Vehicles with Nonrecreating Visitors | Number of Vehicles with Commuters ${ }^{1}$ | Number of Vehicles with other Nonrecreating Visitors ${ }^{2}$ | Number of <br> Visitors <br> Per <br> Vehicle ${ }^{3}$ | Number of Nonrecreating Visitors |
| Week Day Morning | 7.50 | 134,413 | 10,081 | 0 | 10,081 | 1.5 | 15,122 |
| Week Day Afternoon | 44.00 | 220,807 | 97,155 | 88,704 | 8,451 | 1.5 | 12,675 |
| Weekend Morning | 7.98 | 73,853 | 5,893 | 0 | 5,893 | 1.5 | 8,840 |
| Weekend Afternoon | 41.29 | 192,978 | 79,681 | 36,288 | 43,393 | 1.5 | 65,090 |
| Total | 31.00 | 622,051 | 192,810 | 124,992 | 67,818 | 1.5 | 101,727 |

1. Commuters are workers that live outside the Florida Keys but work inside the Florida Keys. Number of commuters estimated from the Census of Intercounty Commuters (U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of Economic Analysis, 1996).
2. Column 3 minus Column 4.
3.. This estimate is based on an assumption of 1.5 people per vehicle.

Table A.1.9 Number of Person-trips (visits) by Auto Mode of Access, January - April 1996: Non-Recreating Visitors

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Type and Time of Day | Proportion of Traffic Nonrecreating Visitors | Eligible <br> Vehicles | Number of Vehicles with Nonrecreating Visitors | Number of Vehicles with Commuters ${ }^{1}$ | Number of Vehicles with other Nonrecreating Visitors ${ }^{2}$ | Number of <br> Visitors <br> Per <br> Vehicle ${ }^{3}$ | Number of Nonrecreating Visitors |
| Week Day Morning | 7.12 | 348,728 | 24,829 | 0 | 24,829 | 1.5 | 37,243 |
| Week Day Afternoon | 42.35 | 507,103 | 214,758 | 175,392 | 39,366 | 1.5 | 59,049 |
| Weekend Morning | 7.07 | 144,868 | 10,242 | 0 | 10,242 | 1.5 | 15,363 |
| Weekend Afternoon | 45.36 | 326,660 | 148,173 | 68,544 | 79,629 | 1.5 | 119,444 |
| Total | 29.98 | 1,327,359 | 398,002 | 243,936 | 154,066 | 1.5 | 231,099 |

1. Commuters are workers that live outside the Florida Keys but work inside the Florida Keys. Number of commuters estimated from the Census of Intercounty Commuters (U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of Economic Analysis, 1996).
2. Column 3 minus Column 4.
3.. This estimate is based on an assumption of 1.5 people per vehicle.

Table A.1.10 Number of Person-trips (visits) by Auto Mode of Access, June - November 1995: Non-Recreating Visitors

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

1. Commuters are workers that live outside the Florida Keys but work inside the Florida Keys. Number of commuters estimated from the Census of Intercounty Commuters (U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of Economic Analysis, 1996).
2. Column 3 minus Column 4.
3.. This estimate is based on an assumption of 1.5 people per vehicle.

Table A.1.11 Number of Person-trips (visits) by Auto Mode of Access, December 1995 - May 1996: Non-Recreating Visitors

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Type and Time of Day | Proportion of Traffic Nonrecreating Visitors | Eligible <br> Vehicles | Number of Vehicles with Nonrecreating Visitors | Number of Vehicles with Commuters ${ }^{1}$ | Number of Vehicles with other Nonrecreating Visitors ${ }^{2}$ | Number of <br> Visitors <br> Per <br> Vehicle ${ }^{3}$ | Number of Nonrecreating Visitors |
| Week Day Morning <br> Week Day Afternoon <br> Weekend Morning <br> Weekend Afternoon | $\begin{array}{r} 7.12 \\ 42.35 \\ 7.07 \\ 45.36 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 499,989 \\ & 729,602 \\ & 216,457 \\ & 486,152 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 35,599 \\ 308,986 \\ 15,304 \\ 220,519 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 275,100 \\ 0 \\ 109,200 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 35,599 \\ 33,886 \\ 15,304 \\ 111,319 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.5 \\ & 1.5 \\ & 1.5 \\ & 1.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 53,399 \\ 50,829 \\ 22,956 \\ 166,978 \end{array}$ |
| Total | 30.04 | 1,932,200 | 580,408 | 384,300 | 196,108 | 1.5 | 294,162 |

1. Commuters are workers that live outside the Florida Keys but work inside the Florida Keys. Number of commuters estimated from the Census of Intercounty Commuters (U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of Economic Analysis, 1996).
2. Column 3 minus Column 4.
3.. This estimate is based on an assumption of 1.5 people per vehicle.

Table A.1.12 Number of Person-trips (visits) By the Air Mode of Access, July-August 1995 and June-November 1995: Recreating Visitors

|  | July-August 1995 |  |  | June-November 1995 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Airport | Air <br> Enplanements | Proportion of <br> Passengers Recreating Visitors | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { Person-trips } \end{gathered}$ | Air <br> Enplanements | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { Person-trips } \end{aligned}$ |
| Key West <br> Marathon | $\begin{array}{r} 33,710 \\ 4,616 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 65.35 \\ & 61.01 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 22,030 \\ 2,816 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 108,691 \\ 13,922 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 71,030 \\ 8,494 \end{array}$ |
| Total | 38,326 | 64.83 | 24,846 | 122,613 | 79,524 |

Table A.1.13 Number of Person-trips (visits) By the Air Mode of Access, January-April 1996 and December 1995 - May 1996: Recreating Visitors

|  | January-April 1996 |  |  | December 1995 - May 1996 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Airport | Air Enplanements | Proportion of <br> Passengers <br> Recreating <br> Visitors | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { Person-trips } \end{gathered}$ | Air Enplanements | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { Person-trips } \end{aligned}$ |
| Key West <br> Marathon | $\begin{array}{r} 119,134 \\ 18,304 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 74.74 \\ 73.14 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 89,041 \\ 13,388 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 166,237 \\ 24,206 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 124,256 \\ 17,704 \end{array}$ |
| Total | 137,438 | 74.53 | 102,429 | 190,443 | 141,950 |

Table A.1.14 Number of Person-trips (visits) By the Air Mode of Access, July-August 1995 and June-November 1995: Non-recreating Visitors

|  | July-August 1995 |  |  | June-November 1995 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Airport | Air <br> Enplanements | Proportion of <br> Passengers <br> Recreating Visitors | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { Person-trips } \end{aligned}$ | Air <br> Enplanements | Number <br> of Person-trips |
| Key West <br> Marathon | $\begin{array}{r} 33,710 \\ 4,616 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.32 \\ & 3.67 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 445 \\ & 169 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 108,691 \\ 13,922 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,435 \\ 511 \end{array}$ |
| Total | 38,326 | 1.60 | 614 | 122,613 | 1,946 |

Table A.1.15 Number of Person-trips (visits) By the Air Mode of Access, January-April 1996 and December 1995 - May 1996: Non-recreating Visitors

|  | January-April 1996 |  |  | December 1995 - May 1996 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Airport | Air <br> Enplanements | Proportion of <br> Passengers Recreating Visitors | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { Person-trips } \end{gathered}$ | Air <br> Enplanements | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { Person-trips } \end{aligned}$ |
| Key West <br> Marathon | $\begin{array}{r} 119,134 \\ 18,304 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.79 \\ 2.07 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2,132 \\ 379 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 166,237 \\ 24,206 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,976 \\ 501 \end{array}$ |
| Total | 137,438 | 1.83 | 2,511 | 190,443 | 3,477 |

Table A.1.16 Number of Person-trips (visits) By the Cruise Ship Mode of Access and Season

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Season | Arrivals | Percent that Get Off Ship | Number <br> Off <br> Ship | Percent Visitors | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { Person-trips } \end{aligned}$ |
| July-August 1995 | 35,887 | 90.64 | 32,528 | 98.83 | 32,147 |
| June-November 1995 | 121,048 | 90.64 | 109,718 | 98.83 | 108,434 |
| January-April 1996 | 171,308 | 94.81 | 162,417 | 95.47 | 155,060 |
| Dec. '95-May '96 | 235,185 | 94.81 | 222,979 | 95.47 | 212,878 |

Table A.1.17 Estimated Number of Person-trips (visits) By Mode of Access and Sampling Period: Recreating Visitors

| Mode of Access | July-August 1995 |  | January-April 1996 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Person-trips | Percent | Person-trips | Percent |
| 1. Auto | 398,496 | 87.49 | 708,742 | 73.35 |
| 2. Air | 24,846 | 5.45 | 102,429 | 10.60 |
| a) Key West | 22,030 | 4.84 | 89,041 | 9.21 |
| b) Marathon | 2,816 | 0.61 | 13,388 | 1.39 |
| 3. Cruise Ship | 32,147 | 7.06 | 155,060 | 16.05 |
| Total | 455,489 | 100.0 | 966,231 | 100.0 |

Table A.1.18 Estimated Number of Person-trips (visits) By Mode of Access and Sampling Period: Non-recreating Visitors

| Mode of Access | July-August 1995 |  | January-April 1996 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Person-trips | Percent | Person-trips | Percent |
| 1. Auto | 101,727 | 99.40 | 231,099 | 98.92 |
| 2. Air | 614 | 0.60 | 2,511 | 1.08 |
| 3. Cruise Ship | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
| Total | 102,341 | 100.0 | 233,610 | 100.0 |

Table A.1.19 Number of Person-trips (visits) By Mode of Access and Sampling Period: All Visitors

| Mode of Access | July-August 1995 |  | January-April 1996 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Person-trips | Percent | Person-trips | Percent |
| 1. Auto | 500,223 | 89.67 | 939,841 | 78.33 |
| 2. Air | 25,460 | 4.57 | 104,940 | 8.75 |
| 3. Cruise Ship | 32,147 | 5.76 | 155,060 | 12.92 |
| Total | 557,830 | 100.0 | 1,199,841 | 100.0 |

Table A.1.20 Number of Person-trips (visits) By Mode of Access and Season - Recreating Visitors

| Mode of Access | June - November 1995 |  | Dec. 1995 - May 1996 |  | Annual Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Person-trips | Percent | Person-trips | Percent | Person-trips | Percent |
| 1. Auto | 984,041 | 83.96 | 1,010,412 | 74.01 | 1,994,453 | 78.61 |
| 2. Air | 79,524 | 6.79 | 141,950 | 10.40 | 221,474 | 8.73 |
| a) Key West | 71,030 | 6.06 | 124,246 | 9.10 | 195,276 | 7.70 |
| b) Marathon | 8,494 | 0.73 | 17,704 | 1.30 | 26,198 | 1.03 |
| 3. Cruise Ship | 108,434 | 9.25 | 212,878 | 15.59 | 321,312 | 12.66 |
| Total | 1,171,999 | 100.0 | 1,368,484 | 100.0 | 2,540,483 | 100.0 |

Table A.1.21 Number of Person-trips (visits) By Mode of Access and Season : Non-Recreating Visitors

| Mode of Access | June - November 1995 |  | Dec. 1995 - May 1996 |  | Annual Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Person-trips | Percent | Person-trips | Percent | Person-trips | Percent |
| 1. Auto | 217,508 | 99.11 | 294,162 | 98.83 | 511,670 | 98.95 |
| 2. Air | 1,946 | 0.89 | 3,477 | 1.17 | 5,423 | 1.05 |
| a) Key West | 1,435 | 0.65 | 2,976 | 1.00 | 4,411 | 0.85 |
| b) Marathon | 511 | 0.24 | 501 | 0.17 | 1,012 | 0.20 |
| 3. Cruise Ship | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
| Total | 219,454 | 100.0 | 297,639 | 100.0 | 517,093 | 100.0 |

Table A.1.22 Number of Person-trips (visits) By Mode of Access and Season : All Visitors

| Mode of Access | June - November 1995 |  | Dec. 1995 - May 1996 |  | Annual Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Person-trips | Percent | Person-trips | Percent | Person-trips | Percent |
| 1. Auto | 1,201,549 | 86.35 | 1,304,574 | 78.45 | 2,506,123 | 82.05 |
| 2. Air | 81,470 | 5.86 | 145,427 | 8.75 | 226,897 | 7.43 |
| a) Key West | 72,465 | 5.21 | 127,222 | 7.65 | 199,687 | 6.54 |
| b) Marathon | 9,005 | 0.65 | 18,205 | 1.09 | 27,210 | 0.89 |
| 3. Cruise Ship | 108,434 | 7.79 | 212,878 | 12.80 | 321,312 | 10.52 |
| Total | 1,391,453 | 100.0 | 1,662,879 | 100.0 | 3,054,332 | 100.0 |

Table A.1.23 Average Length of Stay By Mode of Access and Season

| Mode of Access/Season | Length of Stay (\# Days) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mean | Std. Error | N |
| Auto Visitors |  |  |  |
| July-August 1995 | 4.24 | 0.148 | 922 |
| June-November 1995 | 4.24 | 0.148 | 922 |
| January-April 1996 | 6.82 | 0.343 | 1,642 |
| Dec. '95-May '96 | 6.82 | 0.343 | 1,642 |
| Air Visitors |  |  |  |
| July-August 1995 | 7.65 | 0.955 | 198 |
| June-November 1995 | 7.65 | 0.955 | 198 |
| January-April 1996 | 9.04 | 0.481 | 387 |
| Dec. '95-May '96 | 9.04 | 0.481 | 387 |
| Cruise Ship Visitors |  |  |  |
| July-August 1995 | 1.00 | 0.000 | 214 |
| June-November 1995 | 1.00 | 0.000 | 214 |
| January-April 1996 | 1.00 | 0.000 | 220 |
| Dec. '95-May '96 | 1.00 | 0.000 | 220 |
| Weighted Average |  |  |  |
| All Visitors |  |  |  |
| July-August 1995 | 4.22 | 0.137 | 1,334 |
| June-November 1995 | 4.17 | 0.153 | 1,334 |
| January-April 1996 | 6.35 | 0.275 | 2,249 |
| Dec. '95-May '96 | 6.03 | 0.261 | 2,249 |

Table A.1.24 Number of Person-days By Mode of Access, July-August, 1995 and January-April, 1996 : Recreating Visitors

|  | July-August 1995 |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mode of Access | Person-days | Percent |  | Person-days | Percent |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table A.1.25 Number of Person-days By Mode of Access, July-August, 1995 and January-April, 1996: Non-recreating Visitors

| Mode of Access | July-August 1995 |  | January-April 1996 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Person-days | Percent | Person-days | Percent |
| 1. Auto | 431,322 | 98.92 | 1,576,095 | 98.58 |
| 2. Air | 4,697 | 1.08 | 22,699 | 1.42 |
| 3. Cruise Ship | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
| Total | 436,019 | 100.0 | 1,598,794 | 100.0 |

Table A.1.26 Number of Person-days By Mode of Access, July-August, 1995 and January-April, 1996: All Visitors

| Mode of Access | July-August 1995 |  | January-April 1996 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Person-days | Percent | Person-days | Percent |
| 1. Auto | 2,120,945 | 90.33 | 6,409,715 | 85.31 |
| 2. Air | 194,769 | 8.30 | 948,657 | 12.63 |
| 3. Cruise Ship | 32,147 | 1.37 | 155,060 | 2.06 |
| Total | 2,347,861 | 100.0 | 7,513,432 | 100.0 |

Table A.1.27 Number of Person-days By Mode of Access and Season: Recreating Visitors

| Mode of Access | June - November 1995 |  | Dec. 1995 - May 1996 |  | Annual Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Person-days | Percent | Person-days | Percent | Person-days | Percent |
| 1. Auto | 4,172,355 | 85.34 | 6,891,010 | 82.16 | 11,063,365 | 83.33 |
| 2. Air | 608,358 | 12.44 | 1,283,228 | 15.30 | 1,891,586 | 14.25 |
| 3. Cruise Ship | 108,434 | 2.22 | 212,878 | 2.54 | 321,312 | 2.42 |
| Total | 4,889,147 | 100.0 | 8,387,116 | 100.0 | 13,276,263 | 100.0 |

Table A.1.28 Number of Person-days By Mode of Access and Season: Non-recreating Visitors

| Mode of Access | June - November 1995 |  | Dec. 1995 - May 1996 |  | Annual Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Person-days | Percent | Person-days | Percent | Person-days | Percent |
| 1. Auto | 922,234 | 98.41 | 2,006,185 | 98.46 | 2,928,419 | 98.44 |
| 2. Air | 14,887 | 1.59 | 31,432 | 1.54 | 46,319 | 1.56 |
| 3. Cruise Ship | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
| Total | 937,121 | 100.0 | 2,037,617 | 100.0 | 2,974,738 | 100.0 |

Table A.1.29 Number of Person-days By Mode of Access and Season: All Visitors

| Mode of Access | June - November 1995 |  | Dec. 1995 - May 1996 |  | Annual Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Person-days | Percent | Person-days | Percent | Person-days | Percent |
| 1. Auto | 5,094,589 | 87.44 | 8,897,195 | 85.35 | 13,991,784 | 86.10 |
| 2. Air | 623,245 | 10.70 | 1,314,660 | 12.61 | 1,937,905 | 11.92 |
| 3. Cruise Ship | 108,434 | 1.86 | 212,878 | 2.04 | 321,312 | 1.98 |
| Total | 5,826,268 | 100.0 | 10,424,733 | 100.0 | 16,251,001 | 100.0 |

Table A.1.30 Number of Campsites in Florida Keys

| Campground | Campground Book | TDC* | Florida DNR 1991 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Boyds Campground | 125 | 200 | 120 |
| Jabour's Trailer Court | 74 | 74 | 100 |
| Big Pine Fishing Lodge | 101 | 124 (172) | 134 |
| Breezy Pine RV Estates | 96 | 96 | 100 |
| Bluewater RV Resort | 80 | 80 | - |
| Sugarloaf Key KOA | 204 | 184 (212) | 150 |
| Lazy Lakes Resort | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Sunshine Key RV Resort \& Marina | 400 | 389 (405) | 389 |
| Bahia Honda State Park | 48 | 48 | 48 |
| Knights Key Park | 150 | 192 (199) | - |
| Key RV Park | 190 | 190 | - |
| Jolly Roger Travel Park | 200 | 225 | 139 |
| Fiesta Key KOA Resort | 288 | 300 | 495 |
| Long Key State Rec. Area | 60 | 60 | 60 |
| Key Largo Kampground \& |  |  |  |
| Marina | 171 | 110 | - |
| American Outdoors RV Resort | 154 | 154 | 155 |
| Calusa Camp Resort | 401 | 375 (450) | 300 |
| Florida Keys RV Resort | 126 | 126 | - |
| John Pennekamp Coral Reef State Park sub-total | $\begin{aligned} & 47 \\ & \mathbf{3 , 0 1 5} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 47 \\ & \mathbf{3 , 0 7 4}(\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{2 4 8}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 47 \\ & \mathbf{2 , 3 3 7} \end{aligned}$ |
| Seaside Resort | - | 15 | - |
| Seahorse Campground | - | 125 | 124 |
| Paradise Island Park | - | 10 | - |
| Castaways RV Park | - | 36 | - |
| Geiger Key RV Park \& Marina | - | 31 | 37 |
| Boca Chica (Navy) | - | - | 25 |
| Outdoors Resorts 66 MM | - | 30 | 20 |
| Gulfstream Travel Park \& Marina | - | - | 83 |
| Point Laura | - | 44 | 43 |
| Key Trailer Courts | - | - | 140 |
| Kings Kamp Campground \& Marina | - | - | 65 |
| Leo's Campground | - | - | 22 |
| Riptide Trailer Park | - | - | 34 |
| Travel Trailer Town | - | - | 77 |
| Twin Harbor Motel \& |  |  |  |
| Campground | - | - | 20 |
| Trailers by the Sea | - | - | 15 |
| Halycon Beach Trailer Park | - | - | 58 |
| Lions Liar Travel Park | - | - | 30 |
| Campers Cove | - | - | 30 |
| Happy Vagabond Campground | - | - | 75 |
| Key Largo Ocean Resorts \& Marina | - | - | 25 |

Table A. 1.30 (continued)

|  | Campground <br> Book | TDC* | Florida <br> DNR 1991 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Key West Seaside Resort | - | - | 200 |
| Venture Out at Cudjoe Cay | - | - | 60 |
| Seabreeze Trailer Park | - | - | 63 |
| SEA Camp Association | - | - | 4 |
| Boy Scouts Sea Base | - | - | 2 |
| Boy Scouts Camp Sawyer | - | - | 6 |
| Girl Scouts Camp Wesmkee | - | $\mathbf{3 , 3 6 5}(\mathbf{3 , 5 3 9 )}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 6 2 2}$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{3 , 1 0 5}$ |  | 27 |

Grand Total = Campground Book Total + TDC (not in Campground Book) + FL DNR (not in TDC or in Campground Book)

Grand Total $=\mathbf{3 , 0 1 5}+\mathbf{1 , 3 5 2}=\mathbf{4 , 3 6 7}$

* Numbers in parentheses are total number of licensed units. Could include units other than campsites such as cabins, cottages.

Campground Book - Trailer Life Campground/RV Park \& Services Directory 1995
NOTE: Kearney/Centaur used Humms Guide and the FL DNR and derived an estimate of 4,603 campsites in the Florida Keys in 1989-1990.

Table A.1.31 Consistency Check Campsite Usage

|  | Summer | Winter | Annual |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Auto Person-trips X | 984,046 | 1,013,656 | 1,997,702 |
| Participation Rate All Camping By Auto Visitors = | . 0532 | . 1492 |  |
| Person-trips of Camping x | 52,351 | 151,237 | 203,588 |
| Nights Camping per trip = | 3.9 | 12.11 |  |
| Person-nights of Camping | 204,169 | 1,831,480 | 2,035,649 |
| Number per Party = | 2.93 | 2.43 |  |
| Campsite Usage | 69,682 | 753,695 | 823,377 |
| Number of Campsites x | 4,367 | 4,367 | 4,367 |
| Number of Nights = | 183 | 183 | 366 |
| Campsite Capacity | 799,161 | 799,161 | 1,598,322 |
| Capacity Utilization Rate | 8.72\% | $\mathbf{9 4 . 3 1 \%}$ | 51.52\% |

Table A.1.32 Consistency Check for Hotel Usage

|  | Summer | Winter | Annual |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Person-trips x | 1,172,004 | 1,368,484 | 2,540,488 |
| Percent overnight visits $=$ | . 88 | . 854 |  |
| Overnight Person-trips | 1,031,364 | 1,168,685 | 2,200,049 |
| Hotels \& Motels |  |  |  |
| Percent of overnight stays | 58.72 | 56.14 |  |
| Hotel person-trips | 605,617 | 656,100 | 1,261,717 |
| Nights per trip = | 4.09 | 4.87 |  |
| Hotel person-nights | 2,476,974 | 3,195,207 | 5,672,181 |
| Number per Party = | 2.73 | 2.37 |  |
| Hotel Usage | 907,316 | 1,348,189 | 2,255,505 |
| Rental Home, Condo |  |  |  |
| Percent of overnight | 12.78 | 7.87 |  |
| Rental person-trips x | 131,808 | 91,975 | 223,783 |
| Nights per trip $=$ | 9.38 | 12.99 |  |
| Rental Person-nights | 1,236,359 | 1,194,755 | 2,431,114 |
| Number per Party $=$ | 2.67 | 2.32 |  |
| Rental Usage | 463,056 | 514,981 | 978,037 |
| Hotel, Motel and Rental Units x | 13,239 | 13,239 | 13,239 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number of Nights } \\ & = \end{aligned}$ | 183 | 183 | 366 |
| Capacity | 2,422,737 | 2,422,737 | 4,845,474 |
| Capacity Utilization | 56.56\% | 76.90\% | 66.73\% |
| Rental Capacity 8,100 units | 1,482,300 | 1,482,300 | 2,964,600 |
| Capacity Utilization Rental | 31.24\% | 34.74\% | 32.99\% |
| Rental Capacity 6,100 units | 1,116,300 | 1,116,300 | 2,232,600 |
| Capacity Utilization Rental | 41.48\% | 46.13\% | 43.81\% |

Table A.1.33 Consistency Check for Lodging Expenditures

|  | Lodging Expenditures |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Summer | Winter | Annual |
| Person-trips x | 1,172,004 | 1,368,484 | 2,540,488 |
| Lodging Expenditures Per Person |  |  |  |
| Per Trip (Private only) $=$ | \$128.62 | \$164.90 |  |
| Total Lodging Expenditures | \$150,743,155 | \$225,663,012 | \$376,406,167 |
| Reported Lodging Fl Dept. of Revenue 6/95-5/96 |  |  | \$402,942,607 |
| Estimated /Reported |  |  | 93.41 \% |
| Unreported Vacation Rentals ( 6,100 units) |  |  | \$80,000,000 |
| Estimated/Reported+Unreported |  |  | 77.94\% |
|  |  | Food \& Bevera |  |
|  | Summer | Winter | Annual |
| Person-trips $\mathrm{x}$ | 1,172,004 | 1,368,484 | 2,540,488 |
| Food \& Beverages Expenditures |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Per Person Per Trip } \\ & = \end{aligned}$ | \$112.01 | \$138.87 |  |
| Total Food \& Beverage |  |  |  |
| Expenditures | \$131,276,168 | \$190,041,373 | \$321,317,541 |
| Reported Food \& Beverages |  |  |  |
| Fl Dept. of Revenue |  |  |  |
| 6/95-5/96 |  |  | \$482,110,100 |
| Estimated/Reported |  |  | 66.65\% |
| Reported * 1.10 (unreported) |  |  | \$530,321,110 |
| Estimated/Reported*1.10 |  |  | 60.59\% |

Table A.1.34 Visitation Estimates Capacity Utilization Method: Scenario 1

The capacity utilization method of estimating visitors was used by A.T. Kearney (1990) in their study of Monroe County Florida for the Minerals Management Service. We combined our survey estimates for party size, number of nights per visit, percent of visitors that stayed with friends and family, and day visitation with the most up-dated counts of units of hotels, motels, vacation rentals, and campsites with the capacity utilization rates for the Keys used by A.T. Kearney (1990). This method yields an approximation and serves as another check on our visitation estimate.

The general method is as follows:

Number of units x utilization rate x number of nights per year $=$ Annual-nights occupied
Annual-nights occupied $x$ average party size $=$ Guest-nights
Guest-nights $/$ average nights per stay $=$ Total visits
Those staying with Friends and Relatives and Day Visitation are accounted for using other survey information.

## Hotels and Motels

Hotel and Motel Units 9,265
Occupancy rate . 793
Nights per year 366
Average Party Size 2.5
Average Number of Nights 4.5
$[(9,265 \times .793 \times 366) \times 2.5] / 4.5=\mathbf{1 , 4 9 3 , 9 1 9}$

## Vacation Rentals, Condos, etc.

Vacation rental units 5,197
Occupancy rate . 44
Nights per year 366
Average Party size 2.5
Average Number of Nights 11
$[(5,197 \times .44 \times 366) \times 2.5] / 11=\mathbf{1 9 0 , 2 1 0}$

## Campgrounds

Number of Campsites 4,367
Occupancy rate . 57
Nights per year 366
Average Party size 2.7
Average Number of Nights 8
$[(4,367 \times .57 \times 366) \times 2.7] / 8=\mathbf{3 0 7 , 4 7 7}$

Table A.1.34 (continued)

## Day Visitors

| Mode of Access | Day Visits |
| :--- | ---: |
|  |  |
| Air | 2,408 |
| Cruise Ship | 321,312 |
| sub-total | 323,720 |

Air plus Cruise Ship were 70.35 percent of sample day visitors
Total Day Visitors $=323,720 / .7035=\mathbf{4 6 0 , 1 5 6}$
Auto Day Visitors $=$ Total Day Visitors $-323,720=136,436$
Friends and Relatives
15.5 percent of sample visitors stayed with Friends and Relatives (nights gt 0 and lodging eq 0 ).

Total Visits $=($ sum of all visits above $/ .845)=2,901,493$
Friends and Relatives $=2,901,493-2,451,762=449,731$

Total Visits $=\mathbf{2 , 9 0 1}, 493$
Recreating Visits $=$ Total Visits $\mathbf{x} . \mathbf{8 0}=\mathbf{2 , 3 2 1 , 1 9 4}$
Recreating Visits $=$ Total Visits $\mathbf{x} \mathbf{9 0}=\mathbf{2 , 6 1 1 , 3 4 4}$

Table A.1.35 Visitation Estimates Capacity Utilization Method: Scenario 2
(Vacation Rental Units 8,100)

## Hotels and Motels $\quad 1,493,919$

Vacation Rentals (8,100 units)
$[(8,100 \times .44 \times 366) \times 2.5] / 11=\mathbf{2 9 6 , 4 6 0}$
Campgrounds 307,477
Day Visits $\quad 460,156$
Friends and Relatives
$(2,558,012 / .845)-2,558,012=\mathbf{4 6 9 , 2 2 1}$

Total Visits $\mathbf{3 , 0 2 7 , 2 3 3}$
Recreating Visits $3,027,233 \times .80=2,421,786$
Recreating Visits $\mathbf{3 , 0 2 7 , 2 3 3 \times . 9 0}=\mathbf{2 , 7 2 4 , 5 1 0}$

Table A.1.36 Visitation Estimates Capacity Utilization Method: Scenario 3

## (Vacation Rental Units 6,100)

## Hotels and Motels $\quad 1,493,919$

Vacation Rentals (6,100 units)
$[(6,100 \times .44 \times 366) \times 2.5] / 11=\mathbf{2 2 3 , 2 6 0}$
Campgrounds 307,477
Day Visits $\quad 460,156$
Friends and Relatives
$(2,484,812 / .845)-2,484,812=\mathbf{4 5 5 , 7 9 4}$
Total Visits $\quad \mathbf{2 , 9 4 0 , 6 0 6}$
Recreating Visits $2,940,606 \times .80=2,352,485$
Recreating Visits $2,940,606 \times \mathbf{9 0}=\mathbf{2 , 6 4 6 , 5 4 5}$

Table A.1.37. Consistency of Alternative Estimates of Visitation with the Monroe County Economy

| Visitation (millions) | Percent of Monroe County Economy |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Output/Sales | Income | Employment | Lodging Expenditures ${ }^{1}$ | Hotel Usage ${ }^{2}$ | Campsite Usage ${ }^{3}$ |
| 2.54 | 60.53 | 45.03 | 46.49 | $\begin{aligned} & 93.41 \\ & (77.94) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 66.73 \\ & (43.81) \end{aligned}$ | 51.72 |
| 3.00 | 71.48 | 53.18 | 52.17 | $\begin{aligned} & 110.31 \\ & (92.04) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 78.80 \\ & (51.73) \end{aligned}$ | 60.83 |
| 4.00 | 95.31 | 70.90 | 73.19 | $\begin{aligned} & 147.08 \\ & (122.72) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 105.07 \\ & (68.97) \end{aligned}$ | 81.11 |
| 6.00 | 142.96 | 106.35 | 109.79 | $\begin{aligned} & 220.62 \\ & (184.08) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 157.61 \\ & (103.46) \end{aligned}$ | 121.67 |

1. Estimate in parentheses is based on 2,000 unreported vacation rental units with an estimated $\$ 80$ million of revenues.
2. Capacity utilization rate for hotels, motels and vacation rental units. Estimate in parentheses is based on 2,000 unreported vacation rental units.
3. Capacity utlization rate.

## Chapter 2. Sample Weighting

Chapter 1 discussed the sampling methodology for the Auto, Air, and Cruise Ship Survey as it related to estimating the total number of person-trips (visits) and person-days. These are the estimated population totals from which sample weights are constructed.

Figure 2.1 shows each of the survey samples, their associated subsamples, and the general types of information obtained from each sample and/or subsample. Sample 1 is the Auto, Air and Cruise Ship Survey and has an on-site sample and two mailback samples; 1) the expenditure mailback and 2) the satisfaction mailback. Sample 2 is the CUSTOMER Survey and it has an on-site sample and a mailback sample called the ecosystem mailback. The ecosystem mailback was only implemented during the January - April 1996 period. Sample weighting is required for each of the samples and subsamples listed in Figure 2.1. The reasons sample weighting are required and their derivation will be described below.

## Auto, Air and Cruise Ship Survey

On-site Sample. The on-site sample was a stratified random sample. Stratification was done by mode of access to the Florida Keys/Key West (auto, air and cruise ship) and by season. A priori, little information was available to establish exact sampling quotas by each mode of access and season. That is, the exact population distributions by mode of access and season were not known prior to the sampling. In fact, part of the study design was to estimate these very population numbers (see Chapter 1). In addition, project partners wanted the capability to estimate many project measurements by mode of access. To do this required over-sampling the air and cruise ship populations to ensure adequate sample sizes to yield reliable estimates by mode of access. For these reasons, sample-weighting is necessary. Sample weights equilibrate the sample distributions by mode of access to the population distribution by mode of access.

Table A.2.1 shows how sample weights were derived for the July-August 1995 sampling period and for the June November 1995 season for estimation. Sample weights are derived by dividing the population distribution percentages (from Chapter 1) by the sample distribution percentages. Notice that even though the sample distributions are the same for the July - August 1995 period and the June - November 1995 season for estimation, different weights are required because the population distributions are different during the different time periods. Also, note that the weights are labeled "General Sample Weights". What this means is that these sample weights are appropriate for measurements based on observations of the randomly chosen person that was interviewed in each sample. Alternative weights for activity participation and region visitation will be described later. Table A. 2.2 shows the derivation of the "General Sample Weights" for the January - April 1996 sampling period and the December 1995 - May 1996 season.

General versus Activity Sample Weights. The weights derived in Tables A.2.1 and A.2.2 should be applied to sample measurements where the randomly chosen individual represents the basic unit of observation in the sample. For activity participation and region visitation, we calculated participation as the sum of all persons that did an activity (visited a region) divided by the sum of all people in the traveling/recreating party from which the randomly chosen person for the interview was conducted. That is, we gathered information on these two aspects for all members of the traveling/recreating party. The rational behind this is that we hypothesized, from past experience, that there would be a grouping effect with respect to both activity participation and region visitation. The grouping effect would result in biased estimates of activity participation and region visitation if this grouping effect was not accounted for in our estimation methods. The method of calculating participation rates, described above, accounts for this grouping effect, however, sample weights are required to make sure the sample reflects the population distribution. In fact, the test for the grouping effect is whether participation rates are significantly different and/or the distribution of the randomly chosen individuals by mode of access differs from the distribution of all members of the traveling/recreating groups by mode of access. Both of these aspects are true. Tables A.2.3 and A.2.4 show the derivations of the "Activity Sample Weights".

Annual Sample Weights. In order to estimate annual weighted averages or weighted population distributions across seasons, the sample weights derived above must be adjusted by their sample distributions relative to their population distributions across seasons. Tables A.2.5 and A. 2.6 show how the derivation of the annual adjustment factors. These annual adjustment factors are multiplied by the seasonal sample weights to form the annual sample weights. Table A.2.7 summarizes the data base sample weight names for each time period and application.

Expenditure Mailback. Each visitor interviewed on-site received an expenditure mailback questionnaire. Actually, we first identified the person paying for the trip, since in some cases the randomly chosen individual within the traveling group may not have been the person paying trip expenses, and asked that person if they would complete the mailback questionnaire.

After two weeks, if a mailback questionnaire was not received, a post card reminder was sent. After one month, if a mailback response was still not received, a whole new questionnaire and letter were sent asking for a response. Foreign visitors were asked to complete their mailback questionnaires before they departed from the U.S. since the self-mailing questionnaire would require separate postage if mailed from outside the U.S.

Table A. 2.8 shows the number of completed questionnaires from both the on-site and expenditure mailback portions of the Auto, Air and Cruise Ship Survey. Mailback response rates are presented by mode of access and survey period. Response rates were slightly higher during the January - April 1996 survey period, and although there were some differences by mode of access, the only significant difference was for the auto mode during the July - August 1995 survey period, which was lower than the response rates from the air and cruise ship modes of access.

Multivariate Approach. A multivariate sample-weighting approach was used for the expenditure mailback data. The weighting had three steps. The first step was to weight the data to equilibrate mailback response rates to the population distribution by mode of access. Tables A.2.9 and A.2.10 show the derivation of these weights. As in the on-site sample weight derivations discussed above, sample weights are equal to the population distribution percentages divided by the sample distribution percentages.

Step two of the process is based on an analysis of nonresponse bias. The analysis revealed that response rates were significantly different by mode of access, household income, race/ethnicity, age, and whether the visitor was foreign or domestic. As was shown above, auto visitors during the July - August 1995 period had a lower response rate. During both survey periods, response rates were higher for higher income groups and for older visitors and were lower for black, hispanic and foreign visitors.

But lower response rates do not necessarily result in nonresponse bias, it just means that the probability of nonresponse bias is higher. To establish whether nonresponse bias existed, analyses were conducted to determine the relationship of socioeconomic factors related to difference in responses and the amounts of each general type of expenditure item. Income was the only factor where there was a significant relationship with the level of expenditure on most items. Age, race/ethnicity and whether a visitor was foreign or domestic were only significant for a couple of items. We concluded from this that nonresponse bias existed but it appeared to be minimal. And, that sample-weighting for these factors would further minimize this bias. See Chapter 3 for a full discussion of the nonresponse bias analysis.

Twelve (12) socioeconomic groups were formed based on race/ethnicity, age, household income, and whether a visitor was foreign or domestic. Table A. 2.11 shows the 12 socioeconomic groups, their corresponding response rates, and the sample weights derived to equilibrate response rates across socioeconomic groups for the July - August 1995 survey period. It should be noted that the data was first weighted by mode of access using the results presented in Tables A.2.9 and A.2.10 before running the cross-tabulations between socioeconomic groups and response rates. Table A. 2.12 summarizes the results for the June - November 1995 season.

For the January - April 1996 survey period and the December 1995 - May 1996 season, the socioeconomic groups were collapsed into 10 groups. This was required because blacks and hispanics were a much smaller proportion of the visiting populations during these time periods. This was reflected in the on-site samples. Blacks and hispanics had similar response rates, and, in the nonresponse bias analysis, neither group had significantly different expenditures. So, these two groups were combined into 1) Blacks and Hispanics, Income under $\$ 40,000$ and 2) Blacks and Hispanics, Income greater than $\$ 40,000$. In Addition, several observations had missing information on demographics, so a group labeled "Missing" was formed. Tables A.2.13 and A.2.14 summarize the response rates and sample weights for these time periods.

Step 3 was to form the final sample weights to be used when estimating sample average expenditures. This required simply multiplying the weights derived in Step 1 and those derived in Step 2. These weights have the same names as those in steps one and two except with the three (3) suffix.

Annual Sample Weight. When combining samples across seasons to estimate weighted annual averages, a set of annual weights is required. Table A. 2.15 shows the derivation of the annual adjustment factor. As in the on-site sample, the annual weight is equal to the seasonal weights times the annual adjustment factor.

Satisfaction Mailback. Each visitor interviewed on-site received a satisfaction mailback questionnaire. This was handed to each person interviewed as was done with the expenditure mailback. The same follow-up procedures were followed as in the expenditure mailback. Table A. 2.16 shows the number of completed questionnaires and the response rates by mode of access
and season. The January - April 1996 survey period had higher response rates than the July - August 1995 period. The differences by mode of access were not significant for the July - August 1995 sample but were significant for the January April 1996 sample. Auto visitors had a significantly lower response rate than air or cruise ship visitors during the January April 1996 period. Tables A.2.17 and A.2.18 show the derivation of sample weights for equilibrating response rates by mode of access for each season.

Multivariate Approach. The same multivariate sample-weighting approach described above for the expenditure mailback was used for the satisfaction mailback. In addition, a similar analysis to that conducted for the expenditure mailback on nonresponse bias was conducted and is detailed in Chapter 3. There were some fundamental differences in the findings there in that race/ethnicity and whether a visitor was foreign or domestic were more important factors in explaining responses to various questions. Household income and age were not significant factors for any responses in the satisfaction mailback. Tables A. 2.19 to A. 2.22 show the derivations of the sample weights that equilibrate response rates by socioeconomic group. Table A.2.23 shows the derivation of the annual adjustment factor for combining samples across seasons and the corresponding annual sample weight.

## CUSTOMER Survey

On-site Sample. The on-site CUSTOMER sample was a stratified random sample. However, there was little information available to properly stratify across sites. Local knowledge was relied upon to select a set of sites that would yield representative samples of all the different types of user populations. Over 200 sites were chosen in consultation with the Chambers of Commerce, the Monroe County Tourist Development Council, the Keys Association of Dive Operators (KADO), several charter boat captains and fishing guides, and local, state and federal park managers.

The major objective of the CUSTOMER survey was to estimate the intensity of use (number of days and hours per person per trip) for 39 selected activities by region and season. Sample quotas were established based on minimum sample sizes required to estimate the averages for each activity by region and season. Generally, a minimum of 25 observations per activity, per region, per season were thought needed to reliably estimate averages. It was expected that these minimum sample sizes would be exceeded because each interview, although targeted to fill a quota, included a full activity profile.

The resulting samples did not follow our expectations. Actually, the resulting samples came closer to the actual population distributions as reflected in the Auto, Air and Cruise Ship samples. There were two exceptions. First, cruise ship passengers were generally excluded from the CUSTOMER Survey by the nature of those trips. Cruise Ship passengers were on extremely short stays, typically only a few hours, and generally did not participate in the activities we were targeting or visiting the sites where we were interviewing. Cruise ship passengers never leave Key West. For the entire five months of sampling, only five cruise ship passengers were included in the CUSTOMER Survey. The second exception were visitors who accessed the Florida Keys by private boat. This population of visitors was not included in the population estimates in Chapter 1 and were not part of the Auto, Air and Cruise Ship Survey. It was thought that this population was extremely small. The CUSTOMER Survey would seem to confirm this expectation. About one percent of the CUSTOMER July - August 1995 sample were visitors who accessed the Florida Keys by private boat. For the January - April 1996 sample, private boat visitors were less than one-half of one percent.

The sample sizes obtained in the CUSTOMER Survey for cruise ship passengers or visitors by private boat were simply too small to do anything with. Inclusion or exclusion does not significantly affect any project measurements. Therefore, the CUSTOMER Survey was considered to be representative of the auto and air populations of visitors. Sample weights were derived to equate the CUSTOMER sample distributions to the population distributions by the auto and air modes of access for each season. Tables A. 2.24 and A.2.25 show the CUSTOMER on-site sample sizes by mode of access, the sample and population distributions by mode of access, and the derived sample weights. Table A.2.26 shows the annual adjustment factor required for combining the samples across seasons.

Ecosystem Mailback. Each visitor interviewed on-site received an ecosystem mailback questionnaire. This was implemented during the January-April 1996 survey period only. Table A.2.27 shows the number of completed questionnaires and the response rates by mode of access. As with the on-site component of the survey, the number of completed interviews/ questionnaires by the cruise ship and private boat modes of access were not adequate to work with. The CUSTOMER Survey is primarily limited to the auto and air visitor populations. The response rate for air visitors was slightly higher than that for auto visitors. Table A. 2.28 shows the derivation of the sample weights used to equilibrate the ecosystem mailback sample distribution by mode of access to the December 1995 - May 1996 population distribution by mode of access.

Multivariate Approach. A similar sample-weighting approach used for the mailback samples in the Auto, Air and Cruise Ship Survey was used for the ecosystem mailback of the CUSTOMER Survey. As with the Auto, Air and Cruise Ship Survey mailback samples, an analysis on non-response bias was conducted. It was found that response rates were significantly related to several socioeconomic factors. Response rates were higher for older visitors, more educated visitors, and visitors with higher incomes. Response rates were lower for blacks and hispanics. After adjusting for all of these factors, whether a visitor was domestic or foreign was not a significant factor nor was mode of access. When response scores to each question in the ecosystem mailback were regressed against these socioeconomic factors, it was found that for only a few questions were responses significantly related to any of the socioeconomic factors. Age and education were significant for several questions as might be expected given the nature of the types of questions in the ecosystem mailback (most asking about knowledge of ecosystems and their services). The most significant factor for a variety of questions was education (See Chapter 3 for a complete discussion of the non-response bias analysis). Given these findings, nine (9) socioeconomic groups were formed based on race/ethnicity, age, education and whether a visitor was foreign or domestic. Table A.2.29 shows the socioeconomic groups, their response rates, and the weights derived that equilibrate response rates by socioeconomic group. The final sample weight for the CUSTOMER ecosystem mailback is WDCMAEC3 which is equal to WDCMAEC1 * WDCMAEC2.

## Summary

The sample weighting described in this chapter is somewhat complex and results in the derivation of numerous sample weights. Some weights are simply intermediate steps in the derivation of final sample weights that are to be used for the relevant samples for estimating measurements applicable to appropriate populations of visitors. Table A.2.30 summarizes the names of the various sample weights according to sample, season, and appropriate use.

Sample 1
Objectives

- Estimate the number of person-trips by visitors to the Florida Keys, by activity and geographic area (Upper, Middle, Lower Keys, and Key West)
- Develop profiles of visitors (age, race, sex, income, place of residence)
- Estimate spending by visitors in local and regional economy and total contribution to the economy in terms of sales, employment and income
- Provide information on importance/satisfaction attitudes and perceptions about facilities and natural resources

Survey of Auto, Air \& Cruise Ship Passengers
On-Site

- Modes of travel
- Profile of visitors (age, race, sex, income, place of residence)
- Activity participation by region

| Expenditure Mailback | Satisfaction Mailback |
| :---: | :---: |
| - Types of accomodations used Modes of transportation used Trip spending profiles | - Importance/ satisfaction of facilities and natural resource attributes <br> - Perceptions on state of resources <br> - Environmental concern index |

## Sample 2

Objectives

- Estimate intensity of use in terms of number of days and number of hours for selected activity groups (10 to 12 activity groups) by geographic region
- Provide information for travel cost modeling used to estimate net economic use values for marine resources

| CUSTOMER SURVEY |
| :---: |
| On-Site |
| - Number of days and hours by activity and geographic area <br> - Trip itinerary <br> - Profiles of visiting group (age, race, sex, education, income, household size) |
| Ecosystem Mailback |
| - Environmental concern index <br> - Perceptions on definitions of ecosystems <br> - Perceptions on ecosystem services <br> - Importance of ecosystem services |

Table A.2.1. Derivation of General Sample Weights for the Auto, Air and Cruise Ship On-site Samples: July - August 1995 and June - November $1995{ }^{1}$

|  | July - August 1995 |  |  |  |  |  | June - November 1995 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

1. Sample weights are equal to the population distribution percentages divided by the sample distribution percentages. General sample weights apply to all sample measurements except, activity participation and region visitation by all members of traveling groups.

Table A.2.2. Derivation of General Sample Weights for the Auto, Air and Cruise Ship On-site Samples: January - April 1996 and December 1995 - May $1996{ }^{1}$

| Mode of Access | January - April 1996 |  |  | December 1995 - May 1996 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sample Distribution (\%) | Population Distribution (\%) | Sample <br> Weight <br> WTJA_AP1 | Sample Distribution (\%) | Population Distribution (\%) | Sample <br> Weight <br> WTDC_MA1 |
| Auto | 73.0 | 73.35 | 1.004794 | 73.0 | 74.07 | 1.014657 |
| Air |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Key West | 10.2 | 9.22 | 0.903921 | 10.2 | 9.08 | 0.890196 |
| Marathon | 7.0 | 1.38 | 0.197143 | 7.0 | 1.29 | 0.184286 |
| Cruise Ship | 9.8 | 16.05 | 1.637755 | 9.8 | 15.56 | 1.587755 |

1. Sample weights are equal to the population distribution percentages divided by the sample distribution percentages. General sample weights apply to all sample measurements except, activity participation and region visitation by all members of traveling groups.

Table A.2.3. Derivation of Activity Sample Weights for the Auto, Air and Cruise Ship On-site Samples: July - August 1995 and June - November $1995{ }^{1}$

| Mode of Access | July - August 1995 |  |  | June - November 1995 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sample Distribution (\%) | Population Distribution (\%) | Sample <br> Weight <br> WJUAG1 | Sample Distribution (\%) | Population Distribution (\%) | Sample <br> Weight <br> WJUNO1 |
| Auto | 86.07 | 87.49 | 1.016498 | 82.13 | 83.96 | 1.022282 |
| Air |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Key West | 3.79 | 4.84 | 1.277045 | 4.72 | 6.06 | 1.283898 |
| Marathon | 0.49 | 0.61 | 1.244898 | 0.58 | 0.73 | 1.258621 |
| Cruise Ship | 9.65 | 7.06 | 0.731606 | 12.57 | 9.25 | 0.735879 |

1. Sample weights are equal to the population distribution percentages divided by the sample distribution percentages. Activity sample weights apply to activity participation and region visitation by all members of traveling groups.

Table A.2.4. Derivation of Activity Sample Weights for the Auto, Air and Cruise Ship On-site Samples: January - April 1996 and December 1995 - May $1996^{1}$

| Mode of Access | January - April 1996 |  |  | December 1995 - May 1996 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sample Distribution (\%) | Population Distribution (\%) | Sample <br> Weight <br> WJAAP1 | Sample Distribution (\%) | Population Distribution (\%) | Sample <br> Weight WDCMA1 |
| Auto | 74.11 | 73.35 | 0.989745 | 74.81 | 74.07 | 0.990108 |
| Air |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Key West | 8.04 | 9.22 | 1.146766 | 7.92 | 9.08 | 1.146465 |
| Marathon | 1.01 | 1.38 | 1.366337 | 0.95 | 1.29 | 1.357895 |
| Cruise Ship | 16.84 | 16.05 | 0.953088 | 16.32 | 15.56 | 0.953431 |

1. Sample weights are equal to the population distribution percentages divided by the sample distribution percentages. Activity sample weights apply to activity participation and region visitation by all members of traveling groups.

Table A.2.5. Derivation of General Sample Weight Adjustment Factor for the Annual Auto, Air and Cruise Ship Sample

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Season | Population <br> (Person-trips) | Distribution <br> $(\%)$ | Sample <br> Size | Sample <br> Distribution <br> $(\%)$ | Annual <br> Adjustment <br> Factor ${ }^{1}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| June - November 1995 | $1,172,004$ | 46.13 | 1,334 | 37.22 | 1.239387 |
| Dec. 1995 - May 1996 | $1,368,484$ | 53.87 | 2,250 | 62.78 | 0.858076 |
| Annual Total | $2,540,488$ | 100.00 | 3,584 | 100.00 | N/A |

1. Annual on-site weight is WTJU_MA1 which is equal to WTJU_NO1 * 1.239387 for the June- Nov. 1995 season observations, and is equal to WTDC_MA1 * 0.858076 for the Dec. 1995 - May 1996 season observations.

Table A.2.6. Derivation of Activity Sample Weight Adjustment Factor for the Annual Auto, Air and Cruise Ship Sample

| Season | Population <br> (Person-trips) | Population Distribution (\%) | Sample <br> Size | Sample <br> Distribution <br> (\%) | Annual <br> Adjustment <br> Factor ${ }^{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| June - November 1995 | 1,172,004 | 46.13 | 3,890 | 41.83 | 1.102797 |
| Dec. 1995-May 1996 | 1,368,484 | 53.87 | 5,409 | 58.17 | 0.926079 |
| Annual Total | 2,540,488 | 100.00 | 9,299 | 100.00 | N/A |

1. Annual on-site weight is WJUMA1 which is equal to WJUNO1 * 1.102797 for the June- Nov. 1995 season observations, and is equal to WDCMA1 * 0.926079 for the Dec. 1995-May 1996 season observations.

Table A.2.7. Sample Weight Names for the Auto, Air and Cruise Ship On-site Sample

| Time Period | Type of Application |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | General | Activity |
| July - August 1995 | WTJU_AG1 | WJUAG1 |
| January - April 1996 | WTJA_AP1 | WJAAP1 |
| June - November 1995 | WTJU_NO1 | WJUNO1 |
| Dec. 1995 - May 1996 | WTDC_MA1 | WDCMA1 |
| June 1995 - May 1996 | WTJU_MA1 | WJUMA1 |

Table A.2.8. Number of Completed Expenditure Mailback Questionnaires and Response Rates

| Mode of Access | July - August 1995 |  |  | January - April 1996 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | On-site | Expenditure Mailback | Response Rate (\%) | On-site | Expenditure Mailback | Response Rate (\%) |
| Auto | 922 | 332 | 36.0 | 1,643 | 754 | 45.9 |
| Air | 198 | 83 | 41.9 | 387 | 188 | 48.6 |
| Key West | 168 | 54 | 32.1 | 230 | 106 | 46.1 |
| Marathon | 30 | 29 | 96.7 | 157 | 82 | 52.2 |
| Cruise Ship | 214 | 90 | 42.1 | 220 | 94 | 42.7 |
| Total | 1,334 | 505 | 37.9 | 2,250 | 1,036 | 46.0 |

Table A.2.9. Derivation of Mode of Access Sample Weights for the Auto, Air and Cruise Ship Expenditure Mailback Samples: July - August 1995 and June - November $1995{ }^{1}$

| Mode of Access | July - August 1995 |  |  | June - November 1995 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sample Distribution (\%) | Population Distribution (\%) | Sample <br> Weight WJUAGSE1 | Sample Distribution (\%) | Population <br> Distribution <br> (\%) | Sample <br> Weight WJUNOSE1 |
| Auto | 65.74 | 87.49 | 1.330849 | 65.74 | 83.96 | 1.277152 |
| Air | 16.44 | 5.45 | 0.331508 | 16.44 | 6.79 | 0.413017 |
| Cruise Ship | 17.82 | 7.06 | 0.396184 | 17.82 | 9.25 | 0.519080 |

1. Sample weights are equal to the population distribution percentages divided by the sample distribution percentages.

Table A.2.10. Derivation of Mode of Access Sample Weights for the Auto, Air and Cruise Ship Expenditure Mailback Samples: January - April 1996 and December 1995 - May 1996

|  | January - April 1996 |  |  | December 1995 - May 1996 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mode of Access | Sample Distribution <br> (\%) | Population Distribution (\%) | Sample <br> Weight WJAAPWE1 | Sample Distribution (\%) | Population <br> Distribution <br> (\%) | Sample <br> Weight WDCMAWE1 |
| Auto | 72.78 | 73.35 | 1.007832 | 72.78 | 74.07 | 1.017725 |
| Air | 18.24 | 10.60 | 0.581140 | 18.24 | 10.37 | 0.568531 |
| Cruise Ship | 8.98 | 16.05 | 1.787305 | 8.98 | 15.56 | 1.732739 |

1. Sample weights are equal to the population distribution percentages divided by the sample distribution percentages.

Table A.2.11. Derivation of Sample Weights to Equilibrate Response Rates by Socioeconomic Group for the Expenditure Mailback: July - August 1995

| Socioeconomic Group | Response (\%) |  | Sample Weight (WJUAGSE2) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No | Yes | No | Yes |
| White/Indian/Asian/Other <br> Age under 36 <br> Income under \$20,000 <br> Domestic | 63.19 | 36.81 | 1.000633 | 0.998913 |
| White/Indian/Asian/Other Age 36 and over Income under \$20,000 Domestic | 54.19 | 45.81 | 1.166820 | 0.802663 |
| White/Indian/Asian/Other Age under 36 Income \$21,000-\$40,000 Domestic | 66.87 | 33.13 | 0.945566 | 1.109870 |
| White/Indian/Asian/Other Age 36 and over Income greater than $\$ 40,000$ Domestic | 46.74 | 53.26 | 1.352803 | 0.690387 |
| White/Indian/Asian/Other Age under 36 Income greater than $\$ 40,000$ Domestic | 58.96 | 41.04 | 1.072422 | 0.895955 |
| White/Indian/Asian/Other Age 36 and over Income greater than $\$ 40,000$ Domestic | 52.31 | 47.69 | 1.208755 | 0.771021 |
| Blacks <br> Income under \$40,000 <br> Domestic | 85.54 | 14.46 | 0.739186 | 2.542877 |
| Blacks <br> Income greater than $\$ 40,000$ Domestic $\qquad$ | 73.69 | 26.31 | 0.858054 | 1.397567 |
| Hispanics <br> Income under \$40,000 <br> Domestic | 89.81 | 10.19 | 0.704042 | 3.608440 |
| Hispanics <br> Income greater than $\$ 40,000$ <br> Domestic | 76.24 | 23.76 | 0.829357 | 1.547559 |
| Foreign Visitors <br> Income under \$40,000 | 88.22 | 11.78 | 0.716731 | 3.121392 |
| Foreign Visitors <br> Income greater then $\$ 40,000$ | 80.06 | 19.94 | 0.789782 | 1.844032 |

Table A.2.12. Derivation of Sample Weights to Equilibrate Response Rates by Socioeconomic Group for the Expenditure Mailback: June - November 1995

| Socioeconomic Group | Response (\%) |  | Sample Weight (WJUNOSE2) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No | Yes | No | Yes |
| White/Indian/Asian/Other Age under 36 Income under \$20,000 Domestic | 63.95 | 36.05 | 0.985301 | 1.026075 |
| White/Indian/Asian/Other Age 36 and over Income under \$20,000 Domestic | 53.48 | 46.52 | 1.178197 | 0.795142 |
| White/Indian/Asian/Other Age under 36 Income \$21,000-\$40,000 Domestic | 67.41 | 32.59 | 0.934728 | 1.135010 |
| White/Indian/Asian/Other Age 36 and over Income greater than $\$ 40,000$ Domestic | 47.14 | 52.86 | 1.336657 | 0.699773 |
| White/Indian/Asian/Other Age under 36 Income greater than $\$ 40,000$ Domestic | 59.33 | 40.67 | 1.062026 | 0.909516 |
| White/Indian/Asian/Other Age 36 and over Income greater than $\$ 40,000$ Domestic | 51.99 | 48.01 | 1.211964 | 0.770464 |
| Blacks <br> Income under \$40,000 <br> Domestic | 85.14 | 14.86 | 0.740075 | 2.489233 |
| Blacks <br> Income greater than $\$ 40,000$ Domestic $\qquad$ | 72.17 | 27.83 | 0.873077 | 1.329141 |
| Hispanics <br> Income under \$40,000 <br> Domestic | 89.65 | 10.35 | 0.702844 | 3.573913 |
| Hispanics <br> Income greater than $\$ 40,000$ <br> Domestic | 75.48 | 24.52 | 0.834791 | 1.508564 |
| Foreign Visitors Income under \$40,000 | 88.26 | 11.74 | 0.713913 | 3.150767 |
| Foreign Visitors <br> Income greater then $\$ 40,000$ | 79.97 | 20.03 | 0.787920 | 1.846730 |

Table A.2.13. Derivation of Sample Weights to Equilibrate Response Rates by Socioeconomic Group for the Expenditure Mailback: January - April 1996

| Socioeconomic Group | Response (\%) |  | Sample Weight (WJAAPWE2) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No | Yes | No | Yes |
| White/Indian/Asian/Other Age under 36 Income under \$20,000 Domestic | 71.45 | 28.55 | 0.763331 | 1.592294 |
| White/Indian/Asian/Other Age 36 and over Income under \$20,000 Domestic | 58.25 | 41.75 | 0.936309 | 1.088862 |
| White/Indian/Asian/Other Age under 36 Income \$21,000-\$40,000 Domestic | 63.92 | 36.08 | 0.853254 | 1.259978 |
| White/Indian/Asian/Other Age 36 and over Income greater than $\$ 40,000$ Domestic | 44.77 | 55.23 | 1.218226 | 0.823103 |
| White/Indian/Asian/Other Age under 36 Income greater than $\$ 40,000$ Domestic | 54.98 | 45.02 | 0.991997 | 1.009773 |
| White/Indian/Asian/Other Age 36 and over Income greater than $\$ 40,000$ Domestic | 49.17 | 50.83 | 1.109213 | 0.894354 |
| Blacks and Hispanics Income under \$40,000 Domestic | 70.51 | 29.49 | 0.773507 | 1.541539 |
| Blacks and Hispanics <br> Income greater than $\$ 40,000$ <br> Domestic | 75.78 | 24.22 | 0.719715 | 1.876961 |
| Foreign Visitors Income under \$40,000 | 69.22 | 30.78 | 0.787922 | 1.476933 |
| Foreign Visitors <br> Income greater than $\$ 40,000$ | 53.79 | 46.21 | 1.013943 | 0.983770 |
| Missing | 50.00 | 50.00 | 1.090800 | 0.909200 |

Table A.2.14. Derivation of Sample Weights to Equilibrate Response Rates by Socioeconomic Group for the Expenditure Mailback: December 1995 - May 1996

| Socioeconomic Group | Response (\%) |  | Sample Weight (WDCMAWE2) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No | Yes | No | Yes |
| White/Indian/Asian/Other Age under 36 Income under \$20,000 Domestic | 71.38 | 28.62 | 0.763939 | 1.588749 |
| White/Indian/Asian/Other Age 36 and over Income under \$20,000 Domestic | 58.27 | 41.73 | 0.935816 | 1.089624 |
| White/Indian/Asian/Other Age under 36 Income \$21,000-\$40,000 Domestic | 63.88 | 36.12 | 0.853632 | 1.258859 |
| White/Indian/Asian/Other Age 36 and over Income greater than \$40,000 Domestic | 44.80 | 55.20 | 1.217187 | 0.823732 |
| White/Indian/Asian/Other Age under 36 <br> Income greater than $\$ 40,000$ Domestic | 54.95 | 45.05 | 0.992357 | 1.009323 |
| White/Indian/Asian/Other Age 36 and over Income greater than \$40,000 Domestic | 49.13 | 50.87 | 1.109912 | 0.893847 |
| Blacks and Hispanics Income under \$40,000 Domestic | 70.56 | 29.44 | 0.772817 | 1.544497 |
| Blacks and Hispanics Income greater than \$40,000 Domestic | 75.79 | 24.21 | 0.719488 | 1.878149 |
| Foreign Visitors <br> Income under \$40,000 | 69.31 | 30.69 | 0.786755 | 1.481590 |
| Foreign Visitors <br> Income greater than $\$ 40,000$ | 53.83 | 46.17 | 1.013004 | 0.984839 |
| Missing | 50.00 | 50.00 | 1.090600 | 0.909400 |

Table A.2.15. Derivation of Sample Weight Adjustment Factor for the Annual Auto, Air and Cruise Ship Expenditure Mailback Sample

| Season | Population (Person-trips) | Population Distribution (\%) | Sample <br> Size | Sample <br> Distribution <br> (\%) | Annual Adjustment Factor ${ }^{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| June - November 1995 | 1,172,004 | 46.13 | 505 | 32.77 | 1.407690 |
| Dec. 1995 - May 1996 | 1,368,484 | 53.87 | 1,036 | 67.23 | 0.801279 |
| Annual Total | 2,540,488 | 100.00 | 1,541 | 100.00 | N/A |

1. Annual expenditure weight is WJUMA3 which is equal to WJUNOSE3 $* 1.40769$ for the June - Nov. 1995 season observations, and is equal to WDCMAWE3 * 0.801279 for the Dec. 1995 - May 1996 season observations.

Table A.2.16. Number of Completed Satisfaction Mailback Questionnaires and Response Rates

| Mode of Access | July -August 1995 |  |  | January - April 1996 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | On-site | Satisfaction Mailback | Response Rate (\%) | On-site | Satisfaction Mailback | Response Rate (\%) |
| Auto | 922 | 432 | 46.9 | 1,643 | 861 | 52.4 |
| Air | 198 | 92 | 46.5 | 387 | 219 | 56.6 |
| Key West | 168 | 79 | 47.0 | 230 | 128 | 55.7 |
| Marathon | 30 | 13 | 43.3 | 157 | 91 | 52.2 |
| Cruise Ship | 214 | 104 | 48.6 | 220 | 104 | 58.0 |
| Total | 1,334 | 628 | 47.1 | 2,250 | 1,184 | 52.6 |

Table A.2.17. Derivation of Mode of Access Sample Weights for the Auto, Air and Cruise Ship Satisfaction Mailback Samples: July - August 1995 and June - November $1995{ }^{1}$

| Mode of Access | July - August 1995 |  |  | June - November 1995 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sample Distribution (\%) | Population Distribution (\%) | Sample <br> Weight WJUAGSA1 | Sample Distribution (\%) | Population Distribution (\%) | Sample <br> Weight WJUNOSA1 |
| Auto | 68.79 | 87.49 | 1.271842 | 68.79 | 83.96 | 1.220526 |
| Air | 14.65 | 5.45 | 0.372014 | 14.65 | 6.79 | 0.463481 |
| Cruise Ship | 16.56 | 7.06 | 0.426328 | 16.56 | 9.25 | 0.558575 |

1. Sample weights are equal to the population distribution percentages divided by the sample distribution percentages.

Table A.2.18. Derivation of Mode of Access Sample Weights for the Auto, Air and Cruise Ship Satisfaction Mailback Samples: January - April 1996 and December 1995 - May $1996^{1}$

|  | January - April 1996 |  |  | December 1995 - May 1996 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mode of Access | Sample Distribution (\%) | Population Distribution (\%) | Sample <br> Weight WJAAPSA1 | Sample Distribution (\%) | Population <br> Distribution <br> (\%) | Sample <br> Weight WDCMASA1 |
| Auto | 72.72 | 73.35 | 1.008663 | 72.72 | 74.07 | 1.018564 |
| Air | 18.50 | 10.60 | 0.572973 | 18.50 | 10.37 | 0.560540 |
| Cruise Ship | 8.78 | 16.05 | 1.828018 | 8.78 | 15.56 | 1.772210 |

1. Sample weights are equal to the population distribution percentages divided by the sample distribution percentages.

Table A.2.19. Derivation of Sample Weights to Equilibrate Response Rates by Socioeconomic Group for the Satisfaction Mailback: July - August 1995

| Socioeconomic Group | Response (\%) |  | Sample Weight (WJUAGSA2) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No | Yes | No | Yes |
| White/Indian/Asian/Other Age under 36 Income under \$20,000 Domestic | 63.32 | 36.68 | 0.837650 | 1.280262 |
| White/Indian/Asian/Other Age 36 and over Income under \$20,000 Domestic | 74.68 | 25.32 | 0.710230 | 1.854660 |
| White/Indian/Asian/Other Age under 36 Income \$21,000-\$40,000 Domestic | 50.58 | 49.42 | 1.048636 | 0.950222 |
| White/Indian/Asian/Other Age 36 and over Income greater than \$40,000 Domestic | 79.12 | 20.88 | 0.670374 | 2.249042 |
| White/Indian/Asian/Other Age under 36 Income greater than $\$ 40,000$ Domestic | 40.14 | 59.86 | 1.321375 | 0.784497 |
| White/Indian/Asian/Other Age 36 and over Income greater than $\$ 40,000$ Domestic | 63.42 | 36.48 | 0.836329 | 1.283762 |
| Blacks <br> Income under \$40,000 <br> Domestic | 43.89 | 56.11 | 1.208475 | 0.836927 |
| Blacks <br> Income greater than \$40,000 <br> Domestic | 56.21 | 43.79 | 0.943604 | 1.072391 |
| Hispanics <br> Income under \$40,000 <br> Domestic | 38.29 | 61.71 | 1.385218 | 0.760978 |
| Hispanics <br> Income greater than $\$ 40,000$ Domestic | 68.35 | 31.65 | 0.776001 | 1.483728 |
| Foreign Visitors <br> Income under \$40,000 | 82.87 | 17.13 | 0.640039 | 2.741389 |
| Foreign Visitors <br> Income greater then $\$ 40,000$ | 79.54 | 20.46 | 0.666834 | 2.295210 |
| Missing | 69.94 | 30.06 | 0.758364 | 1.562209 |

Table A.2.20. Derivation of Sample Weights to Equilibrate Response Rates by Socioeconomic Group for the Satisfaction Mailback: June - November 1995

| Socioeconomic Group | Response (\%) |  | Sample Weight (WJUNOSA2) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No | Yes | No | Yes |
| White/Indian/Asian/Other <br> Age under 36 <br> Income under \$20,000 <br> Domestic | 63.36 | 36.64 | 0.836490 | 1.282751 |
| White/Indian/Asian/Other Age 36 and over Income under \$20,000 Domestic | 74.88 | 25.12 | 0.707799 | 1.871019 |
| White/Indian/Asian/Other Age under 36 Income \$21,000-\$40,000 Domestic | 50.83 | 49.17 | 1.042691 | 0.955867 |
| White/Indian/Asian/Other Age 36 and over Income greater than $\$ 40,000$ Domestic | 78.93 | 21.07 | 0.671481 | 2.230659 |
| White/Indian/Asian/Other Age under 36 Income greater than $\$ 40,000$ Domestic | 40.38 | 59.62 | 1.312531 | 0.788326 |
| White/Indian/Asian/Other Age 36 and over Income greater than $\$ 40,000$ Domestic | 63.44 | 36.56 | 0.835435 | 1.285558 |
| Blacks <br> Income under \$40,000 <br> Domestic | 43.73 | 56.27 | 1.211983 | 0.835259 |
| Blacks <br> Income greater than $\$ 40,000$ Domestic $\qquad$ | 56.49 | 43.51 | 0.938219 | 1.080211 |
| Hispanics <br> Income under \$40,000 <br> Domestic | 38.33 | 61.67 | 1.382729 | 0.762121 |
| Hispanics <br> Income greater than $\$ 40,000$ <br> Domestic | 68.91 | 31.09 | 0.769119 | 1.511740 |
| Foreign Visitors Income under \$40,000 | 82.49 | 17.51 | 0.642502 | 2.684180 |
| Foreign Visitors <br> Income greater then $\$ 40,000$ | 75.70 | 24.30 | 0.700132 | 1.934156 |
| Missing | 70.10 | 29.90 | 0.756063 | 1.571906 |

Table A.2.21. Derivation of Sample Weights to Equilibrate Response Rates by Socioeconomic Group for the Satisfaction Mailback: January - April 1996

| Socioeconomic Group | Response (\%) |  | Sample Weight (WJAAPSA2) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No | Yes | No | Yes |
| White/Indian/Asian/Other Age under 36 Income under \$20,000 Domestic | 67.06 | 32.94 | 0.716373 | 1.577413 |
| White/Indian/Asian/Other Age 36 and over Income under \$20,000 Domestic | 50.07 | 49.93 | 0.959457 | 1.040657 |
| White/Indian/Asian/Other <br> Age under 36 <br> Income \$21,000-\$40,000 <br> Domestic | 58.77 | 41.23 | 0.817424 | 1.260247 |
| White/Indian/Asian/Other Age 36 and over Income greater than $\$ 40,000$ Domestic | 36.19 | 63.81 | 1.327439 | 0.814292 |
| White/Indian/Asian/Other Age under 36 Income greater than $\$ 40,000$ Domestic | 48.71 | 51.29 | 0.986245 | 1.013063 |
| White/Indian/Asian/Other Age 36 and over Income greater than $\$ 40,000$ Domestic | 41.67 | 58.33 | 1.152868 | 0.890794 |
| Blacks and Hispanics Income under \$40,000 Domestic | 81.02 | 18.98 | 0.592940 | 2.737619 |
| Blacks and Hispanics <br> Income greater than $\$ 40,000$ <br> Domestic | 68.07 | 31.93 | 0.705744 | 1.627310 |
| Foreign Visitors <br> Income under \$40,000 | 69.71 | 30.29 | 0.689141 | 1.715418 |
| Foreign Visitors <br> Income greater than $\$ 40,000$ | 45.73 | 54.27 | 1.050514 | 0.957435 |
| Missing | 50.00 | 50.00 | 0.960800 | 1.039200 |

Table A.2.22. Derivation of Sample Weights to Equilibrate Response Rates by Socioeconomic Group for the Satisfaction Mailback: December 1995 - May 1996

| Socioeconomic Group | Response (\%) |  | Sample Weight (WDCMASA2) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No | Yes | No | Yes |
| White/Indian/Asian/Other Age under 36 Income under \$20,000 Domestic | 67.01 | 32.99 | 0.716759 | 1.575326 |
| White/Indian/Asian/Other Age 36 and over Income under \$20,000 Domestic | 50.14 | 49.86 | 0.957918 | 1.042318 |
| White/Indian/Asian/Other Age under 36 Income \$21,000-\$40,000 Domestic | 58.87 | 41.13 | 0.815865 | 1.263554 |
| White/Indian/Asian/Other Age 36 and over Income greater than $\$ 40,000$ Domestic | 36.20 | 63.80 | 1.326796 | 0.814577 |
| White/Indian/Asian/Other Age under 36 Income greater than $\$ 40,000$ Domestic | 48.56 | 51.44 | 0.989086 | 1.010303 |
| White/Indian/Asian/Other Age 36 and over Income greater than $\$ 40,000$ Domestic | 41.62 | 58.38 | 1.154012 | 0.890202 |
| Blacks and Hispanics Income under \$40,000 Domestic | 80.98 | 19.02 | 0.593109 | 2.732387 |
| Blacks and Hispanics <br> Income greater than $\$ 40,000$ <br> Domestic | 68.16 | 31.84 | 0.704665 | 1.632224 |
| Foreign Visitors <br> Income under \$40,000 | 69.79 | 30.21 | 0.688207 | 1.720291 |
| Foreign Visitors <br> Income greater than $\$ 40,000$ | 45.72 | 54.28 | 1.050525 | 0.957443 |
| Missing | 50.00 | 50.00 | 0.960600 | 1.039400 |

Table A.2.23. Derivation of Sample Weight Adjustment Factor for the Annual Auto, Air and Cruise Ship Satisfaction Mailback Sample

| Season | Population (Person-trips) | Population Distribution (\%) | Sample <br> Size | Sample <br> Distribution <br> (\%) | Annual Adjustment Factor ${ }^{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| June - November 1995 | 1,172,004 | 46.13 | 628 | 34.66 | 1.330929 |
| Dec. 1995 - May 1996 | 1,368,484 | 53.87 | 1,184 | 65.34 | 0.824457 |
| Annual Total | 2,540,488 | 100.00 | 1,812 | 100.00 | N/A |

1. Annual expenditure weight is WJUMASA3 which is equal to WJUNOSA3 $* 1.330929$ for the June - Nov. 1995 season observations, and is equal to WDCMASA3 $* 0.824457$ for the Dec. 1995 - May 1996 season observations.

Table A.2.24. Derivation of Sample Weights for the CUSTOMER On-site Sample June - November 1995

|  | Number of <br> Completed <br> Interviews | Sample <br> Distribution <br> $(\%)$ | Population <br> Distribution <br> $(\%)$ | Sample <br> Weight <br> WTJU_NO1 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Auto | 1,668 | 93.66 | 83.96 | 0.896434 |
| Air | 94 | 5.28 | 6.79 | 1.285985 |
| Cruise Ship | 1 | 0.05 | 9.25 | 8.677140 |
| Private Boat | 18 | 1.01 | $0 *$ | 8.677140 |
| Total | 1,781 | 100.00 | 100.00 | N/A |

* The Auto, Air and Cruise Ship Survey did not include visitors that accessed the Florida Keys by private boat and they were therefore not in the population totals for all visitors in Chapter 1. The weight assigned here simply assures that auto, and air visitors percentage distributions within the sample would be the same as in the poplutions estimated in Chapter 1.

Table A.2.25. Derivation of Sample Weights for the CUSTOMER On-site Sample December 1995 - May 1996

|  | Number of <br> Completed <br> Interviews | Sample <br> Distribution <br> $(\%)$ | Population <br> Distribution <br> $(\%)$ | Sample <br> Weight <br> WTDC_MA1 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Auto | 2,610 | 92.92 | 74.07 | 0.797137 |
| Air | 183 | 6.51 | 10.37 | 1.592934 |
| Cruise Ship | 4 | 0.14 | 15.56 | 27.31029 |
| Private Boat | 12 | 0.43 | $0 *$ | 27.31029 |
| $\quad$ Total | 2,809 | 100.00 | 100.00 | N/A |

* The Auto, Air and Cruise Ship Survey did not include visitors that accessed the Florida Keys by private boat and they were therefore not in the population totals for all visitors in Chapter 1. The weight assigned here simply assures that auto, and air visitors percentage distributions within the sample would be the same as in the poplutions estimated in Chapter 1.

Table A.2.26. Derivation of Sample Weight Adjustment Factor for the Annual CUSTOMER On-site Sample

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Season | Population <br> (Person-trips) | Population <br> Distribution <br> $(\%)$ | Sample <br> Size | Sample <br> Distribution <br> $(\%)$ | Annual <br> Adjustment <br> Factor ${ }^{1}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| June - November 1995 | $1,172,004$ | 46.13 | 1,781 | 38.80 | 1.188918 |
| Dec. 1995 - May 1996 | $1,368,484$ | 53.87 | 2,809 | 61.20 | 0.880229 |
| Annual Total | $2,540,488$ | 100.00 | 4,590 | 100.00 | N/A |

1. Annual on-site weight is WTJU_MA1 which is equal to WTJU_NO1 * 1.188918 for the June- Nov. 1995 season observations, and is equal to WTDC_MA1 * 0.880229 for the Dec. 1995 - May 1996 season observations.

Table A.2.27. Number of Completed Ecosystem Mailback Questionnaires and Response Rates by Mode of Access

| Mode of Access | January - April 1996 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | On-site | Ecosystem Mailback | Response Rate (\%) |
| Auto | 2,610 | 1,390 | 53.26 |
| Air | 183 | 107 | 58.47 |
| Cruise Ship | 4 | 2 | 50.00 |
| Private Boat | 12 | 4 | 33.33 |
| Total | 2,809 | 1,503 | 53.51 |

Table A.2.28. Derivation of Sample Weights for the Ecosystem Mailback Sample: December 1995-May 1996

|  | December 1995-May 1996 |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Sample <br> Distribution <br> $(\%)$ | Population <br> Distribution <br> $(\%)$ | Sample <br> Weight |
| Mode of Access |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Auto | 92.48 | 74.07 | 0.800930 |
| Air | 7.12 | 10.37 | 1.456461 |
| Cruise/Private Boat | 0.40 | 15.56 | 38.90000 |
| Total | 100.00 | 100.00 | N/A |

Table A.2.29. Derivation of Sample Weights to Equilibrate Response Rates by Socioeconomic Group for the Ecosystem Mailback: December 1995 - May 1996

| Socioeconomic Group | Response (\%) |  | Sample Weight (WDCMAEC2) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No | Yes | No | Yes |
| White/Indian/Asian/Other <br> Age under 36 <br> Education HS or less <br> Domestic | 63.11 | 36.89 | 0.770876 | 1.391976 |
| White/Indian/Asian/Other Age 36 and over Education HS or less Domestic | 46.27 | 53.73 | 1.051437 | 0.955704 |
| White/Indian/Asian/Other Age under 36 Education above HS Domestic | 52.89 | 47.11 | 0.919834 | 1.090002 |
| White/Indian/Asian/Other Age 36 and over Education above HS Domestic | 43.46 | 56.54 | 1.119420 | 0.908206 |
| Blacks and Hispanics | 74.55 | 25.45 | 0.652582 | 2.017682 |
| Domestic |  |  |  |  |
| Foreign Visitors <br> Age under 36 <br> Education HS or less | 68.19 | 31.81 | 0.713448 | 1.614272 |
| Foreign Visitors Age 36 and over Education HS or less | 42.22 | 57.78 | 1.152297 | 0.888716 |
| Foreign Visitors <br> Age under 36 <br> Education above HS | 45.50 | 54.50 | 1.069231 | 0.942202 |
| Foreign Visitors Age 36 and over Education above HS | 53.90 | 46.91 | 0.916368 | 1.094649 |
| Missing | 60.00 | 40.00 | 0.810833 | 1.283750 |

Table A.2.30. Summary of Sample Weights by Sample and Season

## Season

| Survey/Sample | June - Nov. 1995 | Dec. 1995-May 1996 | June 1995-May 1996 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Auto, Air \& Cruise Ship |  |  |  |
| On-site - General <br> On-site - Activity | WTJU_NO1 | WTDC_MA1 | WTJU_MA1 |
| Expenditure <br> Satisfaction | WJUNOSE3 | WDCMA1 | WJUMA1 |
| WJUCMAWE3 | WJUMA3 |  |  |
| CUSTOMER <br> On-site <br> Ecosystem <br> WJUNOSA3 | WDCMASA3 | WJUMASA3 |  |

## Chapter 3. Nonresponse Bias Analyses for the Mailback Surveys

Chapter 2 described the various survey samples and mailback surveys used and the sample weighting methods applied to each sample. Here the focus is on analyses conducted to address the issue of nonresponse bias resulting from the use of mailback surveys. Nonresponse bias occurs when the group that responds to the mailback survey is different from the population for which you want to estimate certain measurements. The group that responds is different in that they have significantly different responses. For example, respondents to the mailback survey might have higher average expenditures per person per trip for lodging. Applying the higher average to all visitors would result in an overestimate of lodging expenditures. This overestimation would be referred to as nonresponse bias.

The approach used here for nonresponse bias had two steps. In step one, survey response rates were related to various socioeconomic factors. The research question is 'Are the visitors that responded to the mailback survey any different from those that did not respond ?' Step two determines whether there is a relationship between socioeconomic factors and mailback question responses. For nonresponse bias to exist requires not only that respondents to the mailback survey are different but that the same factors related to whether the visitor responded to the mailback are also related to mailback question responses. It is shown here that there is some potential for nonresponse bias in all the mailback surveys but that the extent of nonresponse bias would appear to be minimal. The expenditure mailbacks had the most potential for nonresponse bias. The sample weighting employed and described in Chapter 2 adjusts for the nonresponse bias by weighting the mailback samples to be representative of the population of all visitors. At the end of this Chapter, weighted and unweighted means for selected measurements from each sample are compared to indicate the possible extent of nonresponse bias.

## Expenditure Mailback: July - August 1995

Response Rates and Socioeconomic Factors. Two approaches were used to evaluate the relationship between socioeconomic factors and response rates to the mailback survey. First, univariate statistics were used to test for differences. Crosstabulations were run on response rates by mode of access, age of the person interviewed, household income, race/ethnicity and origin of the visitor (see Table A.3.1). Then univariate nonparametric tests were performed on each socioeconomic factor. The Kolmogorov-Smirnov two-sample test was used. This test tests for differences in the distributions of the socioeconomic factors between respondents and nonrespondents. Statistically significant differences were found for age, household income, whether a visitor was foreign or domestic, and for race/ethnicity (see Table A.3.2).

The second approach used was a set of multivariate tests. In this approach all socioeconomic factors are regressed against the response variable (variable that represents whether the person responded to the survey $1=$ yes $0=$ no). Table A.3.3 defines each of the variables used in the analysis along with the arithmetic means of each variable. Three equations were estimated: ordinary least squares, probit and logit. All three equations identify the same set of factors as being statistically significant in explaining mailback survey response rates. The three equations use dummy variables for several of the socioeconomic factors. For mode of access, auto visitors are in the constant term. For household income, those with incomes under \$20,000 (INC20K) are in the constant term, and for race/ethnicity, White/Indian/Asian/Other are in the constant term. Age of the respondent was positively related meaning that older visitors had higher response rates. Hispanic and Black visitors had lower response rates and domestic visitors had higher response rates than foreign visitors. The results of the multivariate tests confirm the findings from the univariate tests except for household income which was not significant in the multivariate tests. Two other factors were included in the multivariate tests that were not discussed in the univariate tests. They were the number of days in the Keys on the interview trip (DAYS) and the number of people the person was paying for (NPEPPAY). These two variables are important because they would be related to the amount of expenditures. We estimated the expenditures per person per trip. Thus the number of people and the number of days are important in this process and we wanted to ensure that there was no bias in that either visitors that took longer or shorter trips did not have higher or lower response rates or that respondents did not have smaller or larger groups that they were paying for. Neither one of these variables were significant factors in explaining response rates.

Question Responses and Socioeconomic Factors. Step one above showed that there is a relationship between several socioeconomic factors and survey response rates. In this step, it is shown that there is also a relationship between some of these factors and the level of question responses (i.e., the amount of expenditures per person per trip). Table A.3.5 shows the expenditure items for which relationships were estimated between expenditures and socioeconomic factors. Simple linear regressions were estimated between each expenditure category and the various socioeconomic factors. Again, because of the use of dummy variables interpretation is with respect to what is in the constant term. For mode of access, auto visitors were
in the constant. For household income, visitors with incomes under $\$ 20,000$ are in the constant, and for race/ethnicity, White/Indian/Asian/Other are in the constant.

For expenditures on lodging, cruise ship passengers had lower average expenditures per person per trip, holding other factors constant (Table A.3.6). Also, the longer the trip (DAYS) the higher the expenditures per person per trip. None of the factors that were related to response rates were significant here suggesting that nonresponse bias is not a problem for lodging expenditures. The same is true for other activity expenditures (OTHACPPC) and transportation expenditures (TRANSPPC).

For expenditures on food \& beverages (FOODPPC), boating (BOATPPC), fishing (FISHPPC), sightseeing (SIGHPPC), miscellaneous expenditures (MISCPPC), and total trip related expenditures (TOTVPPC), there is a significant relationship between socioeconomic factors that are related to response rates and a significant relationship between some of these socioeconomic factors and average expenditures. For example, higher income groups have, on average, higher expenditures on food \& beverages than those that have incomes under $\$ 20,000$, holding other factors constant. And, visitors with higher incomes had higher survey response rates. This suggests the possibility of nonresponse bias for these expenditure items.

For expenditures on diving (DIVPPC) and on services (SERVPPC) there is not a significant relationship between socioeconomic factors and average expenditures. Even though a couple of factors (e.g. Black visitors for diving and visitors with incomes $\$ 60,000$ to $\$ 100,000$ for services) were individually significant, the overall test that all the coefficients for all the socioeconomic factors are equal to zero is not rejected (F-significance in Table A.3.6 greater than .10 ).

## Expenditure Mailback: January - April 1996

Response Rates and Socioeconomic Factors. Cross-tabulations of socioeconomic factors and response rates are reported in Table A.3.7. The univariate tests for differences are reported in Table A.3.8. For the January - April 1996 survey, only age of the person interviewed was significant with older visitors having higher response rates. Table A.3.9 defines the socioeconomic factors included in the multivariate tests and Table A.3.10 summarizes the results of these tests. Again, all three tests identified the same factors as being significantly related to response rates. Black visitors had lower response rates as did Florida residents. Domestic visitors had higher response rates than foreign visitors and visitors with household incomes between $\$ 20,000$ and $\$ 100,000$ had higher response rates than those with incomes under $\$ 20,000$ or with incomes over $\$ 100,000$. The multivariate tests yielded different results than the univariate tests. In the multivariate tests age was not significant once other factors were included whereas race/ethnicity, household income, and whether a visitor was foreign or domestic were.

Question Responses and Socioeconomic Factors. The same linear regressions estimated for the July - August 1995 sample were estimated for the January - April 1996 sample. Table A.3.11 defines each variables and provides an estimate of the variable mean (unweighted). Table A.3.12 summarizes the results of the analysis.

For lodging, food \& beverages, and services none of the factors related to response rates were also related to the amount of expenditures indicating that nonresponse was not a problem for these items. For diving, sightseeing and other activity expenditures the tests for all the coefficients equal to zero is not rejected meaning that none of the socioeconomic factors were related to expenditures and thus nonresponse bias also was not a problem for these expenditure items. For transportation, boating, fishing, miscellaneous, and total expenditures, there was a significant relationship between socioeconomic factors related to response rates and level of expenditures suggesting the possibility of nonresponse bias for these expenditure items.

## Satisfaction Mailback: July - August 1995

Response Rates and Socioeconomic Factors. Cross-tabulations between socioeconomic factors and response rates are presented in Table A.3.13. The univariate tests are summarized in Table A.3.14. The univariate tests indicate that age, race/ ethnicity, and whether a visitor is foreign or domestic were significantly related to response rates. Table A.3.15 provides the definitions of the variables used in the multivariate tests and Table A.3.16 summarizes the results of the multivariate tests. The multivariate tests indicate the same factors identified as significant in the univariate tests are significant factors when controlling for other factors. Older visitors had higher response rates as did domestic visitors. Black and Hispanic visitors had lower response rates as did foreign visitors.

Question Responses and Socioeconomic Factors. The satisfaction mailback included both importance and satisfaction ratings for 25 items along with ratings on satisfactions for 10 items five years ago and certain special issue questions. Here a
selected set of importance ratings were used to test for the existence of nonresponse bias. The items selected are enough to demonstrate that the potential for nonresponse bias does exist. As will be demonstrated at the end of this chapter, the extent of nonresponse bias appears to be minimal.

Table A.3.17 defines the variables for the importance ratings for which relationships between socioeconomic factors was tested. All the importance factors were rated on a scale from one to five with one being not important and five being extremely important. Table A.3.18 summarizes the results of regressions relating socioeconomic factors to 11 items. For only two of the 11 items, were socioeconomic factors related to response rates not related to the level of importance scores (IMPWATER and IMPCORAL). For all other items then, there is the potential for nonresponse bias.

## Satisfaction Mailback: January - April 1996

Response Rates and Socioeconomic Factors. Cross-tabulations between socioeconomic factors and response rates are presented in Table A.3.19. The univariate tests are summarized in Table A.3.20. The univariate tests indicate that age, and whether a visitor is foreign or domestic were significantly related to response rates. Table A.3.21 provides the definitions of the variables used in the multivariate tests and Table A.3.22 summarizes the results of the multivariate tests. The multivariate tests indicate that the two factors identified as significant in the univariate tests (AGE and DOMESTIC) are significant factors when controlling for other factors. Older visitors had higher response rates as did domestic visitors. Black and Hispanic visitors had lower response rates as did foreign visitors. But, the multivariate tests also indicated that mode of access and race/ethnicity were also significant factors. Air visitors had higher response rates than auto visitors and cruise ship visitors had lower response rates than auto visitors. Black and Hispanic visitors had lower response rates than the combined categories of White/Indian/Asian/Other visitors.

Question Responses and Socioeconomic Factors. Table A.3.23 defines the variables for the importance ratings for which relationships between socioeconomic factors was tested. All the importance factors were rated on a scale from one to five with one being not important and five being extremely important. Table A.3.24 summarizes the results of regressions relating socioeconomic factors to 11 items. For only one of the 11 items, was socioeconomic factors related to response rates not related to the level of importance scores (IMPHIST). For all other items then, there is the potential for nonresponse bias.

## Ecosystem Mailback: January - April 1996

Response Rates and Socioeconomic Factors. The ecosystem mailback was only given out to visitors during the January April 1996 survey period. Also, the ecosystem mailback was given to the CUSTOMER Survey sample not the Auto, Air and Cruise Ship sample as was the case for the expenditure and satisfaction mailbacks. Overall, there were 2,809 visitors interviewed on-site in the CUSTOMER Survey during the January - April 1996 survey period and 1,503 (53.51 percent) responded to the ecosystem mailback. The ecosystem mailback was different in that it asked primarily about visitor's knowledge of what they think ecosystems are, what services they think come from ecosystems, and how important a list of services were to them. Response rate for this mailback questionnaire would be expected to be related to the educational level of respondents. And, even though education and household income are often highly correlated, it is expected that, for the ecosystem mailback, there would be separate effects related to education. Table A.3.25 shows the response rates by socioeconomic factors. Note that for mode of access that Cruise Ship and Private Boat modes had a very limited number of observations for both the on-site and mailback components of the CUSTOMER Survey. Therefore, the results of the CUSTOMER Survey and here, the ecosystem mailback, are limited to visitors from the Auto and Air modes of access.

Univariate tests indicated that age, education, and race/ethnicity were significant factors in explaining response to the ecosystem mailback (Table A.3.26). Table A.3.27 provides the definitions of the variables used in the multivariate tests. It is important to note here that for education (EDUCIN1) the variable values range from one to six with the number corresponding to the educational levels given in Table A.3.25. The average educational level of 4.5 translates into an educational level between 13-15 years and 16 years (college grad). The multivariate tests indicated that age, education, race/ethnicity, and household income are related to response rates. This was the same as the univariate test results except for household income. Here, household income was limited to those that did not provide income and those that earned over $\$ 100,000$. In both cases, these two groups had lower response rates. Unlike the other mailbacks in this study, response rates were not significantly different between foreign and domestic visitors, holding other factors constant. Older visitors and more highly educated visitors had higher response rates and Blacks and Hispanics had lower response rates (Table A.3.28).

Question Responses and Socioeconomic Factors. As with the other mailback questionnaires in this study, the analysis on question responses and socioeconomic factors was limited to a selected set of questions. Tests were run on all responses but
only a select list are presented here. The questions presented here are representative of all the questions with respect to the socioeconomic factors that were significantly related to responses and therefore show enough to convince us that nonresponse bias is a possibility. As will be shown below, the extent of potential bias appears to be minimal and can be corrected for by sample weighting. Table A. 3.29 provides the definitions of the variables used in this analysis. Two different types of questions were analyzed here. First, there were seven questions which asked the respondent how important they thought ecosystems were in providing the seven services listed. The respondent was asked to rate the importance on a 1 to 5 scale ( 1 being not at all important to 5 meaning extremely important). The respondent was then given a list of 20 ecosystem services and asked to rate the importance to them of each one of these services. Again, they were asked to rate these services on the same 1 to 5 scale. Four of those services were included here for the nonresponse bias analysis.

As with the previous mailback surveys discussed above, linear regressions were run relating question responses to socioeconomic factors. Table A.3.30 contains a summary of these results. For one question (IMPB), no factors were significantly related. For two questions (IMPPHOS and IMPSULPH) none of the factors that were related to response rates were also related to question response. Thus, for these three factors the possibility of nonresponse appears to not exist. For the remaining questions, however, the factors that were important in explaining response rates were also important in explaining question response, indicating the possibility of nonresponse bias.

## Solution to the Problem of Nonresponse Bias

As was mentioned in the introduction to this Chapter and in Chapter 2, the solution chosen for adjusting for nonresponse bias was a multivariate sample weighting method. The details of this sample weighting are described in Chapter 2. Here the possible extent of nonresponse bias is assessed by comparing selected measurements from each mailback survey and comparing weighted and unweighted means. Table A.3.31 shows the questions from each survey, their weighted and unweighted means, and the percent difference between the weighted and unweighted means. This latter measure serves as an indicator of the potential extent of nonresponse bias. Overall, only the expenditure mailback would seem to have the potential for significant differences as a result of nonresponse bias. Expenditures would have been overestimated without adjusting for nonresponse bias by sample weighting. For the satisfaction and ecosystem mailbacks, there appear to be no significant differences between weighted and unweighted means suggesting very little potential for nonresponse bias even without sample weighting.

Table A.3.1. Response Rates by Socioeconomic Factors: July - August 1995 Expenditure Mailback

| Socioeconomic Factor | Response Rate (\%) | On-site Sample Size | Mailback Sample Size |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mode of Access |  |  |  |
| Auto | 36.01 | 922 | 332 |
| Air | 41.92 | 198 | 83 |
| Cruise Ship | 42.06 | 214 | 90 |
| Age |  |  |  |
| 16-25 | 18.87 | 106 | 20 |
| 26-35 | 29.87 | 298 | 89 |
| 36-45 | 40.78 | 434 | 177 |
| 46-60 | 44.32 | 370 | 164 |
| Over 60 | 47.22 | 108 | 51 |
| Household Income |  |  |  |
| Under \$20,000 | 28.00 | 75 | 21 |
| \$20,000-\$39,999 | 35.42 | 240 | 85 |
| \$40,000-\$59,999 | 43.01 | 279 | 120 |
| \$60,000-\$100,000 | 42.45 | 351 | 149 |
| Over \$100,000 | 43.31 | 157 | 68 |
| Missing | 26.72 | 232 | 62 |
| Race/ethnicity |  |  |  |
| American Indian | 100.00 | 1 | 1 |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | 30.00 | 10 | 3 |
| Black Not Hispanic | 21.82 | 55 | 12 |
| White Not Hispanic | 40.17 | 1,165 | 468 |
| Hispanic | 17.78 | 90 | 16 |
| Other | 33.33 | 3 | 1 |
| Missing | 40.00 | 10 | 4 |
| Origin of Visitor |  |  |  |
| Domestic (U.S.) | 42.38 | 1,116 | 473 |
| Foreign | 14.68 | 218 | 32 |
| Florida | 40.33 | 538 | 217 |
| Total Sample | 37.86 | 1,334 | 505 |

Table A.3.2. Univariate Non-parametric Test of Response Rates and Socioeconomic Factors: July - August 1995 Expenditure Mailback ${ }^{1}$

|  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Socioeconomic Factor | Statistical Significance <br> of KS Test $^{2}$ | Significant $^{3}$ |
| Mode of Access | 0.3136 | NO |
| Age | 0.0001 | YES |
| Household Income | 0.0805 | YES |
| Origin of Visitor | 0.0001 | YES |
| Domestic or Foreign | 0.6226 | NO |
| Florida Resident | 0.0183 | YES |
| Race/ethnicity | 0.5013 | NO |
| Number of people paying for |  |  |

1. The test used was the Kolmogorov - Smirnov Two-sample Test which tests the differences in the distributions of socioeconomic factors between YES and NO response groups.
2. Statistical significance of .01 means that the distribution of the socioeconomic factor for respondents to the mailback survey was different from those that did not respond at the 99 percent confidence level. Similarly, 05 significance corresponds to the 95 percent confidence level and .10 corresponds to the 90 percent confidence level.
3. YES indicates distributions are different at .10 significance or the 90 percent confidence level.

Table A.3.3. Variable Definitions for Multivariate Tests of Response Rates and Socioeconomic Factors: July - August 1995 Expenditure Mailback

|  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Variable | Definition | Mean (N=1,331) ${ }^{1}$ |
|  |  |  |
|  | Responded to Mailback 1=yes 0=no | 0.3794 |
| ERESPON | Dummy Variable 1=Auto Mode of Access | 0.6904 |
| AUTO | Dummy Variable 1=Air Mode of Access | 0.1488 |
| AIR | Dummy Variable 1=Cruise Ship Mode of Access | 0.1608 |
| CRUISE | Age of Person Interviewed | 28.65 |
| AGE | Dummy Variable 1=Race/ethnicity is Hispanic | 0.0676 |
| HISPANIC | Dummy Variable 1=Race/ethnicity is Black | 0.0413 |
| BLACK | Number of Days in Keys on Interview Trip | 4.2320 |
| DAYS | Number of People Paying for on Trip | 2.1630 |
| NPEPPAY | Dummy Variable 1=Florida resident | 0.4042 |
| FLDUM | Dummy Variable 1=Household Income under \$20,000 | 0.0563 |
| INC20K | Dummy Variable 1=Household Income \$20,000 - \$39,999 | 0.1803 |
| INC40K | Dummy Variable 1=Household Income \$40,000 - \$59,999 | 0.2096 |
| INC60K | Dummy Variable 1=Household Income \$60,000 - \$100,000 | 0.2637 |
| INC100K | Dummy Variable 1=Household Income over \$100,000 | 0.1180 |
| INC150K | Dummy Variable 1=Household Income Missing | 0.1721 |
| INC2MISS | Dummy Variable 1=Domestic Visitor 0=Foreign Visitor | 0.8385 |
| DOMESTIC |  |  |

1. Total sample size was 1,334 but three respondents did not provide their age, so the means presented here are for the sample of 1,331 used in the multivariate tests.

Table A.3.4. Multivariate Tests of Response Rates and Socioeconomic Factors: July August 1995 Expenditure Mailback ${ }^{1}$

| Socieconomic Factor | Ordinary <br> Least <br> Squares | Probit | Logit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Constant | $\begin{aligned} & 0.055778 \\ & (0.85) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -1.3219 \\ & (-6.66)^{* * *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -2.2159 \\ & (-6.47)^{* * *} \end{aligned}$ |
| AIR | $\begin{aligned} & -0.013296 \\ & (-0.33) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.043876 \\ & (-0.39) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.059968 \\ & (-0.33) \end{aligned}$ |
| CRUISE | $\begin{aligned} & 0.026303 \\ & (0.63) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.074248 \\ & (0.65) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.112930 \\ & (0.61) \end{aligned}$ |
| AGE | $\begin{aligned} & 0.000184 \\ & (1.67)^{*} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.000576 \\ & (1.68)^{*} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.000967 \\ & (1.60)^{*} \end{aligned}$ |
| HISPANIC | $\begin{aligned} & -0.25451 \\ & (-4.80)^{* * *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.77139 \\ & (-4.67)^{* * *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -1.2774 \\ & (-4.40)^{* * *} \end{aligned}$ |
| BLACK | $\begin{aligned} & -0.23163 \\ & (-3.40)^{* * *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.67738 \\ & (-3.30)^{* * *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -1.1021 \\ & (-3.16)^{* * *} \end{aligned}$ |
| DAYS | $\begin{aligned} & 0.000099 \\ & (0.05) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.000382 \\ & (0.07) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.000542 \\ & (0.06) \end{aligned}$ |
| NPEPPAY | $\begin{aligned} & 0.012366 \\ & (1.29) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.035974 \\ & (1.33) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.057539 \\ & (1.30) \end{aligned}$ |
| FLDUM | $\begin{aligned} & -0.011648 \\ & (-0.36) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.035824 \\ & (-0.40) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.048463 \\ & (-0.34) \end{aligned}$ |
| INC2MISS | $\begin{aligned} & 0.051332 \\ & (0.81) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.12968 \\ & (0.70) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.24740 \\ & (0.79) \end{aligned}$ |
| INC40K | $\begin{aligned} & 0.044701 \\ & (0.72) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.13571 \\ & (0.75) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.23759 \\ & (0.79) \end{aligned}$ |
| INC60K | $\begin{aligned} & 0.098701 \\ & (1.60) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.28178 \\ & (1.59) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.47225 \\ & (1.60) \end{aligned}$ |
| INC100K | $\begin{aligned} & 0.098553 \\ & (1.63) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.27619 \\ & (1.59) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.47174 \\ & (1.62) \end{aligned}$ |
| INC150K | $\begin{aligned} & 0.094310 \\ & (1.41) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.27119 \\ & (1.43) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.45400 \\ & (1.44) \end{aligned}$ |
| DOMESTIC | $\begin{aligned} & 0.293100 \\ & (6.77)^{* * *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.90733 \\ & (6.85)^{* * *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.52140 \\ & (6.56)^{* *} \end{aligned}$ |
| Adjusted R-square | 0.06808 | N/A | N/A |
| F - significance | 0.00000 | N/A | N/A |
| Restricted Log-likelihood | -926.1488 | -883.4865 | -883.4865 |
| Chi-squared Significance | N/A | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| N | 1,331 | 1,331 | 1,331 |

1. Dependent variable (ERESPON) is a dummy variable indicating whether the person responded to the mailback $1=$ yes $0=$ no. Mean of the dependent variable is .3794 .
T -values are in parentheses under the estimated coefficient for each independent variable. * means the coefficient is significant at $.10, * *$ means coefficient is significant at .05 , and ${ }^{* * *}$ means coefficient is significant at .001 .

Table A.3.5. Variable Definitions for Tests of Relationships Between Expenditures and Socioeconomic Factors: July - August 1995 Expenditure Mailback

|  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Variable | Definition | Mean (N=488) ${ }^{1}$ |
|  |  | 152.54 |
| LODGEPPC | Expenditures on Lodging Per Person Per Trip Spent in Monroe County | 123.05 |
| FOODPPC | Expenditures on Food \& Beverages Per Person Per Trip Spent in Monroe County | 64.66 |
| TRANSPPC | Expenditures on Transportation Per Person Per Trip Spent in Monroe County | 29.53 |
| BOATPPC | Expenditures on Boating Per Person Per Trip Spent in Monroe County | 9.61 |
| FISHPPC | Expenditures on Fishing Per Person Per Trip Spent in Monroe County | 17.02 |
| DIVPPC | Expenditures on Diving Per Person Per Trip Spent in Monroe County | 10.68 |
| SIGHPPC | Expenditures on Sightseeing Per Person Per Trip Spent in Monroe County | 9.43 |
| OTHACPPC | Expenditures on Other Activities Per Person Per Trip Spent in Monroe County | 37.50 |
| MISCPPC | Expenditures on Miscellaneous Items Per Person Per Trip Spent in Monroe County | 5.07 |
| SERVPPC | Expenditures on Services Per Person Per Trip Spent in Monroe County | 459.09 |
| TOTVPPC | Total Expenditures (sum of LODGEPPC to SERVPPC) Per Person Per Trip Spent in Monroe County | 0.1657 |
| AIR | Dummy Variable 1=Air Mode of Access | 0.1796 |
| CRUISE | Dummy Variable 1=Cruise Ship Mode of Access | 44.38 |
| AGE | Age of Person Interviewed | 0.0319 |
| HISPANIC | Dummy Variable 1=Race/ethnicity is Hispanic | 0.0239 |
| BLACK | Dummy Variable 1=Race/ethnicity is Black | 4.355 |
| DAYS | Number of Days in Keys on Interview Trip | 0.1218 |
| INC2MISS | Dummy Variable 1=Household Income Missing | 0.1677 |
| INC40K | Dummy Variable 1=Household Income \$20,000 to \$39,999 | 0.2395 |
| INC60K | Dummy Variable 1=Household Income \$40,000 to \$59,999 | 0.2934 |
| INC100K | Dummy Variable 1=Household Income \$60,000 to \$100,000 | 0.1357 |
| INC150K | Dummy Variable 1=Household Income over \$100,000 | 0.9361 |
| DOMESTIC | Dummy Variable 1=Domestic Visitor 0=Foreign Visitor |  |

1. Sample size for the mailback was 501 but missing information for AGE resulted in 488 observations for estimation.

Table A.3.6. Tests of Relationships between Expenditures and Socioeconomic Factors: July - August 1995 Expenditure Mailback ${ }^{1}$

| Independent Variables | Dependent Variables/Models |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | LODGEPPC | FOODPPC | TRANSPPC | BOATPPC | FISHPPC | DIVPPC |
| Constant | $\begin{aligned} & -63.702 \\ & (-1.10) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -5.117 \\ & (-0.16) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20.644 \\ & (0.78) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -36.906 \\ & (-2.04) * * \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.058 \\ & (0.01) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 28.234 \\ & (1.57) \end{aligned}$ |
| AIR | $\begin{aligned} & 30.622 \\ & (0.77) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 88.313 \\ & (3.69)^{* *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 207.05 \\ & (5.96)^{* * *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -26.270 \\ & (-2.63)^{* *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -5.526 \\ & (-1.04) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10.356 \\ & (1.18) \end{aligned}$ |
| CRUISE | $\begin{aligned} & -40.927 \\ & (-1.86)^{*} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -45.836 \\ & (-3.17)^{* * *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -13.071 \\ & (-1.57) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.445 \\ & (0.50) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -7.456 \\ & (-2.54)^{* *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -11.729 \\ & (-3.06)^{* *} \end{aligned}$ |
| AGE | $\begin{aligned} & 1.2076 \\ & (1.63)^{*} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.2912 \\ & (-0.55) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.4623 \\ & (-0.76) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0151 \\ & (0.05) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.018 \\ (0.10) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.0184 \\ & (-0.13) \end{aligned}$ |
| HISPANIC | $\begin{aligned} & 2.473 \\ & (0.09) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 16.693 \\ & (0.88) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -4.3888 \\ & (-0.59) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -2.281 \\ & (-0.21) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15.759 \\ & (1.11) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8.715 \\ & (0.73) \end{aligned}$ |
| BLACK | $\begin{aligned} & 4.938 \\ & (0.48) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -1.474 \\ & (-0.22) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7.3131 \\ & (0.73) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -7.404 \\ & (-1.71)^{*} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7.903 \\ & (1.18) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -4.713 \\ & (-1.72)^{*} \end{aligned}$ |
| DAYS | $\begin{aligned} & 32.816 \\ & (4.54) * * * \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 16.887 \\ & (4.04)^{* * *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.477 \\ & (2.98)^{* *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8.667 \\ & (4.53) * * * \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.338 \\ & (2.84)^{* *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.894 \\ & (1.53) \end{aligned}$ |
| INC2MISS | $\begin{aligned} & 32.345 \\ & (0.83) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 32.047 \\ & (1.30) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -34.838 \\ & (-1.66)^{*} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.529 \\ & (0.58) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -4.606 \\ & (-0.42) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -9.364 \\ & (-0.57) \end{aligned}$ |
| INC40K | $\begin{aligned} & 57.887 \\ & (1.47) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 51.938 \\ & (2.30)^{* *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -13.827 \\ & (-0.79) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 32.848 \\ & (1.60) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -3.503 \\ & (-0.32) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -13.612 \\ & (-0.98) \end{aligned}$ |
| INC60K | $\begin{aligned} & 13.577 \\ & (0.50) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 38.911 \\ & (2.28)^{* *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 21.829 \\ & (0.92) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20.242 \\ & (2.00)^{* *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -8.020 \\ & (-0.83) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -9.945 \\ & (-0.72) \end{aligned}$ |
| INC100K | $\begin{aligned} & 41.249 \\ & (1.42) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 58.726 \\ & (3.08)^{* *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.345 \\ & (0.22) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.178 \\ & (0.15) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -2.952 \\ & (-0.27) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -9.605 \\ & (-0.72) \end{aligned}$ |
| INC150K | $\begin{aligned} & 30.797 \\ & (0.83) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 53.832 \\ & (2.30)^{* *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 11.189 \\ & (0.42) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6.460 \\ & (0.71) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -2.069 \\ & (-0.19) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -21.353 \\ & (-1.59) \end{aligned}$ |
| DOMESTIC | $\begin{aligned} & -12.149 \\ & (-0.47) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15.151 \\ & (1.13) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7.7236 \\ & (0.84) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 21.094 \\ & (3.07)^{* *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9.300 \\ & (3.14)^{* *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -2.720 \\ & (-0.28) \end{aligned}$ |
| Adj. R-SQ <br> F-signif N | $\begin{aligned} & 0.3088 \\ & 0.0000 \\ & 488 \end{aligned}$ | 0.2991 <br> 0.0000 <br> 488 | 0.2725 <br> 0.0000 488 | 0.1295 0.0000 488 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0133 \\ & 0.0990 \\ & 488 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0084 \\ & 0.1860 \\ & 488 \end{aligned}$ |

1. T-values in parentheses under the estimated coefficient. * means statistically significant at .10, ** means statistically significant at .05 , and ${ }^{* * *}$ means statistically significant at .001 .

Table A.3.6. Tests of Relationships Between Expenditures and Socioeconomic Factors: July - August 1995 Expenditure Mailback ${ }^{1}$ (continued)

| Independent Variables | Dependent Variables/Models |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | SIGHPPC | OTHACPPC | MISCPPC | SERVPPC | TOTVPPC |
| Constant | -0.484 | -7.460 | -6.213 | 5.034 | -65.913 |
|  | (-0.10) | (-0.55) | (-0.46) | (0.64) | (-0.59) |
| AIR | 10.624 | 33.751 | 32.959 | -5.167 | 376.71 |
|  | (2.52)** | (1.19) | (3.14)** | (-0.61) | (4.24)*** |
| CRUISE | 1.491 | -3.259 | 20.231 | -4.147 | -101.26 |
|  | (0.81) | (-0.87) | (2.48)** | (-1.06) | $(-2.26) * *$ |
| AGE | 0.193 | -0.0559 | 0.493 | -0.335 | 0.764 |
|  | (2.12)** | (-0.41) | (2.30)** | (-0.88) | (0.44) |
| HISPANIC | -2.705 | 10.788 | 20.733 | -2.540 | 63.246 |
|  | (-1.04) | (1.09) | (1.27) | (-0.88) | (0.75) |
| BLACK | 1.610 | -0.287 | 22.047 | -0.750 | 29.183 |
|  | (0.60) | (-0.05) | (1.10) | (-0.39) | (1.13) |
| DAYS | 0.518 | 0.486 | 2.657 | 0.900 | 70.641 |
|  | (1.74)* | (1.02) | (3.26)*** | (1.46) | (5.34)*** |
| INC2MISS | -0.811 | 42.029 | -2.207 | 21.729 | 81.853 |
|  | (-0.26) | (1.08) | (-0.22) | (1.05) | (0.89) |
| INC40K | 10.845 | 2.487 | 13.875 | 0.731 | 139.67 |
|  | (2.89)** | (0.80) | (1.54) | (0.37) | (1.61) |
| INC60K | 6.930 | -1.687 | 10.478 | 2.739 | 95.052 |
|  | (2.03)** | (-0.39) | (1.12) | (1.14) | (1.49) |
| INC100K | 5.385 | -0.335 | 20.568 | 5.944 | 124.50 |
|  | (2.00)** | (-0.06) | (2.18)** | (2.07)** | (1.89)** |
| INC150K | 5.202 | -5.031 | -1.786 | 4.519 | 81.760 |
|  | (1.42) | (-0.74) | (-0.19) | (1.12) | (0.94) |
| DOMESTIC | -7.789 | 8.076 | -11.075 | 7.354 | 34.965 |
|  | (-2.58)** | (0.91) | (-1.44) | (0.85) | (0.832) |
| Adj. R-SQ | 0.0382 | 0.0022 | 0.0655 | 0.0030 | 0.3570 |
| F-Signif | 0.0019 | 0.3627 | 0.0000 | 0.3355 | 0.0000 |
| N | 488 | 488 | 488 | 488 | 488 |

1. T-values in parentheses under the estimated coefficient. * means statistically significant at .10, , $^{*}$ means statistically significant at .05 , and ${ }^{* * *}$ means statistically significant at .001 .

Table A.3.7. Response Rates by Socioeconomic Factors: January - April 1996 Expenditure Mailback
$\left.\begin{array}{lccc}\hline & & & \\ & & & \text { On-site } \\ \text { Response } \\ \text { Rate (\%) }\end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c}\text { Sample } \\ \text { Size }\end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c}\text { Mailback } \\ \text { Sample } \\ \text { Size }\end{array}\right]$

Table A.3.8. Univariate Non-parametric Test of Response Rates and Socioeconomic Factors: January - April 1996 Expenditure Mailback ${ }^{1}$

|  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Socioeconomic Factor | Statistical Significance <br> of KS Test $^{2}$ | Significant $^{3}$ |
| Mode of Access | 0.9997 | NO |
| Age | 0.0001 | YES |
| Household Income | 0.9677 | NO |
| Origin of Visitor | 0.1132 | NO |
| Domestic or Foreign | 0.6226 | NO |
| Florida Resident | 0.8198 | NO |
| Race/Ethnicity | 0.3391 | NO |
| Number of people paying for |  |  |

1. The test used was the Kolmogorov - Smirnov Two-sample Test which tests the differences in the distributions of socioeconomic factors between YES and NO response groups.
2. Statistical significance of .01 means that the distribution of the socioeconomic factor for respondents to the mailback survey was different from those that did not respond at the 99 percent confidence level. Similarly, 05 significance corresponds to the 95 percent confidence level and .10 corresponds to the 90 percent confidence level.
3. YES indicates distributions are different at .10 significance or the 90 percent confidence level.

Table A.3.9. Variable Definitions for Multivariate Tests of Response Rates and Socioeconomic Factors: January - April 1996 Expenditure Mailback

|  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Variable | Definition | Mean (N=2,246) ${ }^{1}$ |
|  |  |  |
|  | Responded to Mailback 1=yes 0=no | 0.4608 |
| ERESPON | Dummy Variable 1=Auto Mode of Access | 0.7298 |
| AUTO | Dummy Variable 1=Air Mode of Access | 0.1723 |
| AIR | Dummy Variable 1=Cruise Ship Mode of Access | 0.0979 |
| CRUISE | Age of Person Interviewed | 29.10 |
| AGE | Dummy Variable 1=Race/ethnicity is Hispanic | 0.0218 |
| HISPANIC | Dummy Variable 1=Race/ethnicity is Black | 0.0076 |
| BLACK | Number of Days in Keys on interview trip | 6.6400 |
| DAYS | Number of People Paying for on Trip | 1.7360 |
| NPEPPAY | Dummy Variable 1=Florida Resident | 0.1572 |
| FLDUM | Dummy Variable 1=Household Income under \$20,000 | 0.0449 |
| INC20K | Dummy Variable 1=Household Income \$20,000 - \$39,999 | 0.1647 |
| INC40K | Dummy Variable 1=Household Income \$40,000 - \$59,999 | 0.2053 |
| INC60K | Dummy Variable 1=Household Income \$60,000 - \$100,000 | 0.2053 |
| INC100K | Dummy Variable 1=Household Income over \$100,000 | 0.2289 |
| INC150K | Dummy Variable 1=Household Income Missing | 0.1496 |
| INC2MISS | Dummy Variable 1=Domestic Visitor 0=Foreign Visitor | 0.8517 |
| DOMESTIC |  |  |

1. Total sample size was 2,250 but three respondents did not provide their age, so the means presented here are for the sample of 2,246 used in the multivariate tests.

Table A.3.10. Multivariate Tests of Response Rates and Socioeconomic Factors: January April 1996 Expenditure Mailback ${ }^{1}$

| Socieconomic Factor | Ordinary <br> Least <br> Squares | Probit | Logit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Constant | $\begin{aligned} & 0.318530 \\ & (5.43) * * * \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.465260 \\ & (-3.07)^{* *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.75354 \\ & (-3.06)^{* *} \end{aligned}$ |
| AIR | $\begin{aligned} & 0.022527 \\ & (0.76) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.058714 \\ & (0.78) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.093943 \\ & (0.77) \end{aligned}$ |
| CRUISE | $\begin{aligned} & -0.034255 \\ & (-0.92) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.085220 \\ & (-0.89) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.13996 \\ (-0.91) \end{gathered}$ |
| AGE | $\begin{aligned} & -0.000029 \\ & (-0.41) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.000081 \\ & (-0.43) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.000125 \\ & (-0.41) \end{aligned}$ |
| HISPANIC | $\begin{aligned} & -0.130190 \\ & (-1.76)^{*} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.345420 \\ & (-1.76)^{*} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.572350 \\ & (-1.76)^{*} \end{aligned}$ |
| BLACK | $\begin{aligned} & -0.292910 \\ & (-2.41)^{* *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.850940 \\ & (-2.37)^{* *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -1.4364 \\ & (-2.23)^{* *} \end{aligned}$ |
| DAYS | $\begin{aligned} & -0.000618 \\ & (-0.73) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.001571 \\ & (-0.72) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.0025063 \\ & (-0.72) \end{aligned}$ |
| NPEPPAY | $\begin{aligned} & 0.013399 \\ & (1.18) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.034210 \\ & (1.17) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.055535 \\ & (1.19) \end{aligned}$ |
| FLDUM | $\begin{aligned} & -0.056987 \\ & (-1.84)^{*} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.144960 \\ & (-1.83)^{*} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.233760 \\ & (-1.84)^{*} \end{aligned}$ |
| INC2MISS | $\begin{aligned} & -0.037360 \\ & (-0.68) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.101390 \\ & (-0.71) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.159380 \\ & (-0.69) \end{aligned}$ |
| INC40K | $\begin{aligned} & 0.099362 \\ & (1.79)^{*} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.252270 \\ & (1.76)^{*} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.41182 \\ & (1.78)^{*} \end{aligned}$ |
| INC60K | $\begin{aligned} & 0.091260 \\ & (1.67)^{*} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.232430 \\ & (1.65)^{*} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.37834 \\ & (1.66)^{*} \end{aligned}$ |
| INC100K | $\begin{aligned} & 0.110240 \\ & (2.03) * * \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.28005 \\ & (2.00)^{* *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.45478 \\ & (2.01)^{* *} \end{aligned}$ |
| INC150K | $\begin{aligned} & 0.068054 \\ & (1.20) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.172680 \\ & (1.18) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.28367 \\ & (1.20) \end{aligned}$ |
| DOMESTIC | $\begin{gathered} 0.087690 \\ (2.81)^{* *} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.22708 \\ & (2.82)^{* *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.36518 \\ (2.80)^{* *} \end{gathered}$ |
| Adjusted R-square | 0.01947 | N/A | N/A |
| F - significance | 0.00000 | N/A | N/A |
| Restricted Log-likelihood | -1,623.21 | -1,549.91 | -1,549.91 |
| Chi-squared Significance | N/A | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| N | 2,246 | 2,246 | 2,246 |

1. Dependent variable (ERESPON) is a dummy variable indicating whether the person responded to the mailback $1=$ yes $0=$ no. Mean of the dependent variable is .4608 .
T -values are in parentheses under the estimated coefficient for each independent variable. * means the coefficient is significant at .10 , ** means coefficient is significant at .05 , and ${ }^{* * *}$ means coefficient is significant at .001 .

Table A.3.11. Variable Definitions for Tests of Relationships Between Expenditures and Socioeconomic Factors: January - April 1996 Expenditure Mailback

|  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Variable | Definition | Mean (N $=1,015)^{1}$ |
|  |  | 217.17 |
| LODGEPPC | Expenditures on Lodging Per Person Per Trip Spent in Monroe County | 155.43 |
| FOODPPC | Expenditures on Food \& Beverages Per Person Per Trip Spent in Monroe County | 67.02 |
| TRANSPPC | Expenditures on Transportation Per Person Per Trip Spent in Monroe County | 17.22 |
| BOATPPC | Expenditures on Boating Per Person Per Trip Spent in Monroe County | 21.12 |
| FISHPPC | Expenditures on Fishing Per Person Per Trip Spent in Monroe County | 7.57 |
| DIVPPC | Expenditures on Diving Per Person Per Trip Spent in Monroe County | 13.00 |
| SIGHPPC | Expenditures on Sightseeing Per Person Per Trip Spent in Monroe County | 8.19 |
| OTHACPPC | Expenditures on Other Activities Per Person Per Trip Spent in Monroe County | 41.85 |
| MISCPPC | Expenditures on Miscellaneous Items Per Person Per Trip Spent in Monroe County | 14.93 |
| SERVPPC | Expenditures on Services Per Person Per Trip Spent in Monroe County | 563.50 |
| TOTVPPC | Total Expenditures (sum of LODGEPPC to SERVPPC) Per Person Per Trip Spent in Monroe County | 0.1833 |
| AIR | Dummy Variable 1=Air Mode of Access | 0.0897 |
| CRUISE | Dummy Variable 1=Cruise Ship Mode of Access | 51.15 |
| AGE | Age of Person Interviewed | 0.0118 |
| HISPANIC | Dummy Variable 1=Race/ethnicity is Hispanic | 0.0029 |
| BLACK | Dummy Variable 1=Race/ethnicity is Black | 6.606 |
| DAYS | Number of Days in Keys on Interview Trip | 0.1537 |
| INC2MISS | Dummy Variable 1=Household Income Missing | 0.1773 |
| INC40K | Dummy Variable 1=Household Income $\$ 20,000$ to \$39,999 | 0.2207 |
| INC60K | Dummy Variable 1=Household Income $\$ 40,000$ to \$59,999 | 0.2562 |
| INC100K | Dummy Variable 1=Household Income $\$ 60,000$ to \$100,000 | 0.1547 |
| INC150K | Dummy Variable 1=Household Income over \$100,000 | 0.8808 |
| DOMESTIC | Dummy Variable 1=Domestic Visitor 0=Foreign Visitor |  |

1. Sample size for the mailback was 1,036 but missing information for AGE resulted in 1,015 observations for estimation.

Table A.3.12. Tests of Relationships between Expenditures and Socioeconomic Factors: January April 1996 Expenditure Mailback ${ }^{1}$

| Independent Variables | Dependent Variables/Models |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | LODGEPPC | FOODPPC | TRANSPPC | BOATPPC | FISHPPC | DIVPPC |
| Constant | $\begin{aligned} & 64.629 \\ & (0.91) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 79.838 \\ & (2.12)^{* *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -42.181 \\ & (-2.42)^{* *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -29.114 \\ & (-1.43) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} -43.432 \\ (-1.20) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 21.632 \\ & (3.86)^{* * *} \end{aligned}$ |
| AIR | $\begin{aligned} & 109.66 \\ & (2.18)^{* *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 77.084 \\ & (3.78)^{* * *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 134.57 \\ & (7.84)^{* * *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.686 \\ (0.48) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 34.891 \\ & (1.22) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6.624 \\ (0.89) \end{gathered}$ |
| CRUISE | $\begin{aligned} & -163.36 \\ & (-9.76)^{* * *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -78.929 \\ & (-7.31)^{* * *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -25.781 \\ & (-3.38)^{* * *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9.130 \\ & (0.73) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -7.973 \\ & (-1.13) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -1.218 \\ & (-0.59) \end{aligned}$ |
| AGE | $\begin{aligned} & 1.3686 \\ & (1.42) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.517 \\ & (-1.13) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.262 \\ & (0.87) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.135 \\ & (-0.36) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.791 \\ & (1.00) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.304 \\ & (-3.67)^{* * *} \end{aligned}$ |
| HISPANIC | $\begin{aligned} & -74.705 \\ & (-2.18)^{* *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -29.482 \\ & (-0.76) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -8.269 \\ & (-0.55) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 171.41 \\ & (1.16) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6.429 \\ & (0.46) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} -4.793 \\ (-1.39) \end{gathered}$ |
| BLACK | $\begin{aligned} & -60.188 \\ & (-1.30) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -31.137 \\ & (-1.47) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -5.839 \\ & (-0.57) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 12.433 \\ & (0.89) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} -7.043 \\ (-1.18) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -4.756 \\ (-1.27) \end{gathered}$ |
| DAYS | $\begin{aligned} & 10.409 \\ & (4.63)^{* * *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7.833 \\ & (7.81)^{* * *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.052 \\ & (4.12)^{*} * * \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.288 \\ (1.55) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.521 \\ & (2.85)^{* *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.092 \\ & (1.18) \end{aligned}$ |
| INC2MISS | $\begin{gathered} -9.022 \\ (-0.13) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 45.727 \\ & (1.35) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 47.674 \\ & (3.15)^{* *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 20.721 \\ (1.43) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -11.426 \\ & (-0.94) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} -3.873 \\ (-0.79) \end{gathered}$ |
| INC40K | $\begin{aligned} & -82.424 \\ & (-1.31) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 24.181 \\ & (0.80) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 24.558 \\ & (2.27)^{* *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15.080 \\ & (1.39) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.481 \\ (0.05) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -4.214 \\ & (-0.87) \end{aligned}$ |
| INC60K | $\begin{aligned} & -37.376 \\ & (-0.59) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20.981 \\ & (0.72) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25.549 \\ & (2.39)^{* *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14.132 \\ & (1.29) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.954 \\ & (0.32) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -5.038 \\ & (-1.14) \end{aligned}$ |
| INC100K | $\begin{aligned} & 11.662 \\ & (0.18) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18.504 \\ & (0.65) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 57.318 \\ & (4.62)^{* * *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 19.123 \\ & (1.36) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.045 \\ & (-0.01) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -1.653 \\ & (-0.35) \end{aligned}$ |
| INC150K | $\begin{aligned} & 37.207 \\ & (0.57) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 71.747 \\ & (2.22)^{* *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 59.799 \\ & (4.26)^{* * *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 27.870 \\ & (2.10)^{* *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 38.630 \\ & (1.70)^{*} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.854 \\ & (0.30) \end{aligned}$ |
| DOMESTIC | $\begin{aligned} & 28.264 \\ & (1.09) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 13.504 \\ & (0.68) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 21.310 \\ & (3.09)^{* *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10.886 \\ & (2.36)^{* *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 11.295 \\ & (2.34)^{* *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.576 \\ & (1.25) \end{aligned}$ |
| Adj. R-SQ | 0.1416 | 0.2456 | 0.1976 | 0.1473 | 0.0125 | 0.0039 |
| F-signif | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0166 | 0.1946 |
| N | 1,015 | 1,015 | 1,015 | 1,015 | 1,015 | 1,015 |

1. T-values in parentheses under the estimated coefficient. * means statistically significant at .10, ** means statistically significant at .05 , and ${ }^{* * *}$ means statistically significant at .001 .

Table A.3.12. Tests of Relationships Between Expenditures and Socioeconomic Factors: January -April 1996 Expenditure Mailback ${ }^{1}$ (continued)

| Independent Variables | Dependent Variables/Models |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | SIGHPPC | OTHACPPC | MISCPPC | SERVPPC | TOTVPPC |
| Constant | $\begin{aligned} & 9.607 \\ & (2.07)^{* *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.878 \\ (0.94) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 38.467 \\ & (3.22)^{* *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -33.412 \\ & (-2.00)^{* *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 70.912 \\ & (0.61) \end{aligned}$ |
| AIR | $\begin{aligned} & -1.099 \\ & (-0.53) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7.646 \\ & (1.71) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 29.388 \\ & (3.05)^{* *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.627 \\ & (0.44) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 409.07 \\ & (5.02)^{* * *} \end{aligned}$ |
| CRUISE | $\begin{aligned} & -2.050 \\ & (-0.64) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -2.883 \\ & (-1.30) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6.484 \\ & (0.80) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 11.664 \\ & \text { (1.77)* } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -254.91 \\ & (-7.14)^{* * *} \end{aligned}$ |
| AGE | $\begin{aligned} & 0.066 \\ & (1.25) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.054 \\ & (0.72) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.425 \\ & (-2.24) * * \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.082 \\ & (-0.47) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.080 \\ & (0.63) \end{aligned}$ |
| HISPANIC | $\begin{aligned} & -1.532 \\ & (-0.31) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.857 \\ (0.88) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -2.381 \\ & (-0.14) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.157 \\ & (0.03) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 65.688 \\ (0.41) \end{gathered}$ |
| BLACK | $\begin{aligned} & -1.187 \\ & (-0.28) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -4.988 \\ & (-1.88)^{*} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} -2.047 \\ (-0.18) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 64.990 \\ & (1.44) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -39.761 \\ & (-0.39) \end{aligned}$ |
| DAYS | $\begin{gathered} 0.059 \\ (0.85) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.078 \\ & (0.98) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.638 \\ & (2.82)^{* *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.273 \\ & (3.22)^{* * *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 29.242 \\ & (10.36)^{* * *} \end{aligned}$ |
| INC2MISS | $\begin{aligned} & 4.100 \\ & (1.00) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.322 \\ & (-0.06) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 12.785 \\ & (1.49) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 26.029 \\ & (1.44) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 132.39 \\ (1.23) \end{gathered}$ |
| INC40K | $\begin{aligned} & 2.437 \\ & (0.61) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.400 \\ & (-0.06) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20.478 \\ & (2.01)^{* *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6.675 \\ & (0.63) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6.853 \\ & (0.07) \end{aligned}$ |
| INC60K | $\begin{aligned} & 3.080 \\ & (0.80) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -3.345 \\ & (-0.70) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 11.822 \\ & (1.60) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11.448 \\ (0.99) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 44.207 \\ (0.46) \end{array}$ |
| INC100K | $\begin{aligned} & 0.374 \\ & (0.10) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -6.102 \\ & (-1.41) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 13.082 \\ & (1.78)^{*} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9.396 \\ (0.85) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 121.66 \\ & (1.28) \end{aligned}$ |
| INC150K | $\begin{aligned} & 2.024 \\ & (0.53) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -4.423 \\ & (-0.88) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 31.145 \\ & (2.59)^{* *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7.515 \\ & (0.69) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 274.37 \\ & (2.61)^{* *} \end{aligned}$ |
| DOMESTIC | $\begin{aligned} & -2.406 \\ & (-0.89) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.160 \\ & (0.85) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -1.604 \\ & (-0.16) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 12.207 \\ & (2.07) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 98.192 \\ & (1.82)^{*} \end{aligned}$ |
| Adj. R-SQ | -0.0040 | -0.0018 | 0.0267 | 0.1967 | 0.2961 |
| F-Signif | 0.7924 | 0.6077 | 0.0001 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| N | 1,015 | 1,015 | 1,015 | 1,015 | 1,015 |

1. T-values in parentheses under the estimated coefficient. * means statistically significant at .10 , ${ }^{* *}$ means statistically significant at .05 , and ${ }^{* * *}$ means statistically significant at .001 .

Table A.3.13. Response Rates by Socioeconomic Factors: July - August 1995 Satisfaction Mailback

| Socioeconomic Factor | Response Rate (\%) | On-site Sample Size | Mailback Sample Size |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mode of Access |  |  |  |
| Auto | 46.85 | 922 | 432 |
| Air | 46.46 | 198 | 92 |
| Cruise Ship | 48.60 | 214 | 104 |
| Age |  |  |  |
| 16-25 | 30.19 | 106 | 32 |
| 26-35 | 37.92 | 298 | 113 |
| 36-45 | 50.92 | 434 | 221 |
| 46-60 | 52.97 | 370 | 196 |
| Over 60 | 57.41 | 108 | 62 |
| Missing | 22.22 | 18 | 4 |
| Household Income |  |  |  |
| Under \$20,000 | 38.67 | 75 | 29 |
| \$20,000-\$39,999 | 49.17 | 240 | 118 |
| \$40,000-\$59,999 | 50.18 | 279 | 140 |
| \$60,000-\$100,000 | 50.43 | 351 | 177 |
| Over \$100,000 | 52.23 | 157 | 82 |
| Missing | 35.34 | 232 | 82 |
| Race/Ethnicity |  |  |  |
| American Indian | 100.00 | 1 | 1 |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | 40.00 | 10 | 4 |
| Black Not Hispanic | 29.09 | 55 | 16 |
| White Not Hispanic | 50.21 | 1,165 | 585 |
| Hispanic | 18.89 | 90 | 17 |
| Other | 33.33 | 3 | 1 |
| Missing | 40.00 | 10 | 4 |
| Origin of Visitor |  |  |  |
| Domestic (U.S.) | 50.36 | 1,116 | 562 |
| Foreign | 30.28 | 218 | 66 |
| Florida | 50.00 | 538 | 269 |
| Total Sample | 47.08 | 1,334 | 628 |

Table A.3.14. Univariate Non-parametric Test of Response Rates and Socioeconomic Factors: July - August 1995 Satisfaction Mailback ${ }^{1}$
$\qquad$

| Socioeconomic Factor | Statistical Significance <br> of KS Test ${ }^{2}$ | Significant $^{3}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Mode of Access | 1.0000 | NO |
| Age | 0.0001 | YES |
| Household Income | 0.9263 | NO |
| Origin of Visitor |  |  |
| $\quad$Domestic or Foreign 0.0006 <br> Florida Resident 0.4462 | YES |  |
| Race/Ethnicity | 0.0010 | NO |
|  |  | YES |

1. The test used was the Kolmogorov - Smirnov Two-sample Test which tests the differences in the distributions of socioeconomic factors between YES and NO response groups.
2. Statistical significance of .01 means that the distribution of the socioeconomic factor for respondents to the mailback survey was different from those that did not respond at the 99 percent confidence level. Similarly, .05 significance corresponds to the 95 percent confidence level and .10 corresponds to the 90 percent confidence level.
3. YES indicates distributions are different at .10 significance or the 90 percent confidence level.

Table A.3.15. Variable Definitions for Multivariate Tests of Response Rates and Socioeconomic Factors: July - August 1995 Satisfaction Mailback

|  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Variable | Definition | Mean (N=1,316) ${ }^{1}$ |
|  |  |  |
|  | Responded to Mailback 1=yes 0=no | 0.4742 |
| RESPONSE | Dummy Variable 1=Auto Mode of Access | 0.6876 |
| AUTO | Dummy Variable 1=Air Mode of Access | 0.1505 |
| AIR | Dummy Variable 1=Cruise Ship Mode of Access | 0.1619 |
| CRUISE | Age of Person Interviewed | 41.94 |
| AGE | Dummy Variable 1=Race/ethnicity is Hispanic | 0.0684 |
| HISPANIC | Dummy Variable 1=Race/ethnicity is Black | 0.0410 |
| BLACK | Number of Days in Keys on Interview Trip | 4.1880 |
| DAYS | Dummy Variable 1=Florida R-esident | 0.4035 |
| FLDUM | Dummy Variable 1=Household Income under \$20,000 | 0.0563 |
| INC20K | Dummy Variable 1=Household Income \$20,000 - \$39,999 | 0.1816 |
| INC40K | Dummy Variable 1=Household Income \$40,000 - \$59,999 | 0.2097 |
| INC60K | Dummy Variable 1=Household Income \$60,000 - \$100,000 | 0.2629 |
| INC100K | Dummy Variable 1=Household Income over \$100,000 | 0.1178 |
| INC150K | Dummy Variable 1=Household Income Missing | 0.1717 |
| INC2MISS | Dummy Variable 1=Domestic Visitor 0=Foreign Visitor | 0.8374 |
| DOMESTIC |  |  |

1. Total sample size was 1,334 but three respondents did not provide their age, so the means presented here are for the sample of 1,316 used in the multivariate tests.

Table A.3.16. Multivariate Tests of Response Rates and Socioeconomic Factors: July August 1995 Satisfaction Mailback ${ }^{1}$

| Socieconomic Factor | Ordinary <br> Least <br> Squares | Probit | Logit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Constant | $\begin{aligned} & 0.120680 \\ & (1.60) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -1.0182 \\ & (-4.96)^{* * *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -1.6510 \\ & (-4.882)^{* * *} \end{aligned}$ |
| AIR | $\begin{aligned} & -0.042299 \\ & (-1.00) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.11249 \\ & (-1.00) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.17454 \\ & (-0.97) \end{aligned}$ |
| CRUISE | $\begin{aligned} & 0.008644 \\ & (0.20) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.027791 \\ & (0.24) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.043353 \\ & (0.23) \end{aligned}$ |
| AGE | $\begin{aligned} & 0.004878 \\ & (4.19)^{* * *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.013075 \\ & (4.18)^{* * *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.021183 \\ & (4.15)^{* * *} \end{aligned}$ |
| HISPANIC | $\begin{aligned} & -0.336090 \\ & (-6.15)^{* * *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.96271 \\ & (-5.92)^{* * *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -1.5920 \\ & (-5.60)^{* * *} \end{aligned}$ |
| BLACK | $\begin{aligned} & -0.241000 \\ & (-3.41)^{* * *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.65075 \\ & (-3.34)^{* * *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -1.0471 \\ & (-3.24)^{* * *} \end{aligned}$ |
| DAYS | $\begin{aligned} & -0.001481 \\ & (-0.69) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.004008 \\ & (-0.69) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.006386 \\ & (-0.70) \end{aligned}$ |
| FLDUM | $\begin{aligned} & 0.001851 \\ & (0.05) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.000592 \\ & (0.01) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.005540 \\ & (0.04) \end{aligned}$ |
| INC2MISS | $\begin{aligned} & -0.017120 \\ & (-0.26) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.053442 \\ & (-0.30) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.078635 \\ & (-0.27) \end{aligned}$ |
| INC40K | $\begin{aligned} & 0.068214 \\ & (1.06) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.18874 \\ & (1.08) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.30930 \\ & (1.09) \end{aligned}$ |
| INC60K | $\begin{aligned} & 0.062391 \\ & (0.98) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.16827 \\ & (0.98) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.27921 \\ & (0.99) \end{aligned}$ |
| INC100K | $\begin{aligned} & 0.052201 \\ & (0.83) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.14008 \\ & (0.83) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.23330 \\ & (0.84) \end{aligned}$ |
| INC150K | $\begin{aligned} & 0.047166 \\ & (0.68) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.12801 \\ & (0.69) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.21025 \\ & (0.70) \end{aligned}$ |
| DOMESTIC | $\begin{aligned} & 0.17958 \\ & (3.98) * * * \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.47845 \\ & (3.95) * * * \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.76635 \\ & (3.88) * * * \end{aligned}$ |
| Adjusted R-square | 0.06795 | N/A | N/A |
| F-significance | 0.00000 | N/A | N/A |
| Restricted Log-likelihood | -953.38 | -910.42 | -910.42 |
| Chi-squared Significance | N/A | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| N | 1,316 | 1,316 | 1,316 |

1. Dependent variable (RESPONSE) is a dummy variable indicating whether the person responded to the mailback $1=$ yes $0=$ no. Mean of the dependent variable is .4742 . T -values are in parentheses under the estimated coefficient for each independent variable. * means the coefficient is significant at .10, ** means coefficient is significant at .05 , and ${ }^{* * *}$ means coefficient is significant at .001 .

Table A.3.17. Variable Definitions for Tests of Relationships Between Importance Ratings and Socioeconomic Factors: July - August 1995 Satisfaction Mailback

|  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Variable | Definition | Mean | N |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| AUTO | Dummy Variable 1=Auto Mode of Access | 0.6879 | 628 |
| AIR | Dummy Variable 1=Air Mode of Access | 0.1465 | 628 |
| CRUISE | Dummy Variable 1=Cruise Ship mode of Access | 0.1656 | 628 |
| AGE | Age of Person Interviewed | 43.80 | 624 |
| HISPANIC | Dummy Variable 1=Race/Ethnicity is Hispanic | 0.0271 | 628 |
| BLACK | Dummy Variable 1=Race/Ethnicity is Black | 0.0255 | 628 |
| INC2MISS | Dummy Variable 1=Household Income Missing | 0.1306 | 628 |
| INC20K | Dummy Variable 1=Household Income under \$20,000 | 0.0462 | 628 |
| INC40K | Dummy Variable 1=Household Income \$20,000 to \$39,999 | 0.1879 | 628 |
| INC60K | Dummy Variable 1=Household Income \$40,000 to \$59,999 | 0.2229 | 628 |
| INC100K | Dummy Variable 1=Household Income \$60,000 to \$100,000 | 0.2818 | 628 |
| INC150K | Dummy Variable 1=Household Income over \$100,000 | 0.1306 | 628 |
| DOMESTIC | Dummy Variable 1=Domestic Visitor 0=Foreign Visitor | 0.8949 | 628 |
| FLDUM | Dummy Variable 1=Florida Resident | 0.4283 | 628 |
| IMPWATER | Importance Rating Clear Water (scores 1 to 5) | 4.2108 | 555 |
| IMPCORAL | Importance Rating Amount of Living Coral on Reefs | 3.9692 | 520 |
| IMPTRANS | Importance Rating Public Transportation | 2.1586 | 473 |
| IMPPARK | Importance Rating Parking | 3.1519 | 520 |
| IMPVIEW | Importance Rating Many Different Kinds of Fish and Sea Life to View | 3.9670 | 546 |
| IMPCATCH | Importance Rating Many Different Kinds of Fish and Sea Life to Catch | 3.0539 | 501 |
| IMPRAMP | Importance Rating Boat Ramps/Launching Facilities | 2.7505 | 457 |
| IMPMARIN | Importance Rating Marina Facilities | 2.8298 | 476 |
| IMPSERV | Importance Rating Service and Friendliness of People | 580 |  |
| IMPHIST | Importance Rating Historic Preservation (historic landmarks, houses) | 3.1983 | 57316 |
| IMPREST | Importance Rating Availability of Public Restrooms | 3.8039 | 571 |
|  |  |  |  |

Table A.3.18. Tests of Relationships between Importance Ratings and Socioeconomic Factors: July -August 1995 Satisfaction Mailback ${ }^{1}$

| Independent Variables | Dependent Variables/Models |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | IMPWATER | IMPCORAL | IMPTRANS | IMPPARK | IMPVIEW | IMPCATCH |
| Constant | $\begin{aligned} & 4.4640 \\ & (21.08)^{* * *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.7478 \\ & (10.40)^{* * *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.0271 \\ & (6.06)^{* * *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.8468 \\ & (9.73)^{* * *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.9031 \\ & (11.98)^{* * *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.3962 \\ & (3.63)^{* * *} \end{aligned}$ |
| AIR | $\begin{aligned} & -0.0420 \\ & (-0.33) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.2080 \\ (-1.23) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.3162 \\ & (1.82)^{*} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.2346 \\ & (-1.36) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.0852 \\ & (-0.59) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.3173 \\ & (-1.36) \end{aligned}$ |
| CRUISE | $\begin{aligned} & -0.3988 \\ & (-2.99)^{* *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.5477 \\ & (-3.19)^{* * *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.1065 \\ & (6.32)^{* * *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.3207 \\ & (-1.70)^{*} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.6533 \\ & (-4.04)^{* * *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.5287 \\ & (-2.26)^{* *} \end{aligned}$ |
| AGE | $\begin{aligned} & -0.0022 \\ & (-0.63) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0029 \\ & (0.62) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0106 \\ & (2.09)^{* *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0102 \\ & (2.24)^{* *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.0023 \\ & (-0.54) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0090 \\ & (1.67)^{*} \end{aligned}$ |
| HISPANIC | $\begin{gathered} -0.0788 \\ (-0.33) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.1392 \\ & (-0.31) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.2475 \\ & (-0.87) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.2209 \\ & (0.87) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.5216 \\ & (-1.38) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -0.0530 \\ (-0.12) \end{array}$ |
| BLACK | $\begin{aligned} & 0.2235 \\ & (0.81) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.3201 \\ & (1.11) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.9871 \\ & (2.78)^{* *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.0579 \\ & (3.27)^{* * *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.5470 \\ & (2.31)^{* *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.6208 \\ & (1.42) \end{aligned}$ |
| INC2MISS | $\begin{aligned} & -0.1070 \\ & (-0.60) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0288 \\ & (0.09) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.1257 \\ & (-0.42) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.2395 \\ & (0.91) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.1221 \\ & (-0.44) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0473 \\ & (0.13) \end{aligned}$ |
| INC40K | $\begin{aligned} & -0.2533 \\ & (-1.47) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.1515 \\ & (-0.52) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.0694 \\ & (-0.25) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.3310 \\ & (1.34) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0585 \\ & (0.24) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.2139 \\ & (0.60) \end{aligned}$ |
| INC60K | $\begin{aligned} & -0.2990 \\ & (-1.79)^{*} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.0762 \\ & (-0.26) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.2846 \\ & (-1.01) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.2690 \\ & (1.09) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -0.0320 \\ (-0.13) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.1033 \\ & (0.29) \end{aligned}$ |
| INC100K | $\begin{aligned} & -0.1485 \\ & (-0.94) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.1827 \\ & (0.65) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.2808 \\ (-1.01) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.3000 \\ & (1.23) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.2108 \\ & (0.89) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.1949 \\ & (0.55) \end{aligned}$ |
| INC150K | $\begin{gathered} -0.1550 \\ (-0.90) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.1206 \\ (-0.40) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.6780 \\ & (-2.27) * * \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0015 \\ & (0.01) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0327 \\ & (0.13) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.2161 \\ & (0.57) \end{aligned}$ |
| DOMESTIC | $\begin{aligned} & 0.1387 \\ & (1.05) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.2230 \\ & (1.06) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.2324 \\ & (-1.16) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -0.2857 \\ (-1.59) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.3219 \\ & (1.92)^{* *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.0616 \\ & (4.46)^{* * *} \end{aligned}$ |
| FLDUM | $\begin{aligned} & -0.0631 \\ & (-0.65) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.02676 \\ & (0.21) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.2780 \\ & (-2.16)^{* *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.1730 \\ & (-1.37) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.1561 \\ & (-1.41) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.5511 \\ & (3.41)^{* * *} \end{aligned}$ |
| Adj. R-SQ | 0.0062 | 0.0164 | 0.1692 | 0.0234 | 0.0374 | 0.1240 |
| F-signif | 0.2217 | 0.0600 | 0.0000 | 0.0203 | 0.0013 | 0.0000 |
| N | 551 | 516 | 471 | 516 | 542 | 497 |

1. T-values in parentheses under the estimated coefficient. * means statistically significant at .10, ** means statistically significant at .05 , and ${ }^{* * *}$ means statistically significant at .001 .

Table A.3.18. Tests of Relationships Between Importance Ratings and Socioeconomic Factors: July - August 1995 Satisfaction Mailback ${ }^{1}$ (continued)

| Independent Variables | Dependent Variables/Models |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | IMPRAMP | IMPMARIN | IMPSERV | IMPHIST | IMPREST |
| Constant | $\begin{aligned} & 1.9526 \\ & (5.23)^{* * *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.7692 \\ & (4.98)^{* * *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.6839 \\ & (15.13)^{* * *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.9362 \\ & (9.80)^{* *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.6458 \\ & (8.66)^{* * *} \end{aligned}$ |
| AIR | $\begin{aligned} & -0.1525 \\ & (-0.75) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.0385 \\ & (-0.20) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.3313 \\ & (3.05)^{* *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.4433 \\ & (3.27)^{* * *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.2480 \\ & (1.71)^{*} \end{aligned}$ |
| CRUISE | $\begin{gathered} -0.1344 \\ (-0.63) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.3070 \\ & (1.39) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.2412 \\ & (1.93)^{* *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.3106 \\ & (2.13)^{* *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.2986 \\ & (2.20)^{* *} \end{aligned}$ |
| AGE | $\begin{gathered} -0.0064 \\ (-1.17) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0028 \\ & (0.53) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0061 \\ & (2.01)^{* *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0102 \\ & (2.62)^{* *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0127 \\ & (3.57)^{* * *} \end{aligned}$ |
| HISPANIC | $\begin{gathered} -0.2343 \\ (-0.69) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -0.3621 \\ (-1.29) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.4038 \\ & (-1.50) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.4252 \\ (-1.21) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.0563 \\ & (-0.23) \end{aligned}$ |
| BLACK | $\begin{aligned} & 1.1799 \\ & (2.31)^{* *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.9434 \\ & (2.21) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.6123 \\ & (5.37)^{* * *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.6438 \\ & (2.33)^{* *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.7706 \\ & (4.18)^{* * *} \end{aligned}$ |
| INC2MISS | $\begin{aligned} & 0.2804 \\ & (0.79) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.2397 \\ & (0.70) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.2607 \\ & (1.26) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.1300 \\ & (0.50) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.3881 \\ (1.47) \end{array}$ |
| INC40K | $\begin{aligned} & 0.6245 \\ & (1.91)^{*} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.3288 \\ (1.10) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.3413 \\ & (1.68) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.3833 \\ (1.60) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.5611 \\ & (2.20)^{* *} \end{aligned}$ |
| INC60K | $\begin{aligned} & 0.4569 \\ & (1.34) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.2915 \\ & (0.95) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.3529 \\ & (1.78)^{*} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.2529 \\ (1.06) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.4425 \\ & (1.78)^{*} \end{aligned}$ |
| INC100K | $\begin{aligned} & 0.6418 \\ & (1.94)^{* *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.2626 \\ & (0.86) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.2377 \\ & (1.23) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.2507 \\ (1.09) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.2838 \\ (1.13) \end{array}$ |
| INC150K | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0787 \\ & (0.22) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.0778 \\ & (-0.24) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.3014 \\ & (1.41) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.1126 \\ (-0.45) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0611 \\ & (0.23) \end{aligned}$ |
| DOMESTIC | $\begin{aligned} & 0.3685 \\ & (1.87)^{*} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.4770 \\ & (2.37)^{* *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.1543 \\ (-1.17) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -0.0111 \\ (-0.06) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.2873 \\ & (1.68)^{*} \end{aligned}$ |
| FLDUM | $\begin{aligned} & 0.6927 \\ & (4.60) * * * \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.5313 \\ & (3.70)^{* *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0371 \\ & (0.38) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.1004 \\ & (0.88) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.2146 \\ & (-1.90)^{*} \end{aligned}$ |
| Adj. R-SQ | 0.1178 | 0.0741 | 0.0316 | 0.0378 | 0.0706 |
| F-Signif | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0027 | 0.0009 | 0.0000 |
| N | 453 | 472 | 576 | 566 | 567 |

1. T-values in parentheses under the estimated coefficient. * means statistically significant at .10 , ${ }^{* *}$ means statistically significant at .05 , and ${ }^{* * *}$ means statistically significant at .001 .

Table A.3.19. Response Rates by Socioeconomic Factors: January - April 1996 Satisfaction Mailback

| Socioeconomic Factor | Response Rate (\%) | On-site <br> Sample Size | Mailback Sample Size |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mode of Access |  |  |  |
| Auto | 52.40 | 1,643 | 861 |
| Air | 56.59 | 387 | 219 |
| Cruise Ship | 47.27 | 220 | 104 |
| Age |  |  |  |
| 16-25 | 31.36 | 118 | 37 |
| 26-35 | 42.56 | 336 | 143 |
| 36-45 | 49.34 | 452 | 223 |
| 46-60 | 54.61 | 738 | 403 |
| Over 60 | 63.64 | 561 | 357 |
| Missing | 46.67 | 45 | 21 |
| Household Income |  |  |  |
| Under \$20,000 | 45.54 | 101 | 46 |
| \$20,000-\$39,999 | 56.22 | 370 | 208 |
| \$40,000-\$59,999 | 57.70 | 461 | 266 |
| \$60,000-\$100,000 | 59.34 | 514 | 305 |
| Over \$100,000 | 53.57 | 336 | 180 |
| Missing | 38.25 | 468 | 179 |
| Race/Ethnicity |  |  |  |
| American Indian | 50.00 | 4 | 2 |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | 12.50 | 8 | 1 |
| Black Not Hispanic | 29.41 | 17 | 5 |
| White Not Hispanic | 53.72 | 2,165 | 1,163 |
| Hispanic | 24.49 | 49 | 12 |
| Other | 16.67 | 6 | 1 |
| Missing | 0.00 | 1 | 0 |
| Origin of Visitor |  |  |  |
| Domestic (U.S.) | 54.62 | 1,917 | 1,047 |
| Foreign | 41.14 | 333 | 137 |
| Florida | 47.74 | 354 | 169 |
| Total Sample | 52.62 | 2,250 | 1,184 |

Table A.3.20. Univariate Non-parametric Test of Response Rates and Socioeconomic Factors: January - April 1996 Satisfaction Mailback ${ }^{1}$
$\qquad$

| Socioeconomic Factor | Statistical Significance <br> of KS Test ${ }^{2}$ | Significant $^{3}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Mode of Access | 0.9659 | NO |
| Age | 0.0001 | YES |
| Household Income | 0.9170 | NO |
| Origin of Visitor | 0.0109 | YES |
| $\quad$ Domestic or Foreign | 0.6614 | NO |
| Florida Resident | 0.4073 | NO |
| Race/Ethnicity |  |  |

1. The test used was the Kolmogorov - Smirnov Two-sample Test which tests the differences in the distributions of socioeconomic factors between YES and NO response groups.
2. Statistical significance of .01 means that the distribution of the socioeconomic factor for respondents to the mailback survey was different from those that did not respond at the 99 percent confidence level. Similarly, .05 significance corresponds to the 95 percent confidence level and .10 corresponds to the 90 percent confidence level.
3. YES indicates distributions are different at .10 significance or the 90 percent confidence level.

Table A.3.21. Variable Definitions for Multivariate Tests of Response Rates and Socioeconomic Factors: January - April 1996 Satisfaction Mailback

|  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Variable | Definition | Mean (N=2,204) ${ }^{1}$ |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| RESPONSE | Responded to Mailback 1=yes 0=no | 0.5277 |
| AUTO | Dummy Variable 1=Auto Mode of Access | 0.7286 |
| AIR | Dummy Variable 1=Air Mode of Access | 0.1729 |
| CRUISE | Dummy Variable 1=Cruise Ship Mode of Access | 0.0985 |
| AGE | Age of Person Interviewed | 49.16 |
| HISPANIC | Dummy Variable 1=Race/ethnicity is Hispanic | 0.0204 |
| BLACK | Dummy Variable 1=Race/ethnicity is Black | 0.0077 |
| DAYS | Number of Days in Keys on Interview Trip | 6.5870 |
| FLDUM | Dummy Variable 1=Florida Resident | 0.1561 |
| INC20K | Dummy Variable 1=Household Income under \$20,000 | 0.0453 |
| INC40K | Dummy Variable 1=Household Income \$20,000 - \$39,999 | 0.1652 |
| INC60K | Dummy Variable 1=Household Income \$40,000 - \$59,999 | 0.2078 |
| INC100K | Dummy Variable 1=Household Income \$60,000 - \$100,000 | 0.2296 |
| INC150K | Dummy Variable 1=Household Income over \$100,000 | 0.1502 |
| INC2MISS | Dummy Variable 1=Household Income Missing | 0.2019 |
| DOMESTIC | Dummy Variable 1=Domestic Visitor 0=Foreign Visitor | 0.8525 |

1. Total sample size was 2,250 but three respondents did not provide their age, so the means presented here are for the sample of 2,204 used in the multivariate tests.

Table A.3.22. Multivariate Tests of Response Rates and Socioeconomic Factors: January April 1996 Satisfaction Mailback ${ }^{1}$

| Socieconomic Factor | Ordinary <br> Least <br> Squares | Probit | Logit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Constant | $\begin{gathered} 0.165430 \\ (2.70)^{* *} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.88187 \\ & (-5.42)^{* * *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -1.4307 \\ & (-5.38)^{* * *} \end{aligned}$ |
| AIR | $\begin{aligned} & 0.069625 \\ & (2.36)^{* *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.18763 \\ (2.40)^{* *} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.30362 \\ & (2.39)^{* *} \end{aligned}$ |
| CRUISE | $\begin{gathered} -0.080310 \\ (-2.19) * * \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.21254 \\ & (-2.19)^{* *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.34293 \\ & (-2.19)^{* *} \end{aligned}$ |
| AGE | $\begin{aligned} & 0.005990 \\ & (7.91)^{* * *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.015862 \\ & (7.82) * * * \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.02571 \\ & (7.75)^{* * *} \end{aligned}$ |
| HISPANIC | $\begin{aligned} & -0.21335 \\ & (-2.82)^{* *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.60332 \\ & (-2.81)^{* *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -1.0203 \\ & (-2.76)^{* *} \end{aligned}$ |
| BLACK | $\begin{aligned} & -0.20728 \\ & (-1.74)^{*} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.58599 \\ & (-1.74)^{*} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.93586 \\ & (-1.69)^{*} \end{aligned}$ |
| DAYS | $\begin{aligned} & -0.00064 \\ & (-0.76) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.001752 \\ & (-0.79) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.00278 \\ & (-1.37) \end{aligned}$ |
| FLDUM | $\begin{aligned} & -0.042661 \\ & (-1.39) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.10963 \\ & (-1.36) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.17872 \\ & (-1.37) \end{aligned}$ |
| INC2MISS | $\begin{aligned} & -0.116990 \\ & (-2.14)^{* *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.31870 \\ & (-2.19)^{* *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.51000 \\ (-2.15)^{* *} \end{gathered}$ |
| INC40K | $\begin{aligned} & 0.067439 \\ & (1.23) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.17143 \\ & (1.18) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.28359 \\ & (1.20) \end{aligned}$ |
| INC60K | $\begin{aligned} & 0.068945 \\ & (1.27) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.17489 \\ & (1.23) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.28647 \\ (1.23) \end{gathered}$ |
| INC100K | $\begin{aligned} & 0.085979 \\ & (1.61) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.21787 \\ & (1.54) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.35818 \\ & (1.56) \end{aligned}$ |
| INC150K | $\begin{aligned} & 0.021960 \\ & (0.39) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.05028 \\ & (0.34) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.08620 \\ & (0.36) \end{aligned}$ |
| DOMESTIC | $\begin{aligned} & 0.065214 \\ & (2.07)^{* *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.17261 \\ & (2.07)^{* *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.27430 \\ & (2.03)^{* *} \end{aligned}$ |
| Adjusted R-square | 0.06195 | N/A | N/A |
| F - significance | 0.00000 | N/A | N/A |
| Restricted Log-likelihood | -1,596.26 | -1,524.32 | -1,524.32 |
| Chi-squared Significance | N/A | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| N | 2,204 | 2,204 | 2,204 |

1. Dependent variable (RESPONSE) is a dummy variable indicating whether the person responded to the mailback $1=$ yes $0=$ no. Mean of the dependent variable is .5277 . T -values are in parentheses under the estimated coefficient for each independent variable. * means the coefficient is significant at $.10, * *$ means coefficient is significant at .05 , and ${ }^{* * *}$ means coefficient is significant at .001 .

Table A.3.23. Variable Definitions for Tests of Relationships Between Importance Ratings and Socioeconomic Factors: January - April 1996 Satisfaction Mailback

| Variable | Definition M | Mean | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AUTO | Dummy Variable 1=Auto Mode of Access | 0.7272 | 1,184 |
| AIR | Dummy Variable 1=Air Mode of Access | 0.1850 | 1,184 |
| CRUISE | Dummy Variable 1=Cruise Ship Mode of Access | 0.0878 | 1,184 |
| AGE | Age of Person Interviewed | 51.47 | 1,163 |
| HISPANIC | Dummy Variable 1=Race/Ethnicity is Hispanic | 0.0101 | 1,184 |
| BLACK | Dummy Variable 1=Race/Ethnicity is Black | 0.0042 | 1,184 |
| INC2MISS | Dummy Variable 1=Household Income Missing | 0.1512 | 1,184 |
| INC20K | Dummy Variable 1=Household Income under \$20,000 | 0.0389 | 1,184 |
| INC40K | Dummy Variable 1=Household Income \$20,000 to \$39,999 | 0.1757 | 1,184 |
| INC60K | Dummy Variable 1=Household Income \$40,000 to \$59,999 | 0.2247 | 1,184 |
| INC100K | Dummy Variable 1=Household Income \$60,000 to \$100,000 | 0.2576 | 1,184 |
| INC150K | Dummy Variable 1=Household Income over \$100,000 | 0.1520 | 1,184 |
| DOMESTIC | Dummy Variable 1=Domestic Visitor 0=Foreign Visitor | 0.8843 | 1,184 |
| FLDUM | Dummy Variable 1=Florida Resident | 0.1427 | 1,184 |
| IMPWATER | Importance Rating Clear Water (scores 1 to 5) | 4.2108 | 1,032 |
| IMPCORAL | Importance Rating Amount of Living Coral on Reefs | 3.7004 | 928 |
| IMPTRANS | Importance Rating Public Transportation | 2.3424 | 882 |
| IMPPARK | Importance Rating Parking | 3.3612 | 1,027 |
| IMPVIEW | Importance Rating Many Different Kinds of Fish and Sea Life to View | W 3.6176 | 1,025 |
| IMPCATCH | Importance Rating Many Different Kinds of Fish and Sea Life to Catch | h 2.7908 | 913 |
| IMPRAMP | Importance Rating Boat Ramps/Launching Facilities | 2.3397 | 789 |
| IMPMARIN | Importance Rating Marina Facilities | 2.5275 | 817 |
| IMPSERV | Importance Rating Service and Friendliness of People | 4.1501 | 1,106 |
| IMPHIST | Importance Rating Historic Preservation (Historic Landmarks, Houses) | s) 3.7407 | 1,076 |
| IMPREST | Importance Rating Availability of Public Restrooms | 3.8944 | 1,089 |

Table A.3.24. Tests of Relationships between Importance Ratings and Socioeconomic Factors: January - April 1996 Satisfaction Mailback ${ }^{1}$

| Independent Variables | Dependent Variables/Models |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | IMPWATER | IMPCORAL | IMPTRANS | IMPPARK | IMPVIEW | IMPCATCH |
| Constant | $\begin{aligned} & 4.4502 \\ & (23.72) * * * \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.2873 \\ & (18.23)^{* * *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.6099 \\ & (10.32)^{* *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.9365 \\ & (13.04)^{* * *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.1976 \\ & (18.32)^{* * *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.8169 \\ & (6.27)^{* * *} \end{aligned}$ |
| AIR | $\begin{aligned} & -0.1414 \\ & (-1.52) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.1743 \\ (-1.49) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.3660 \\ & (3.08)^{* *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.2924 \\ & (-2.90)^{* *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.1156 \\ & (-1.18) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -0.0396 \\ (-0.29) \end{array}$ |
| CRUISE | $\begin{aligned} & 0.1217 \\ & (1.06) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.1209 \\ & (-0.74) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.1324 \\ & (8.56)^{* * *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.2102 \\ (-1.52) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0670 \\ & (0.48) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.2241 \\ (-1.24) \end{gathered}$ |
| AGE | $\begin{aligned} & -0.0095 \\ & (-4.05)^{* * *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.0084 \\ & (-2.81)^{* *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0059 \\ & (1.91)^{*} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0127 \\ & (4.70)^{* * *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.0119 \\ & (-4.35)^{* * *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0056 \\ (1.50) \end{gathered}$ |
| HISPANIC | $\begin{gathered} -0.4583 \\ (-1.47) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.1197 \\ & (0.39) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.0126 \\ (-0.04) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.1383 \\ & (0.40) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.2979 \\ & (-0.86) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.6971 \\ & (2.77)^{* *} \end{aligned}$ |
| BLACK | $\begin{aligned} & 0.2220 \\ & (0.39) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.1728 \\ & (-0.36) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.3090 \\ & (0.29) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.3068 \\ & (2.36)^{* *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0315 \\ & (0.12) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -1.8495 \\ & (-10.77)^{* * *} \end{aligned}$ |
| INC2MISS | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0910 \\ & (0.51) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.2817 \\ & (1.39) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.2767 \\ & (-1.13) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -0.0117 \\ (-0.56) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.2016 \\ (1.10) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0810 \\ & (0.31) \end{aligned}$ |
| INC40K | $\begin{aligned} & -0.0079 \\ & (-0.04) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.2204 \\ & (1.08) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.2355 \\ & (-0.97) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.1002 \\ & (0.48) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.1208 \\ (0.68) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.1607 \\ & (0.64) \end{aligned}$ |
| INC60K | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0442 \\ & (0.26) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.1927 \\ & (0.99) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.3140 \\ & (-1.35) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.1228 \\ & (0.61) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0609 \\ & (0.34) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.1774 \\ & (0.73) \end{aligned}$ |
| INC100K | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0640 \\ & (0.38) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.2130 \\ & (1.10) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.5240 \\ & (-2.26)^{* *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -0.0657 \\ (-0.33) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.1254 \\ & (0.73) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0661 \\ & (0.28) \end{aligned}$ |
| INC150K | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0032 \\ & (0.02) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.1009 \\ & (0.49) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.8651 \\ & (-3.67) * * * \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.2289 \\ (-1.11) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0387 \\ & (0.21) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0644 \\ & (0.25) \end{aligned}$ |
| DOMESTIC | $\begin{aligned} & -0.1155 \\ & (-1.36) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.3908 \\ & (-3.48)^{* * *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.3096 \\ & (-2.38)^{* *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -0.1506 \\ (-1.47) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -0.1106 \\ (-0.91) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.5495 \\ & (3.47)^{* * *} \end{aligned}$ |
| FLDUM | $\begin{aligned} & 0.2614 \\ & (3.03)^{* *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.2750 \\ & (2.62)^{* *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.2493 \\ & (-2.20)^{* *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.1117 \\ & (-1.09) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.3035 \\ & (3.22)^{* * *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.6269 \\ & (4.40)^{* * *} \end{aligned}$ |
| Adj. R-SQ | 0.0215 | 0.0227 | 0.1082 | 0.0441 | 0.0234 | 0.0440 |
| F-signif | 0.0008 | 0.0011 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0004 | 0.0000 |
| N | 1,011 | 911 | 865 | 1,008 | 1,006 | 897 |

1. T-values in parentheses under the estimated coefficient. * means statistically significant at .10, ** means statistically significant at .05 , and ${ }^{* * *}$ means statistically significant at .001 .

Table A.3.24. Tests of Relationships Between Importance Ratings and Socioeconomic Factors: January - April 1996 Satisfaction Mailback ${ }^{1}$ (continued)

| Independent Variables | Dependent Variables/Models |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | IMPRAMP | IMPMARIN | IMPSERV | IMPHIST | IMPREST |
| Constant | $\begin{aligned} & 1.9291 \\ & (7.50)^{* * *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.3633 \\ & (8.60)^{* * *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.3938 \\ & (26.28)^{* * *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.1451 \\ & (19.64)^{* * *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.9053 \\ & (23.45)^{* * *} \end{aligned}$ |
| AIR | $\begin{gathered} -0.0708 \\ (-0.62) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.1881 \\ & (-1.61) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.2134 \\ & (2.90)^{* *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0683 \\ (0.76) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0614 \\ & (0.76) \end{aligned}$ |
| CRUISE | $\begin{aligned} & 0.2434 \\ & (1.47) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.1919 \\ & (1.18) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.2043 \\ (2.38)^{* *} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.2607 \\ & (2.52)^{* *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.1471 \\ & (1.53) \end{aligned}$ |
| AGE | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0053 \\ & (1.61) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0010 \\ & (0.30) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.0026 \\ & (-1.28) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.0043 \\ (-1.73)^{*} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0058 \\ & (2.59)^{* *} \end{aligned}$ |
| HISPANIC | $\begin{aligned} & 1.0713 \\ & (3.26)^{* * *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.1758 \\ & (3.48) * * * \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.4578 \\ & (-1.53) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.0618 \\ (-0.17) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.2576 \\ & (1.02) \end{aligned}$ |
| BLACK | $\begin{gathered} 0.1643 \\ (0.27) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -0.3161 \\ (-0.69) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.4119 \\ (-1.25) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.4900 \\ (-1.77)^{*} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0156 \\ & (0.04) \end{aligned}$ |
| INC2MISS | $\begin{gathered} -0.0116 \\ (-0.05) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -0.1024 \\ (-0.43) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.0736 \\ (-0.50) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0150 \\ & (0.08) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.2607 \\ (-1.90)^{*} \end{gathered}$ |
| INC40K | $\begin{gathered} -0.0236 \\ (-0.10) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.0946 \\ (-0.39) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0820 \\ & (0.57) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0132 \\ (0.07) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.2516 \\ & (-1.88)^{*} \end{aligned}$ |
| INC60K | $\begin{gathered} -0.0329 \\ (-0.15) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.1543 \\ (-0.68) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.1037 \\ & (0.74) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0066 \\ (0.04) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.1995 \\ & (-1.59) \end{aligned}$ |
| INC100K | $\begin{gathered} -0.0565 \\ (-0.26) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.1275 \\ (-0.56) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0752 \\ & (0.54) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -0.1015 \\ (-0.56) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.3512 \\ & (-2.81)^{* *} \end{aligned}$ |
| INC150K | $\begin{gathered} -0.2238 \\ (-0.98) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -0.2767 \\ (-1.17) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0530 \\ & (0.36) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.0142 \\ (-0.07) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.5416 \\ & (-4.02)^{* * *} \end{aligned}$ |
| DOMESTIC | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0870 \\ & (0.56) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.2214 \\ & (1.41) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.2458 \\ & (-3.31)^{* * *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -0.2167 \\ (-2.38) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.0251 \\ & (-0.26) \end{aligned}$ |
| FLDUM | $\begin{aligned} & 0.6227 \\ & (4.81)^{* * *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.3861 \\ & (3.02)^{* *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0108 \\ & (0.14) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0215 \\ & (0.22) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.1250 \\ & (-1.34) \end{aligned}$ |
| Adj. R-SQ | 0.0476 | 0.0277 | 0.0169 | 0.0032 | 0.0184 |
| F-Signif | 0.0000 | 0.0006 | 0.0025 | 0.2211 | 0.0016 |
| N | 775 | 802 | 1,085 | 1,057 | 1,068 |

1. T-values in parentheses under the estimated coefficient. * means statistically significant at .10 , ${ }^{* *}$ means statistically significant at .05 , and ${ }^{* * *}$ means statistically significant at .001 .

Table A.3.25. Response Rates by Socioeconomic Factors: January - April 1996 Ecosystem Mailback

| Socioeconomic Factor | Response Rate (\%) | On-site Sample Size | Mailback Sample Size |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mode of Access |  |  |  |
| Auto | 53.26 | 2,610 | 1,390 |
| Air | 58.47 | 183 | 107 |
| Cruise Ship | 50.00 | 4 | 2 |
| Private Boat | 33.33 | 12 | 4 |
| Age |  |  |  |
| 16-25 | 41.02 | 295 | 121 |
| 26-35 | 41.79 | 560 | 234 |
| 36-45 | 55.75 | 678 | 378 |
| 46-60 | 57.61 | 788 | 454 |
| Over 60 | 66.30 | 460 | 305 |
| Missing | 39.29 | 28 | 11 |
| Household Income |  |  |  |
| Under \$20,000 | 51.66 | 151 | 78 |
| \$20,000-\$39,999 | 54.36 | 390 | 212 |
| \$40,000-\$59,999 | 57.04 | 568 | 324 |
| \$60,000-\$100,000 | 60.35 | 575 | 347 |
| Over \$100,000 | 53.12 | 401 | 213 |
| Missing | 45.44 | 724 | 329 |
| Education |  |  |  |
| 8th Grade or less | 60.00 | 5 | 3 |
| 9th - 11th grade | 46.88 | 64 | 30 |
| 12th grade | 47.45 | 588 | 279 |
| 13-15 years | 53.41 | 616 | 329 |
| 16 years (college grad) | 53.94 | 901 | 486 |
| 17 or more years | 59.74 | 626 | 374 |
| Race/Ethnicity |  |  |  |
| American Indian | 66.67 | 3 | 2 |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | 40.00 | 25 | 10 |
| Black Not Hispanic | 21.88 | 32 | 7 |
| White Not Hispanic | 55.63 | 2,594 | 1,443 |
| Hispanic | 27.14 | 140 | 38 |
| Other | 16.67 | 12 | 2 |
| Missing | 33.33 | 3 | 1 |
| Origin of Visitor |  |  |  |
| Domestic (U.S.) | 54.04 | 2,478 | 1,339 |
| Foreign | 49.55 | 331 | 164 |
| Total Sample | 53.51 | 2,809 | 1,503 |

Table A.3.26. Univariate Non-parametric Test of Response Rates and Socioeconomic Factors: January - April 1996 Ecosystem Mailback ${ }^{1}$
$\qquad$

| Socioeconomic Factor | Statistical Significance <br> of KS Test ${ }^{2}$ | Significant $^{3}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Mode of Access | 1.0000 | NO |
| Age | 0.0001 | YES |
| Household Income | 0.2554 | NO |
| Education | 0.0145 | YES |
| Origin of Visitor | 0.9666 | NO |
| $\quad$ Domestic or Foreign | 0.0015 | YES |
| Race/Ethnicity |  |  |

1. The test used was the Kolmogorov - Smirnov Two-sample Test which tests the differences in the distributions of socioeconomic factors between YES and NO response groups.
2. Statistical significance of . 01 means that the distribution of the socioeconomic factor for respondents to the mailback survey was different from those that did not respond at the 99 percent confidence level. Similarly, .05 significance corresponds to the 95 percent confidence level and .10 corresponds to the 90 percent confidence level.
3. YES indicates distributions are different at .10 significance or the 90 percent confidence level.

Table A.3.27. Variable Definitions for Multivariate Tests of Response Rates and Socioeconomic Factors: January - April 1996 Ecosystem Mailback

|  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Variable | Definition | Mean (N=2,777) ${ }^{1}$ |
|  |  |  |
| RESPONSE | Responded to Mailback 1=yes 0=no | 0.5373 |
| AIR | Dummy Variable 1=Air Mode of Access | 0.0648 |
| AGE | Age of Person Interviewed | 44.23 |
| EDUCIN1 | Education Level of Person Interviewed | 4.505 |
| HISPANIC | Dummy Variable 1=Race/ethnicity is Hispanic | 0.0493 |
| BLACK | Dummy Variable 1=Race/ethnicity is Black | 0.0115 |
| DAYS | Number of Days in Keys on Interview Trip | 7.144 |
| INC20K | Dummy Variable 1=Household Income under \$20,000 | 0.0544 |
| INC40K | Dummy Variable 1=Household Income \$20,000 - \$39,999 | 0.1394 |
| INC60K | Dummy Variable 1=Household Income \$40,000 - \$59,999 | 0.2031 |
| INC100K | Dummy Variable 1=Household Income \$60,000 - \$100,000 | 0.2060 |
| INC150K | Dummy Variable 1=Household Income over \$100,000 | 0.1426 |
| INCMISS | Dummy Variable 1=Household Income Missing | 0.2546 |
| DOMESTIC | Dummy Variable 1=Domestic Visitor 0=Foreign Visitor | 0.8826 |
|  |  |  |

1. Total sample size was 2,809 but three respondents did not provide their age, so the means presented here are for the sample of 2,777 used in the multivariate tests.

Table A.3.28. Multivariate Tests of Response Rates and Socioeconomic Factors: January April 1996 Ecosystem Mailback ${ }^{1}$

| Socieconomic Factor | Ordinary <br> Least <br> Squares | Probit | Logit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Constant | $\begin{aligned} & 0.17190 \\ & (2.68)^{* *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.86164 \\ & (-5.06)^{* * *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -1.4072 \\ & (-5.09)^{* * *} \end{aligned}$ |
| AIR | $\begin{aligned} & 0.031419 \\ & (0.83) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.08078 \\ & (0.81) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.13585 \\ & (0.84) \end{aligned}$ |
| AGE | $\begin{aligned} & 0.005833 \\ & (8.76)^{* * *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.015373 \\ & (8.62)^{* * *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.02502 \\ & (8.54)^{* * *} \end{aligned}$ |
| EDUCIN1 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.036453 \\ & (4.26)^{* * *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.097075 \\ & (4.27) * * * \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.15834 \\ & (4.28)^{* * *} \end{aligned}$ |
| HISPANIC | $\begin{aligned} & -0.23207 \\ & (-5.37)^{* * *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.63448 \\ & (-5.26)^{* * *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -1.0310 \\ & (-5.11)^{* * *} \end{aligned}$ |
| BLACK | $\begin{aligned} & -0.28789 \\ & (-3.34)^{* * *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.80471 \\ & (-3.22)^{* * *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -1.3407 \\ & (-3.08)^{* *} \end{aligned}$ |
| DAYS | $\begin{aligned} & 0.00055 \\ & (0.89) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.00151 \\ & (0.91) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.00249 \\ & (0.91) \end{aligned}$ |
| INC2MISS | $\begin{aligned} & -0.16108 \\ & (-3.64)^{* * *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.43176 \\ & (-3.65)^{* * *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.70143 \\ & (-3.67)^{* * *} \end{aligned}$ |
| INC40K | $\begin{gathered} -0.05000 \\ (-1.06) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.13627 \\ (-1.09) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.22156 \\ & (-1.10) \end{aligned}$ |
| INC60K | $\begin{aligned} & -0.03683 \\ & (-0.82) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.10535 \\ (-0.88) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.16789 \\ (-0.87) \end{gathered}$ |
| INC100K | $\begin{gathered} -0.02877 \\ (-0.63) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.08364 \\ (-0.69) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.13956 \\ (-0.72) \end{gathered}$ |
| INC150K | $\begin{gathered} -0.12184 \\ (-2.54)^{* *} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.32945 \\ (-2.58)^{* *} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.53751 \\ (-2.62)^{* *} \end{gathered}$ |
| DOMESTIC | $\begin{aligned} & 0.03477 \\ & (1.21) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.08808 \\ & (1.16) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.14463 \\ & (1.18) \end{aligned}$ |
| Adjusted R-square | 0.05997 | N/A | N/A |
| F - significance | 0.00000 | N/A | N/A |
| Restricted Log-likelihood | -2,007.79 | -1,917.148 | -1,917.148 |
| Chi-squared Significance | N/A | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| N | 2,777 | 2,777 | 2,777 |

1. Dependent variable (RESPONSE) is a dummy variable indicating whether the person responded to the mailback $1=$ yes $0=$ no. Mean of the dependent variable is .5373 . T -values are in parentheses under the estimated coefficient for each independent variable. * means the coefficient is significant at $.10,{ }^{* *}$ means coefficient is significant at .05 , and ${ }^{* * *}$ means coefficient is significant at .001 .

Table A.3.29. Variable Definitions for Tests of Relationships Between Importance Ratings and Socioeconomic Factors: January - April 1996 Ecosystem Mailback

| Variable | Definition | Mean | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AIR | Dummy Variable 1=Air mode of access | 0.0712 | 1,503 |
| AGEIN1 | Age of Person Interviewed | 46.53 | 1,494 |
| EDUCIN1 | Education of the Person Interviwed | 4.59 | 1,501 |
| HISPANIC | Dummy Variable 1=Race/Ethnicity is Hispanic | 0.0253 | 1,502 |
| BLACK | Dummy Variable 1=Race/Ethnicity is Black | 0.0047 | 1,502 |
| INCMIS | Dummy Variable 1=Household Income Missing | 0.2189 | 1,503 |
| INC20K | Dummy Variable 1=Household Income under \$20,000 | 0.0519 | 1,503 |
| INC40K | Dummy Variable 1=Household Income \$20,000 to \$39,999 | 0.1411 | 1,503 |
| INC60K | Dummy Variable 1=Household Income \$40,000 to \$59,999 | 0.2156 | 1,503 |
| INC100K | Dummy Variable 1=Household Income \$60,000 to \$100,000 | 0.2309 | 1,503 |
| INC150K | Dummy Variable 1=Household Income over \$100,000 | 0.1417 | 1,503 |
| DOMESTIC | Dummy Variable 1=Domestic Visitor 0=Foreign Visitor | 0.8909 | 1,503 |
| DAYS | Number of Days in the Keys on the Interview Trip | 7.835 | 1,503 |
| Ecosystem Services - How important you think ecosystems are in providing the following (scores 1 to 5) |  |  |  |
| IMPWATER | Importance Rating Water for Use by Plants | 4.1304 | 1,327 |
| IMPSOLAR | Importance Rating Solar Energy to Produce Food and Nutrients | 4.0015 | 1,313 |
| IMPOXY | Importance Rating Oxygen for Use by Plants and Animals | 4.2079 | 1,294 |
| IMPCARB | Importance Rating Carbon for use by Plants and Animals | 3.8649 | 1,044 |
| IMPPHOS | Importance Rating Phosphorous for use by Plants and Animals | 3.6718 | 966 |
| IMPSULPH | Importance Rating Sulphur for use by Plants and Animals | 3.5535 | 878 |
| IMPNITRO | Importance Rating Nitrogen for use by Plants and Animals | 3.8632 | 1,104 |
| Ecosystem Services - How important are the following ecosystem services to you (scores 1 to 5) |  |  |  |
| IMPA | Importance Rating Using Plants for Commercial Harvest | 3.3367 | 1,304 |
| IMPB | Importance Rating Using Plants for Recreational Harvest | 2.8773 | 1,377 |
| IMPC | Importance Rating Using Plants for Nature Study and Observation | 3.4787 | 1,387 |
| IMPD | Importance Rating Using Plants for Photographs, Paintings, Videos | 2.6905 | 1,370 |

Table A.3.30. Tests of Relationships between Importance Ratings and Socioeconomic Factors: January - April 1996 Ecosystem Mailback ${ }^{1}$

| Independent Variables | Dependent Variables/Models |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | IMPWATER | IMPSOLAR | IMPOXY | IMPCARB | IMPPHOS | IMPSULPH |
| Constant | $\begin{aligned} & 3.7049 \\ & (19.28)^{* * *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.7603 \\ & (19.00)^{* * *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.8919 \\ & (20.62)^{* * *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.6217 \\ & (14.03)^{* * *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.3428 \\ & (12.34)^{* * *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.2090 \\ & (10.11)^{* * *} \end{aligned}$ |
| AIR | $\begin{aligned} & -0.0577 \\ & (-0.55) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.0850 \\ (-0.75) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.1046 \\ & (-0.99) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.3757 \\ & (-2.40)^{* *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.4433 \\ & (-2.78)^{* *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.5533 \\ & (-3.22) * * \end{aligned}$ |
| AGEIN1 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.000038 \\ & (0.02) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.0051 \\ & (-2.43)^{* *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.0046 \\ & (-2.40)^{* *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.0071 \\ & (-2.74)^{* *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.0036 \\ & (-1.35) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.0050 \\ (-1.60) \end{gathered}$ |
| EDUCIN1 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.11429 \\ & (4.79)^{* * *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0867 \\ & (3.24)^{* * *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0963 \\ & (3.96)^{* * *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0605 \\ & (1.84)^{*} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0338 \\ (0.97) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0503 \\ (1.28) \end{gathered}$ |
| HISPANIC | $\begin{aligned} & 0.05032 \\ & (0.33) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.2182 \\ & (1.36) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0135 \\ (0.08) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.2265 \\ (-1.00) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.2162 \\ & (-0.92) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -0.0531 \\ (-0.23) \end{array}$ |
| BLACK | $\begin{aligned} & 0.09598 \\ & (0.21) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.0424 \\ & (-0.09) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -0.0190 \\ (-0.04) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0212 \\ & (0.04) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.5066 \\ & (1.24) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.3377 \\ (0.57) \end{array}$ |
| INCMIS | $\begin{aligned} & -0.06126 \\ & (-0.45) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0608 \\ & (0.42) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0144 \\ & (0.10) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.1739 \\ (1.03) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.2354 \\ (1.24) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.2342 \\ & (1.11) \end{aligned}$ |
| INC40K | $\begin{aligned} & -0.18155 \\ & (-1.28) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -0.1131 \\ (-0.73) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -0.1539 \\ (-1.02) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.0965 \\ (-0.56) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0010 \\ (0.01) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0064 \\ & (0.03) \end{aligned}$ |
| INC60K | $\begin{gathered} -0.00903 \\ (-0.07) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.1567 \\ & (1.10) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -0.0039 \\ (-0.03) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0272 \\ & (0.16) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0872 \\ & (0.46) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.1779 \\ & (0.85) \end{aligned}$ |
| INC100K | $\begin{aligned} & -0.04639 \\ & (-0.35) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0965 \\ & (0.67) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0150 \\ & (0.11) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0910 \\ & (0.55) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0817 \\ & (0.43) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0906 \\ & (0.43) \end{aligned}$ |
| INC150K | $\begin{gathered} -0.18814 \\ (-1.30) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.0501 \\ & (-0.32) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.0332 \\ (-0.22) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.0796 \\ (-0.44) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0081 \\ & (0.04) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0694 \\ & (0.32) \end{aligned}$ |
| DOMESTIC | $\begin{aligned} & -0.0175 \\ & (-0.23) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0266 \\ & (0.20) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.1162 \\ & (1.33) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.3274 \\ & (2.63)^{* *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.3144 \\ & (2.41)^{* *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.2965 \\ & (2.05)^{* *} \end{aligned}$ |
| DAYS | $\begin{aligned} & -0.0011 \\ & (-0.64) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0010 \\ & (0.60) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0008 \\ & (0.63) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.0019 \\ & (-0.92) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0003 \\ & (0.14) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.0006 \\ & (-0.22) \end{aligned}$ |
| Adj. R-SQ | 0.0144 | 0.0140 | 0.0154 | 0.0242 | 0.0114 | 0.0135 |
| F-signif | 0.0020 | 0.0027 | 0.0015 | 0.0002 | 0.0283 | 0.0223 |
| N | 1,316 | 1,302 | 1,283 | 1,034 | 958 | 869 |

1. T-values in parentheses under the estimated coefficient. * means statistically significant at .10, ** means statistically significant at .05 , and ${ }^{* * *}$ means statistically significant at .001 .

Table A.3.30. Tests of Relationships Between Importance Ratings and Socioeconomic Factors: January - April 1996 Ecosystem Mailback ${ }^{1}$ (continued)

| Independent Variables | Dependent Variables/Models |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | IMPNITRO | IMPA | IMPB | IMPC | IMPD |
| Constant | $\begin{aligned} & 3.2399 \\ & (12.55) * * * \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.3678 \\ & (9.90)^{* * *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.8668 \\ & (12.50) * * * \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.3745 \\ & (15.40)^{* * *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.0436 \\ & (8.36)^{* * *} \end{aligned}$ |
| AIR | $\begin{aligned} & -0.2643 \\ & (-1.87)^{*} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.0020 \\ & (-0.02) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.2220 \\ (-1.81)^{*} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.2349 \\ & (-2.03)^{* *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.0714 \\ (-0.56) \end{gathered}$ |
| AGEIN1 | $\begin{gathered} -0.0001 \\ (-0.05) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0101 \\ & (4.43)^{* * *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.0020 \\ & (-0.90) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.0081 \\ & (-3.92)^{* * *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.0042 \\ (-1.77)^{*} \end{gathered}$ |
| EDUCIN1 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0870 \\ & (2.86)^{* *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0363 \\ (1.20) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.0214 \\ (-0.73) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0792 \\ & (2.82)^{* *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0844 \\ & (2.69)^{* *} \end{aligned}$ |
| HISPANIC | $\begin{gathered} -0.0996 \\ (-0.46) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.4149 \\ (-1.76)^{*} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.1264 \\ & (-0.58) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.0403 \\ (-0.22) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -0.0297 \\ (-0.15) \end{array}$ |
| BLACK | $\begin{array}{r} 0.3335 \\ (0.74) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.9325 \\ (-1.86)^{*} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.6695 \\ (-1.68)^{*} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.7656 \\ (-1.75)^{*} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.9452 \\ & (-3.15)^{* *} \end{aligned}$ |
| INCMIS | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0003 \\ & (0.00) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.2834 \\ & (1.76)^{*} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.1781 \\ & (1.16) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.0138 \\ (-0.09) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.2806 \\ & (1.68)^{*} \end{aligned}$ |
| INC40K | $\begin{gathered} -0.0872 \\ (-0.49) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.2423 \\ & (1.42) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0649 \\ & (0.41) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.0306 \\ (-0.19) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.1469 \\ & (0.86) \end{aligned}$ |
| INC60K | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0253 \\ & (0.15) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.3747 \\ & (2.35)^{* *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.1106 \\ & (0.74) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0415 \\ (0.28) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.1498 \\ & (0.92) \end{aligned}$ |
| INC100K | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0026 \\ & (0.02) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.4022 \\ & (2.50)^{* *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0544 \\ & (0.36) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -0.0651 \\ (-0.43) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.2082 \\ & (1.29) \end{aligned}$ |
| INC150K | $\begin{gathered} -0.1630 \\ (-0.91) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.4054 \\ & (2.40)^{* *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0829 \\ & (0.52) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.1800 \\ (-1.14) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0366 \\ & (0.21) \end{aligned}$ |
| DOMESTIC | $\begin{aligned} & 0.2896 \\ & (2.41)^{* *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0394 \\ & (0.36) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.1395 \\ & (1.37) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.1938 \\ & (2.15)^{* *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.3221 \\ & (3.06)^{* *} \end{aligned}$ |
| DAYS | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0024 \\ & (1.52) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.0028 \\ (-1.33) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0007 \\ & (0.48) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0005 \\ & (0.30) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0016 \\ & (0.77) \end{aligned}$ |
| Adj. R-SQ | 0.0109 | 0.0249 | -0.0004 | 0.0188 | 0.0112 |
| F-Signif | 0.0209 | 0.0000 | 0.4870 | 0.0002 | 0.0074 |
| N | 1,095 | 1,295 | 1,368 | 1,378 | 1,360 |

1. T-values in parentheses under the estimated coefficient. * means statistically significant at .10 , ${ }^{* *}$ means statistically significant at .05 , and ${ }^{* * *}$ means statistically significant at .001 .

Table A.3.31. A Comparison of Weighted and Unweighted Means for Selected Responses from the Mailback Questionnaires

| Season/Variable | Weighted Mean | Unweighted Mean | Weighted vs Unweighted Percent Difference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Expenditure Mailback June - November 1995 |  |  |  |
| TOTVPPC | 413.02 | 464.63 | -12.50 |
| LODGEPPC | 150.38 | 154.41 | -2.68 |
| FOODPPC | 112.01 | 124.11 | -10.80 |
| Expenditure Mailback Dec. 1995 - May 1996 |  |  |  |
| TOTVPPC | 490.05 | 559.73 | -14.22 |
| LODGEPPC | 187.38 | 214.11 | -14.26 |
| FOODPPC | 138.93 | 155.82 | -12.16 |
| Satisfaction Mailback June - Nov. 1995 |  |  |  |
| IMPWATER | 4.2121 | 4.2108 | 0.03 |
| IMPCORAL | 3.9638 | 3.9692 | -0.14 |
| IMPTRANS | 2.0714 | 2.1586 | -4.21 |
| IMPPARK | 3.1980 | 3.1519 | 1.44 |
| IMPVIEW | 3.9428 | 3.9670 | -0.61 |
| IMPCATCH | 3.0250 | 3.0539 | -0.96 |
| IMPRAMP | 2.7571 | 2.7505 | 0.24 |
| IMPMARIN | 2.7855 | 2.8298 | -1.59 |
| IMPSERV | 4.1282 | 4.1983 | -1.70 |
| IMPHIST | 3.6536 | 3.7316 | -2.13 |
| IMPREST | 3.7189 | 3.8039 | -2.28 |
| Satisfaction Mailback Dec. 1995 - May 1996 |  |  |  |
| IMPWATER | 3.9402 | 3.9128 | 0.69 |
| IMPCORAL | 3.7206 | 3.7004 | 0.54 |
| IMPTRANS | 2.4481 | 2.3424 | 4.32 |
| IMPPARK | 3.3694 | 3.3612 | 0.24 |
| IMPVIEW | 3.6252 | 3.6176 | 0.21 |
| IMPCATCH | 2.7528 | 2.7908 | -1.38 |
| IMPRAMP | 2.3635 | 2.3397 | 1.01 |
| IMPMARIN | 2.5528 | 2.5275 | 0.99 |
| IMPSERV | 4.1432 | 4.1501 | -0.17 |
| IMPHIST | 3.7757 | 3.7407 | 0.93 |
| IMPREST | 3.9118 | 3.8944 | 0.44 |
| Ecosystem Mailback Dec. 1995 - May 1996 |  |  |  |
| IMPWATER | 4.0539 | 4.1303 | -1.89 |
| IMPSOLAR | 3.9714 | 4.0015 | -0.76 |
| IMPOXY | 4.1898 | 4.2079 | -0.43 |
| IMPCARB | 3.8284 | 3.8649 | -0.95 |
| IMPPHOS | 3.6113 | 3.6718 | -1.67 |
| IMPSULPH | 3.4983 | 3.5535 | -1.58 |
| IMPNITRO | 3.7668 | 3.8632 | -2.56 |
| IMPA | 3.3021 | 3.3366 | -1.03 |
| IMPB | 2.8547 | 2.8772 | -0.79 |
| IMPC | 3.4464 | 3.4787 | -0.94 |
| IMPD | 2.6479 | 2.6905 | -1.61 |

## Chapter 4. Methods of Estimating Activity Participation and Intensity of Use

This Chapter addresses the methods used for estimating activity participation and intensity of use. Participation includes estimates of participation rates (the percent of visitors who did an activity) and the number of visitors who did the activity. Estimates are made by activity, region and season. Intensity of use includes estimates of the number of different days of activity and the number of hours of activity. As with participation, estimates are made by activity, region and season. The results of this estimation are presented in "Visitor Profiles: Florida Keys/Key West" (Leeworthy and Wiley, 1996). Here the methods used to derive those estimates are documented and the estimation is extended to cover activities not reported in the Visitor Profile report.

## Activity Participation

For activity participation, information was obtained on the activities participated in by each person of a traveling/recreation group on their visit to the Florida Keys/Key West. So, although there were 3,584 visitors age 16 or older that were randomly chosen and interviewed in the Auto, Air and Cruise Ship Survey during the two sampling seasons (July - August 1995 and January - April 1996), information on activity participation was obtained on 9,299 visitors of all ages.

Participation in 68 activities (see Exhibit 13) in four regions (Upper Keys, Middle Keys, Lower Keys, Key West, (see Exhibit 14 for a map showing the region definitions) for the two seasons was obtained. Two types of participation rates were calculated. The first was the percent of all visitors to the Florida Keys/Key West who did an activity in a region. This was calculated by summing across all visitors in the sample who did the activity in the region divided by the sum of all visitors in the sample. When this participation rate is multiplied by the number of all visitors to the Florida Keys/Key West (see Chapter 1 for the estimate of all visitors) an estimate is obtained for the number of visitors who did an activity in the region. Again, this was done for two seasons. The July-August 1995 sample was used for estimating the June-November 1995 season and the January-April 1996 sample was used for estimating the December 1995 - May 1996 season.

The second type of participation rate calculated was the "within region participate rate". These participation rates are the percent of visitors to a region who did an activity in the region. These participation rates were calculated by summing the number of sampled visitors who did the activity in the region by the sum of sampled visitors who visited the region.

It is important to note that in deriving the estimates of activity participation rates that sample weights were used to ensure that the sample of visitors of all ages were representative of the population of visitors. Chapter 2 discussed the derivation of these activity sample weights.

Estimates for activity participation by season and region for the complete list of 68 activities can be found in the appendix of "Visitor Profiles: Florida Keys/Key West" (Leeworthy and Wiley, 1996). Also, presented in this report were participation rates for 41 Aggregated Activities formulated from the list of 68 activities. Estimates for the 41 aggregated activities were done ensuring against double-counting. One cannot add either participation rates or number of participants by activity because visitors can and do engage in multiple activities. Participation rates and number of participants were estimated for the 41 aggregated activities without double-counting.

## Intensity of Use (Days and Hours)

Participation rates combined with estimates of the number of visitors allowed for the estimation of the number of visitors who did an activity, in a given region, during a given season. For some purposes, measurements of the intensity of activities are also needed. For example, assessing the need for recreation facilities. Two measures of intensity of use were obtained from the CUSTOMER on-site survey: the number of separate days the person did the activity and the number of hours they did the activity during the interview trip.

The general approach used was to first estimate the average number of days of a given activity in each region during each season. The average number of days was then multiplied by the number of visitors who did the activity in the region during that season. The same method was followed for hours of activity.

Days and hours information was obtained from the on-site component of the CUSTOMER Survey. During the July August 1995 sampling period, 1,781 visitors were interviewed and 2,809 were interviewed during the January - April 1996
sampling period. Days and hours were asked for only 39 of the 68 activities for which participation was estimated. These 39 activities are identified by an "A" suffix attached to the activity number (see Exhibit 13).

In the CUSTOMER Survey sample design, a quota of at least 25 interviews was targeted for each of the 39 activities, in each region and during each season. It was determined that this number of observations would yield statistically reliable estimates of the average number of days and hours. This was considered a planning minimum, but the way in which information was gathered was expected to yield greater number of observations since each interview gathered information on all of the 39 activities for which the visitor participated. However, the minimum sample size of 25 observations per activity, per region, per season was not achieved for many activities. Generally, we were not able to achieve the minimum sample size for activities that had low participation rates. In fact, despite attempts to oversample for selected activities, the general CUSTOMER Survey sample was not significantly different with respect to sample activity participation than the Auto, Air and Cruise Ship Survey, except for slightly higher participation in water-based activities because the CUSTOMER Survey did not generally include the Cruise Ship passenger visitors.

In the report "Visitor Profiles: Florida Keys/Key West" (Leeworthy and Wiley, 1996), estimates were provided for only those activities, by region and by season, for which statistically reliable estimates could be made ( 25 observations or more). Presentation of the results was limited to 24 of the 39 activities. But even among that list of 24 activities, there were some missing estimates for a particular activity, in a particular region, in a particular season. For example, the average number of days visitors engaged in snorkeling from a rental boat, in the Upper Keys, during the December 1995-May 1996 season was listed as missing in Table A. 2.29 of the Visitor Profiles report (pg. 85). Most of the missing values in that report were for boat related activities where the activity was disaggregated by type of boat (e.g. Charter/party, private, and rental). The consequence of this was that it made it impossible to sum across type of boat used for a given activity to get an estimate of the total for the activity.

Here the constraint, that there had to be at least 25 observations from which to estimate an average for a particular activity, in a particular region, during a particular season, was relaxed. Estimates have been made for all 39 activities, in each region, during each season. Sample averages were used irrespective of sample size and when there was no information available a value of one (1.00) day was used for the average days and a value of four (4.00) hours was used for the average hours. Also, estimates of the average number of hours for several activities were relatively high and had relatively high standard errors indicating that these estimates were extremely unreliable. In these cases, four (4) hours was used instead of the means in Tables A.4.5 to A.4.8. The sample averages, standard errors of the mean, and the number of observations for each of the 39 activities, for each region, and for each season are summarized in Tables A.4.1 to A.4.4 for days and in Tables A.4.5 to A.4.8 for hours. Table A.4.9 summarizes the total number of days by region and season and Table A.4.10 summarizes the total annual number of days by region. Table A.4.11 summarizes the total number of hours by region and season and Table A.4.12 summarizes the annual number of hours by region.

Aggregation Issues. In adding days and/or hours across activities, especially within regions, there may be a certain amount of double-counting. This would be a greater problem for the number of days than the number of hours, since in a given day, one is more likely to have engaged in multiple activities. The problem of double-counting would also be expected to be less when adding within a given activity (e.g. snorkeling) across type of boat (e.g. charter/party, rental, and private). The problem would be even less when adding across regions for a given activity. And, the problem would be virtually nonexistent when adding across seasons. Where the problem of double-counting is greatest is when one attempts to add across entirely different activities. For example, attempting to add snorkeling and scuba diving days for a given region and in a given season may include a relatively high amount of double-counting. A good indication of this is activity participation numbers where comparisons can be made between the number of participants who did snorkeling and the number who did scuba diving for a given region during a given season with the number of participants who did either snorkeling or scuba diving but for which double-counting has been eliminated. This should provide a guide to the extent of possible double-counting.

Table A.4.1. Average Number of Days of Activity Per Trip: Upper and Middle Keys, June - Nov. 1995

| Activity | Upper Keys |  | N | Middle Keys |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mean | Std. Error |  | Mean | Std. Error | N |
| Snorkeling |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Charter/Party Boat | 1.27 | 0.0610 | 78 | 1.47 | 0.0864 | 54 |
| Rental Boat | 1.43 | 0.1573 | 28 | 1.92 | 0.2267 | 52 |
| Private Boat | 2.45 | 0.2980 | 64 | 3.80 | 0.3422 | 136 |
| Shore | 2.05 | 0.2039 | 56 | 2.76 | 0.2598 | 145 |
| Scuba Diving |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Charter/Party Boat | 2.03 | 0.1377 | 58 | 2.04 | 0.1877 | 37 |
| Rental Boat | 1.63 | 0.3550 | 8 | 1.97 | 0.3258 | 16 |
| Private Boat | 2.95 | 0.4724 | 25 | 3.35 | 0.3999 | 56 |
| Shore | 1.20 | 0.1894 | 5 | 1.57 | 0.1913 | 7 |
| Offshore Fishing |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Charter Boat | 1.29 | 0.1746 | 7 | 1.54 | 0.1988 | 27 |
| Party Boat | 1.33 | 0.3156 | 3 | 1.47 | 0.2238 | 15 |
| Rental Boat | 3.00 | 1.8936 | 3 | 1.92 | 0.4026 | 18 |
| Private Boat | 1.79 | 0.2853 | 44 | 4.90 | 0.8789 | 94 |
| Flats/Backcountry Fishing |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Guided | 1.00 | 0.0000 | 3 | 1.80 | 0.3500 | 10 |
| Rental Boat | 3.00 | 0.9468 | 3 | 2.50 | 0.4383 | 8 |
| Private Boat | 2.25 | 0.4754 | 11 | 3.13 | 0.3751 | 31 |
| Other Fishing |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Charter Boat | 1.00 | 0.0000 | 2 | 1.00 | 0.0000 | 2 |
| Party Boat | 1.00 | - | 0 | 1.00 | 0.0000 | 4 |
| Rental Boat | 4.00 | - | 1 | 1.00 | 0.0000 | 4 |
| Private Boat | 3.50 | 1.2525 | 4 | 2.34 | 0.2714 | 35 |
| Fishing from Shore | 1.38 | 0.1056 | 55 | 2.33 | 0.2815 | 120 |
| Personal Watercraft |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rental Boat | 1.04 | 0.0379 | 25 | 1.13 | 0.0580 | 31 |
| Private Boat | 3.69 | 0.8797 | 22 | 3.93 | 0.8978 | 26 |
| Sailing |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Charter/Party Boat | 1.00 | 0.0000 | 3 | 1.12 | 0.1183 | 8 |
| Rental Boat | 7.63 | 3.9811 | 3 | 6.95 | 2.5989 | 5 |
| Private Boat | 2.00 | - | 1 | 3.00 | 0.7731 | 6 |

Table A.4.1. Average Number of Days of Activity Per Trip: Upper and Middle Keys, June - Nov. 1995 (continued)

| Activity | Upper Keys |  | N | Middle Keys |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mean | Std. Error |  | Mean | Std. Error | N |
| Other Boating |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Charter/Party | 1.09 | 0.1481 | 13 | 1.00 | 0.0000 | 7 |
| Rental Boat | 2.00 | 0.9468 | 3 | 11.69 | 5.7907 | 4 |
| Private Boat | 4.09 | 1.9605 | 10 | 4.24 | 1.0288 | 19 |
| Viewing Nature \& Wildlife |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Glass-bottom Boat | 1.05 | 0.0308 | 43 | 1.06 | 0.0412 | 32 |
| Guided Backcountry Excursion | 1.67 | 0.4852 | 12 | 2.16 | 0.4782 | 12 |
| Private/Rental Boat | 2.60 | 0.2750 | 45 | 4.06 | 0.6732 | 78 |
| Wildlife \& Nature Sudy-Land Based |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wildlife Observation/photography | 1.62 | 0.2077 | 34 | 3.22 | 0.9050 | 112 |
| Other Nature Study | 2.22 | 0.3421 | 31 | 4.51 | 2.6577 | 35 |
| All Beach Activities |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Swimming at Beaches | 2.34 | 0.2042 | 117 | 3.61 | 0.2686 | 255 |
| Other Beach Activities | 1.73 | 0.1976 | 38 | 5.09 | 0.7215 | 134 |
| Windsurfing or Sailboarding | 1.00 | - | 0 | 2.85 | 0.6596 | 5 |
| Swimming in Outdoor Pools | 2.27 | 0.1844 | 95 | 7.37 | 1.4526 | 159 |
| Museum \& Historic Sites |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Museums | 1.10 | 0.0798 | 50 | 1.48 | 0.2121 | 78 |
| Historic Areas | 1.35 | 0.1815 | 26 | 1.64 | 0.2744 | 88 |

Table A.4.2. Average Number of Days of Activity Per Trip: Lower Keys \& Key West, June - Nov. 1995

| Activity | Lower Keys |  |  | Key West |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mean | Std. Error | N | Mean | Std. Error | N |
| Snorkeling |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Charter/Party Boat | 1.31 | 0.1025 | 50 | 1.08 | 0.0264 | 120 |
| Rental Boat | 1.75 | 0.2649 | 20 | 1.24 | 0.1132 | 25 |
| Private Boat | 2.82 | 0.3012 | 78 | 2.00 | 0.3347 | 17 |
| Shore | 1.77 | 0.1616 | 80 | 2.07 | 0.1355 | 99 |
| Scuba Diving |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Charter/Party Boat | 1.48 | 0.1659 | 17 | 2.21 | 0.1895 | 46 |
| Rental Boat | 1.86 | 0.4348 | 7 | 2.13 | 0.3373 | 9 |
| Private Boat | 3.03 | 0.6892 | 30 | 2.11 | 0.4586 | 9 |
| Shore | 1.25 | 0.2367 | 4 | 1.82 | 0.2802 | 11 |
| Offshore Fishing |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Charter Boat | 1.22 | 0.1392 | 9 | 1.34 | 0.1666 | 19 |
| Party Boat | 1.00 | 0.0000 | 6 | 1.60 | 0.4732 | 18 |
| Rental Boat | 1.33 | 0.3156 | 3 | 1.59 | 0.2335 | 9 |
| Private Boat | 1.57 | 0.1409 | 54 | 1.60 | 0.2093 | 10 |
| Flats/Backcountry Fishing |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Guided | 1.33 | 0.3156 | 3 | 1.40 | 0.1954 | 7 |
| Rental Boat | 1.00 | - | 0 | 2.00 | 0.6695 | 4 |
| Private Boat | 2.44 | 0.5762 | 17 | 1.00 | - | 1 |
| Other Fishing |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Charter Boat | 1.00 | - | 1 | 1.00 | 0.0000 | 3 |
| Party Boat | 1.00 | - | 0 | 1.00 | 0.0000 | 4 |
| Rental Boat | 1.00 | 0.0000 | 4 | 1.00 | - | 1 |
| Private Boat | 1.33 | 0.1996 | 6 | 1.88 | 0.2148 | 8 |
| Fishing from Shore | 1.80 | 0.4371 | 46 | 2.00 | 0.1985 | 27 |
| Personal Watercraft |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rental Boat | 1.00 | 0.0000 | 8 | 1.17 | 0.1022 | 35 |
| Private Boat | 1.77 | 0.3975 | 10 | 1.50 | 0.4734 | 2 |
| Sailing |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Charter/Party Boat | 1.00 | 0.0000 | 3 | 1.05 | 0.0527 | 18 |
| Rental Boat | 1.00 | - | 1 | 1.51 | 0.5464 | 7 |
| Private Boat | 3.40 | 2.0439 | 5 | 1.67 | 0.3156 | 3 |

Table A.4.2. Average Number of Days of Activity Per Trip: Lower Keys \& Key West, June - Nov. 1995 (continued)

| Activity | Lower Keys |  | N | Key West |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mean | Std. Error |  | Mean | Std. Error | N |
| Other Boating |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Charter/Party | 1.00 | 0.0000 | 4 | 1.08 | 0.0498 | 29 |
| Rental Boat | 1.00 | 0.0000 | 2 | 1.00 | 0.0000 | 4 |
| Private Boat | 1.50 | 0.4734 | 2 | 1.37 | 0.2380 | 5 |
| Viewing Nature \& Wildlife |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Glass-bottom Boat | 1.00 | 0.0000 | 14 | 1.01 | 0.0104 | 91 |
| Guided Backcountry Excursion | 1.33 | 0.3156 | 6 | 1.18 | 0.1902 | 10 |
| Private/Rental Boat | 2.27 | 0.4352 | 39 | 1.28 | 0.1785 | 28 |
| Wildlife \& Nature Sudy-Land Based |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wildlife Observation/photography | 2.02 | 0.2936 | 52 | 2.04 | 0.1922 | 46 |
| Other Nature Study | 1.96 | 0.3724 | 28 | 1.54 | 0.2294 | 21 |
| All Beach Activities |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Swimming at Beaches | 2.04 | 0.1849 | 135 | 2.49 | 0.1706 | 203 |
| Other Beach Activities | 2.22 | 0.3804 | 53 | 2.56 | 0.2630 | 91 |
| Windsurfing or Sailboarding | 1.00 | 0.0000 | 3 | 1.00 | 0.0000 | 4 |
| Swimming in Outdoor Pools | 2.13 | 0.2114 | 46 | 3.01 | 0.1558 | 173 |
| Museum \& Historic Sites |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Museums | 1.71 | 0.6072 | 14 | 1.27 | 0.0467 | 135 |
| Historic Areas | 1.64 | 0.3519 | 28 | 1.77 | 0.0689 | 313 |

Table A.4.3. Average Number of Days of Activity Per Trip: Upper \& Middle Keys, Dec. ‘95-May ‘96

| Activity | Upper Keys |  | N | Middle Keys |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mean | Std. Error |  | Mean | Std. Error | N |
| Snorkeling |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Charter/Party Boat | 1.11 | 0.0432 | 140 | 1.07 | 0.0378 | 40 |
| Rental Boat | 2.10 | 0.2889 | 20 | 1.14 | 0.0931 | 21 |
| Private Boat | 2.16 | 0.4977 | 45 | 1.60 | 0.3506 | 34 |
| Shore | 1.77 | 0.1421 | 96 | 1.64 | 0.1182 | 117 |
| Scuba Diving |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Charter/Party Boat | 1.81 | 0.1453 | 93 | 1.96 | 0.2942 | 23 |
| Rental Boat | 1.00 | 0.0000 | 13 | 1.00 | 0.0000 | 2 |
| Private Boat | 3.79 | 0.8789 | 14 | 1.20 | 0.1786 | 5 |
| Shore | 1.08 | 0.0815 | 11 | 1.27 | 0.1257 | 11 |
| Offshore Fishing |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Charter Boat | 1.28 | 0.0980 | 29 | 1.13 | 0.0597 | 48 |
| Party Boat | 1.15 | 0.0686 | 33 | 1.44 | 0.2282 | 52 |
| Rental Boat | 2.82 | 0.4221 | 22 | 1.33 | 0.2165 | 17 |
| Private Boat | 9.32 | 2.1874 | 57 | 2.78 | 0.8244 | 55 |
| Flats/Backcountry Fishing |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Guided | 1.44 | 0.3017 | 9 | 1.15 | 0.1493 | 12 |
| Rental Boat | 2.88 | 0.4185 | 17 | 1.50 | 0.3050 | 6 |
| Private Boat | 9.30 | 1.4922 | 44 | 5.54 | 1.7381 | 24 |
| Other Fishing |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Charter Boat | 1.33 | 0.2976 | 3 | 1.33 | 0.2976 | 6 |
| Party Boat | 1.00 | 0.0000 | 2 | 1.00 | 0.0000 | 4 |
| Rental Boat | 3.50 | 0.4464 | 2 | 1.00 | 0.0000 | 2 |
| Private Boat | 2.00 | 0.8424 | 20 | 3.00 | 0.8928 | 9 |
| Fishing from Shore | 2.76 | 0.6746 | 87 | 1.75 | 0.1095 | 159 |
| Personal Watercraft |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rental Boat | 1.15 | 0.0633 | 38 | 1.12 | 0.1146 | 39 |
| Private Boat | 2.93 | 0.4474 | 28 | 4.18 | 1.0049 | 19 |
| Sailing |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Charter/Party Boat | 1.83 | 0.7440 | 6 | 1.13 | 0.1501 | 12 |
| Rental Boat | 1.27 | 0.1741 | 11 | 1.00 | 0.0000 | 8 |
| Private Boat | 2.22 | 0.8371 | 14 | 10.2 | 4.5897 | 27 |

Table A.4.3. Average Number of Days of Activity Per Trip: Upper \& Middle Keys, Dec. ‘95-May ‘96 (continued)

| Activity | Upper Keys |  | N | Middle Keys |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mean | Std. Error |  | Mean | Std. Error | N |
| Other Boating |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Charter/Party | 1.17 | 0.1488 | 12 | 1.00 | 0.0000 | 11 |
| Rental Boat | 1.43 | 0.1804 | 7 | 1.00 | 0.0000 | 14 |
| Private Boat | 1.76 | 0.7900 | 16 | 1.52 | 0.9012 | 16 |
| Viewing Nature \& Wildlife |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Glass-bottom Boat | 1.01 | 0.0095 | 132 | 1.00 | 0.0000 | 37 |
| Guided Backcountry Excursion | 1.74 | 0.6300 | 34 | 1.00 | 0.0000 | 11 |
| Private/Rental Boat | 3.16 | 0.5918 | 109 | 1.87 | 0.9008 | 95 |
| Wildlife \& Nature Sudy-Land Based |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wildlife Observation/photography | 5.03 | 1.1183 | 207 | 1.35 | 0.0589 | 306 |
| Other Nature Study | 8.70 | 2.2500 | 100 | 1.54 | 0.1131 | 142 |
| All Beach Activities |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Swimming at Beaches | 2.78 | 0.3101 | 222 | 2.35 | 0.1239 | 377 |
| Other Beach Activities | 2.46 | 0.2979 | 163 | 2.45 | 0.1478 | 417 |
| Windsurfing or Sailboarding | 2.69 | 0.9558 | 3 | 2.30 | 0.7049 | 10 |
| Swimming in Outdoor Pools | 6.97 | 1.0192 | 179 | 2.75 | 0.2543 | 220 |
| Museum \& Historic Sites |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Museums | 1.22 | 0.1332 | 133 | 1.05 | 0.0394 | 175 |
| Historic Areas | 1.51 | 0.1699 | 106 | 1.10 | 0.0428 | 221 |

Table A.4.4. Average Number of Days of Activity Per Trip: Lower Keys \& Key West, Dec. ‘95-May ‘96

| Activity | Lower Keys |  | N | Key West |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mean | Std. Error |  | Mean | Std. Error | N |
| Snorkeling |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Charter/Party Boat | 1.00 | 0.0000 | 58 | 1.12 | 0.0616 | 109 |
| Rental Boat | 1.25 | 0.1461 | 8 | 1.33 | 0.1948 | 7 |
| Private Boat | 2.72 | 1.0443 | 31 | 2.14 | 0.5656 | 7 |
| Shore | 1.58 | 0.1042 | 86 | 1.58 | 0.1106 | 72 |
| Scuba Diving |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Charter/Party Boat | 1.60 | 0.4454 | 10 | 1.52 | 0.2938 | 36 |
| Rental Boat | 0.00 | - | 0 | 5.66 | 3.6078 | 2 |
| Private Boat | 1.22 | 0.1488 | 8 | 1.00 | - | 0 |
| Shore | 0.00 | 0.0000 | 0 | 1.80 | 0.3341 | 5 |
| Offshore Fishing |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Charter Boat | 2.27 | 1.1610 | 10 | 1.87 | 0.4777 | 33 |
| Party Boat | 3.33 | 1.7095 | 5 | 1.81 | 0.2611 | 76 |
| Rental Boat | 1.47 | 0.2835 | 13 | 1.25 | 0.2232 | 4 |
| Private Boat | 4.83 | 1.1173 | 43 | 2.67 | 0.9692 | 8 |
| Flats/Backcountry Fishing |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Guided | 2.71 | 1.3582 | 6 | 1.00 | 0.0000 | 7 |
| Rental Boat | 1.00 | - | 1 | 3.33 | 1.6132 | 5 |
| Private Boat | 5.96 | 1.6276 | 27 | 3.25 | 1.2143 | 6 |
| Other Fishing |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Charter Boat | 1.00 | - | 0 | 1.25 | 0.3156 | 3 |
| Party Boat | 1.00 | - | 0 | 1.00 | - | 1 |
| Rental Boat | 1.00 | - | 1 | 2.00 | - | 1 |
| Private Boat | 1.60 | 0.3571 | 5 | 3.00 | 0.5155 | 3 |
| Fishing from Shore | 1.81 | 0.1235 | 107 | 2.58 | 0.7578 | 35 |
| Personal Watercraft |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rental Boat | 1.00 | 0.0000 | 8 | 1.37 | 0.1467 | 27 |
| Private Boat | 1.89 | 0.2592 | 18 | 2.10 | 1.0186 | 8 |
| Sailing |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Charter/Party Boat | 1.00 | 0.0000 | 2 | 1.11 | 0.0711 | 37 |
| Rental Boat | 1.00 | - | 0 | 1.00 | 0.0901 | 10 |
| Private Boat | 1.20 | 0.1786 | 5 | 1.96 | 1.1803 | 7 |

Table A.4.4. Average Number of Days of Activity Per Trip: Lower Keys \& Key West, Dec. '95-May '96 (continued)

| Activity | Lower Keys |  | N | Key West |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mean | Std. Error |  | Mean | Std. Error | N |
| Other Boating |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Charter/Party | 1.00 | 0.0000 | 5 | 1.06 | 0.0444 | 28 |
| Rental Boat | 1.00 | 0.0000 | 3 | 1.00 | 0.0000 | 5 |
| Private Boat | 1.75 | 0.9469 | 3 | 2.80 | 2.0746 | 4 |
| Viewing Nature \& Wildlife |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Glass-bottom Boat | 1.00 | 0.0000 | 6 | 1.00 | 0.0000 | 139 |
| Guided Backcountry Excursion | 1.00 | 0.0000 | 5 | 1.00 | 0.0000 | 8 |
| Private/Rental Boat | 2.19 | 0.5094 | 37 | 1.61 | 0.3588 | 27 |
| Wildlife \& Nature Sudy-Land Based |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wildlife Observation/photography | 2.13 | 0.3205 | 184 | 1.83 | 0.1408 | 119 |
| Other Nature Study | 1.89 | 0.4822 | 73 | 1.72 | 0.2258 | 57 |
| All Beach Activities |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Swimming at Beaches | 1.88 | 0.1408 | 156 | 2.08 | 0.0957 | 306 |
| Other Beach Activities | 2.16 | 0.2134 | 176 | 1.92 | 0.0953 | 263 |
| Windsurfing or Sailboarding | 2.00 | 0.8928 | 3 | 1.20 | 0.1509 | 8 |
| Swimming in Outdoor Pools | 5.18 | 0.9367 | 74 | 2.75 | 0.1216 | 234 |
| Museum \& Historic Sites |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Museums | 1.04 | 0.0331 | 27 | 1.09 | 0.0168 | 426 |
| Historic Areas | 1.36 | 0.1347 | 71 | 1.41 | 0.0331 | 776 |

Table A.4.5. Average Number of Hours of Activity Per Trip: Upper and Middle Keys, June - Nov. 1995

| Activity | Upper Keys |  |  | Middle Keys |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mean | Std. Error | N | Mean | Std. Error | N |
| Snorkeling |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Charter/Party Boat | 4.05 | 0.1985 | 87 | 4.51 | 0.4222 | 61 |
| Rental Boat | 6.62 | 0.9153 | 29 | 5.80 | 0.6724 | 54 |
| Private Boat | 5.36 | 0.7502 | 65 | 13.2 | 1.7338 | 144 |
| Shore | 3.89 | 0.3722 | 58 | 6.91 | 0.8210 | 161 |
| Scuba Diving |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Charter/Party Boat | 7.43 | 0.6897 | 62 | 7.42 | 0.9818 | 37 |
| Rental Boat | 6.37 | 1.8589 | 8 | 6.18 | 1.0479 | 17 |
| Private Boat | 6.66 | 1.6531 | 24 | 7.30 | 0.9783 | 62 |
| Shore | 3.20 | 0.5521 | 5 | 2.30 | 0.4246 | 10 |
| Offshore Fishing |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Charter Boat | 4.43 | 0.7691 | 7 | 9.22 | 1.2157 | 30 |
| Party Boat | 3.50 | 0.4734 | 4 | 8.37 | 2.6313 | 16 |
| Rental Boat | 13.3 | 7.0288 | 3 | 7.10 | 1.5808 | 20 |
| Private Boat | 5.78 | 1.0586 | 42 | 27.0 | 6.5653 | 101 |
| Flats/Backcountry Fishing |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Guided | 5.67 | 1.1379 | 3 | 7.06 | 1.8976 | 11 |
| Rental Boat | 14.7 | 5.0496 | 3 | 10.1 | 1.8500 | 9 |
| Private Boat | 5.32 | 1.8987 | 11 | 18.5 | 3.4479 | 36 |
| Other Fishing |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Charter Boat | 3.50 | 0.4734 | 2 | 3.33 | 1.1379 | 3 |
| Party Boat | 4.00 | - | 0 | 3.82 | 0.1912 | 5 |
| Rental Boat | 10.0 | - | 1 | 6.00 | 0.9468 | 6 |
| Private Boat | 8.80 | 4.6519 | 5 | 8.65 | 1.1172 | 40 |
| Fishing from Shore | 4.51 | 0.4892 | 56 | 7.50 | 0.8179 | 138 |
| Personal Watercraft |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rental Boat | 1.84 | 0.2486 | 25 | 2.76 | 0.3478 | 35 |
| Private Boat | 8.55 | 1.2226 | 20 | 11.5 | 2.4126 | 26 |
| Sailing |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Charter/Party Boat | 4.67 | 0.8350 | 3 | 8.62 | 5.3378 | 8 |
| Rental Boat | 2.50 | 1.4202 | 2 | 5.50 | 3.0312 | 4 |
| Private Boat | 12.0 | - | 1 | 10.4 | 3.5783 | 8 |

Table A.4.5. Average Number of Hours of Activity Per Trip: Upper \& Middle Keys, June - Nov. 1995 (continued)

| Activity | Upper Keys |  |  | Middle Keys |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mean | Std. Error | N | Mean | Std. Error | N |
| Other Boating |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Charter/Party | 3.60 | 0.5848 | 14 | 3.36 | 0.8462 | 8 |
| Rental Boat | 2.33 | 0.8350 | 3 | 43.0 | 20.754 | 5 |
| Private Boat | 4.34 | 1.3845 | 9 | 8.76 | 1.5081 | 20 |
| Viewing Nature \& Wildlife |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Glass-bottom Boat | 2.96 | 0.1315 | 46 | 2.26 | 0.1711 | 34 |
| Guided Backcountry Excursion | 4.23 | 0.9416 | 13 | 7.05 | 2.2941 | 13 |
| Private/Rental Boat | 7.06 | 0.8468 | 47 | 10.7 | 2.0728 | 86 |
| Wildlife \& Nature Study-Land Based |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wildlife Observation/photography | 3.21 | 0.4169 | 34 | 10.7 | 6.0945 | 124 |
| Other Nature Study | 3.91 | 0.9822 | 33 | 16.8 | 15.127 | 50 |
| All Beach Activities |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Swimming at Beaches | 5.74 | 0.6144 | 123 | 8.84 | 0.7374 | 284 |
| Other Beach Activities | 6.18 | 1.1565 | 43 | 14.8 | 1.7596 | 153 |
| Windsurfing or Sailboarding | 4.00 | - | 0 | 5.32 | 2.2217 | 6 |
| Swimming in Outdoor Pools | 4.85 | 0.5442 | 94 | 12.2 | 4.1578 | 171 |
| Museum \& Historic Sites |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Museums | 1.88 | 0.2936 | 57 | 2.47 | 0.3642 | 87 |
| Historic Areas | 3.74 | 0.7504 | 32 | 4.40 | 0.6094 | 98 |

Table A.4.6. Average Number of Hours of Activity Per Trip: Lower Keys \& Key West, June - Nov. 1995

| Activity | Lower Keys |  |  | Key West |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mean | Std. Error | N | Mean | Std. Error | N |
| Snorkeling |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Charter/Party Boat | 4.85 | 0.5449 | 66 | 4.79 | 0.2148 | 125 |
| Rental Boat | 7.44 | 1.6557 | 27 | 4.83 | 0.7553 | 28 |
| Private Boat | 17.1 | 2.2544 | 87 | 7.00 | 1.4132 | 17 |
| Shore | 4.34 | 0.3936 | 101 | 4.42 | 0.3378 | 101 |
| Scuba Diving |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Charter/Party Boat | 4.83 | 0.4540 | 20 | 6.40 | 0.6136 | 48 |
| Rental Boat | 10.0 | 3.9809 | 8 | 5.32 | 0.6336 | 9 |
| Private Boat | 12.3 | 2.7308 | 33 | 8.50 | 3.6844 | 8 |
| Shore | 2.60 | 0.3787 | 5 | 3.54 | 0.3692 | 11 |
| Offshore Fishing |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Charter Boat | 10.0 | 4.5900 | 9 | 6.57 | 0.7260 | 21 |
| Party Boat | 4.71 | 1.0271 | 7 | 7.74 | 2.2745 | 19 |
| Rental Boat | 7.67 | 2.5129 | 6 | 5.93 | 0.7556 | 9 |
| Private Boat | 8.28 | 0.9965 | 63 | 8.18 | 1.4713 | 11 |
| Flats/Backcountry Fishing |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Guided | 6.50 | 0.8199 | 4 | 6.96 | 1.1212 | 7 |
| Rental Boat | 4.00 | - | 0 | 6.50 | 3.0312 | 4 |
| Private Boat | 16.4 | 4.9576 | 19 | 8.00 | - | 1 |
| Other Fishing |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Charter Boat | 5.00 | - | 1 | 5.58 | 1.1489 | 3 |
| Party Boat | 4.00 | - | 0 | 5.75 | 0.8085 | 4 |
| Rental Boat | 8.40 | 2.8341 | 5 | 4.00 | - | 1 |
| Private Boat | 5.83 | 2.0514 | 6 | 9.54 | 4.2133 | 8 |
| Fishing from Shore | 8.32 | 2.0751 | 60 | 5.85 | 1.2132 | 25 |
| Personal Watercraft |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rental Boat | 2.19 | 0.6716 | 25 | 2.49 | 0.3088 | 40 |
| Private Boat | 7.56 | 1.7218 | 17 | 6.00 | 3.7872 | 2 |
| Sailing |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Charter/Party Boat | 8.00 | 5.0840 | 4 | 5.85 | 2.5039 | 17 |
| Rental Boat | 4.00 | - | 1 | 2.53 | 0.8331 | 10 |
| Private Boat | 7.00 | 2.9869 | 7 | 10.3 | 2.5833 | 3 |

Table A.4.6. Average Number of Hours of Activity Per Trip: Lower Keys \& Key West, June - Nov. 1995 (continued)

| Activity | Lower Keys |  |  | Key West |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mean | Std. Error | N | Mean | Std. Error | N |
| Other Boating |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Charter/Party | 2.00 | 0.3457 | 6 | 3.48 | 0.3646 | 34 |
| Rental Boat | 2.33 | 0.3156 | 3 | 2.15 | 0.3805 | 6 |
| Private Boat | 10.2 | 4.3452 | 4 | 6.37 | 2.3505 | 5 |
| Viewing Nature \& Wildlife |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Glass-bottom Boat | 3.27 | 0.3397 | 20 | 2.86 | 0.0978 | 92 |
| Guided Backcountry Excursion | 4.83 | 1.0202 | 6 | 4.63 | 0.6574 | 10 |
| Private/Rental Boat | 8.22 | 2.9173 | 45 | 4.12 | 0.7698 | 32 |
| Wildlife \& Nature Study-Land Based |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wildlife Observation/photography | 5.38 | 1.1914 | 70 | 3.81 | 0.4618 | 45 |
| Other Nature Study | 7.12 | 1.9547 | 38 | 3.80 | 0.8646 | 24 |
| All Beach Activities |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Swimming at Beaches | 6.55 | 0.7540 | 167 | 6.20 | 0.5629 | 214 |
| Other Beach Activities | 9.08 | 1.5474 | 80 | 6.93 | 0.9009 | 96 |
| Windsurfing or Sailboarding | 2.58 | 1.1580 | 4 | 2.41 | 0.6721 | 4 |
| Swimming in Outdoor Pools | 6.25 | 0.8919 | 58 | 5.72 | 0.4141 | 177 |
| Museum \& Historic Sites |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Museums | 3.05 | 0.5282 | 26 | 2.66 | 0.1464 | 144 |
| Historic Areas | 5.72 | 0.8594 | 43 | 5.02 | 0.1799 | 335 |

Table A.4.7. Average Number of Hours of Activity Per Trip: Upper and Middle Keys, Dec. '95-May '96

| Activity | Upper Keys |  |  | Middle Keys |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mean | Std. Error | N | Mean | Std. Error | N |
| Snorkeling |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Charter/Party Boat | 4.54 | 0.2534 | 139 | 3.73 | 0.1332 | 40 |
| Rental Boat | 4.10 | 0.5500 | 19 | 4.10 | 0.3421 | 20 |
| Private Boat | 4.96 | 1.0707 | 43 | 2.82 | 0.7165 | 34 |
| Shore | 3.30 | 0.2128 | 96 | 2.71 | 0.2571 | 116 |
| Scuba Diving |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Charter/Party Boat | 6.10 | 0.7000 | 92 | 6.23 | 0.8849 | 22 |
| Rental Boat | 3.85 | 0.4271 | 13 | 3.00 | 0.8928 | 2 |
| Private Boat | 7.29 | 1.1818 | 14 | 4.00 | 1.1293 | 5 |
| Shore | 2.42 | 0.2813 | 11 | 1.82 | 0.3960 | 11 |
| Offshore Fishing |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Charter Boat | 4.90 | 0.3972 | 29 | 5.96 | 0.4566 | 45 |
| Party Boat | 5.73 | 0.5430 | 33 | 6.02 | 0.3487 | 51 |
| Rental Boat | 16.8 | 5.8561 | 22 | 5.78 | 0.4368 | 17 |
| Private Boat | 45.1 | 11.685 | 56 | 12.3 | 3.3232 | 54 |
| Flats/Backcountry Fishing |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Guided | 7.22 | 2.8146 | 9 | 4.15 | 0.3619 | 12 |
| Rental Boat | 7.76 | 1.1573 | 17 | 5.33 | 0.7529 | 6 |
| Private Boat | 35.6 | 5.7381 | 43 | 27.3 | 9.6615 | 24 |
| Other Fishing |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Charter Boat | 3.33 | 0.5952 | 3 | 6.33 | 1.4880 | 6 |
| Party Boat | 5.00 | 0.8928 | 2 | 5.00 | 0.8928 | 4 |
| Rental Boat | 17.5 | 6.6962 | 2 | 2.00 | 0.0000 | 2 |
| Private Boat | 6.86 | 4.4982 | 20 | 10.3 | 2.7236 | 9 |
| Fishing from Shore | 6.30 | 1.0816 | 85 | 6.41 | 0.6086 | 159 |
| Personal Watercraft |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rental Boat | 2.33 | 0.2217 | 38 | 1.91 | 0.1886 | 40 |
| Private Boat | 8.81 | 1.4619 | 27 | 15.0 | 4.5641 | 19 |
| Sailing |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Charter/Party Boat | 31.2 | 24.434 | 6 | 3.60 | 0.4368 | 12 |
| Rental Boat | 4.00 | 0.8253 | 11 | 2.56 | 0.3814 | 8 |
| Private Boat | 8.42 | 2.7639 | 12 | 10.1 | 3.6138 | 23 |

Table A.4.7. Average Number of Hours of Activity Per Trip: Upper \& Middle Keys, Dec. '95-May '96 (continued)

| Activity | Upper Keys |  |  | Middle Keys |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mean | Std. Error | N | Mean | Std. Error | N |
| Other Boating |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Charter/Party | 3.18 | 0.4788 | 11 | 2.92 | 0.4304 | 10 |
| Rental Boat | 11.2 | 6.5949 | 6 | 3.29 | 0.4332 | 14 |
| Private Boat | 3.83 | 2.9526 | 16 | 3.05 | 1.2927 | 16 |
| Viewing Nature \& Wildlife |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Glass-bottom Boat | 2.70 | 0.0781 | 129 | 3.71 | 0.1464 | 37 |
| Guided Backcountry Excursion | 4.15 | 0.6033 | 34 | 3.64 | 0.5553 | 11 |
| Private/Rental Boat | 9.79 | 1.7960 | 106 | 4.46 | 1.8463 | 93 |
| Wildlife \& Nature Study-Land Based |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wildlife Observation/photography | 6.99 | 2.1244 | 196 | 2.51 | 0.1287 | 300 |
| Other Nature Study | 21.6 | 7.1493 | 93 | 3.24 | 0.1918 | 137 |
| All Beach Activities |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Swimming at Beaches | 4.94 | 0.4136 | 220 | 5.54 | 0.2962 | 363 |
| Other Beach Activities | 5.50 | 0.4300 | 160 | 6.46 | 0.4344 | 408 |
| Windsurfing or Sailboarding | 5.15 | 0.9312 | 13 | 4.20 | 0.9297 | 10 |
| Swimming in Outdoor Pools | 10.6 | 1.5625 | 174 | 6.33 | 0.6930 | 213 |
| Museum \& Historic Sites |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Museums | 1.78 | 0.1512 | 132 | 2.21 | 0.0693 | 174 |
| Historic Areas | 2.60 | 0.3972 | 29 | 2.38 | 0.1082 | 218 |

Table A.4.8. Average Number of Hours of Activity Per Trip: Lower Keys \& Key West, Dec. '95-May '96

| Activity | Lower Keys |  |  | Key West |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mean | Std. Error | N | Mean | Std. Error | N |
| Snorkeling |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Charter/Party Boat | 3.47 | 0.1023 | 58 | 4.04 | 0.2979 | 109 |
| Rental Boat | 3.37 | 0.5044 | 8 | 5.11 | 0.6590 | 7 |
| Private Boat | 4.72 | 0.8785 | 31 | 6.00 | 0.8928 | 6 |
| Shore | 4.93 | 0.5374 | 85 | 3.02 | 0.2416 | 71 |
| Scuba Diving |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Charter/Party Boat | 3.70 | 0.5163 | 20 | 4.28 | 0.5519 | 36 |
| Rental Boat | 4.00 | - | 0 | 3.00 | 1.5462 | 2 |
| Private Boat | 4.56 | 0.9854 | 8 | 4.00 | - | 0 |
| Shore | 1.50 | 0.4464 | 2 | 2.80 | 0.5206 | 5 |
| Offshore Fishing |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Charter Boat | 8.00 | 3.2053 | 10 | 9.60 | 2.3681 | 33 |
| Party Boat | 13.6 | 6.6171 | 4 | 9.72 | 1.5292 | 74 |
| Rental Boat | 7.60 | 1.6940 | 13 | 5.25 | 0.4274 | 4 |
| Private Boat | 17.0 | 3.7011 | 42 | 16.8 | 5.8710 | 8 |
| Flats/Backcountry Fishing |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Guided | 11.1 | 4.4367 | 6 | 5.33 | 0.8492 | 7 |
| Rental Boat | 8.00 | - | 1 | 4.33 | 0.2305 | 5 |
| Private Boat | 19.2 | 4.9572 | 26 | 17.9 | 7.7023 | 6 |
| Other Fishing |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Charter Boat | 4.00 | - | 0 | 6.00 | 1.4577 | 3 |
| Party Boat | 4.00 | - | 0 | 5.00 | - | 1 |
| Rental Boat | 2.00 | - | 1 | 8.00 | - | 1 |
| Private Boat | 8.40 | 3.4991 | 5 | 15.7 | 6.5271 | 3 |
| Fishing from Shore | 6.46 | 0.5652 | 107 | 6.50 | 1.6356 | 35 |
| Personal Watercraft |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rental Boat | 2.25 | 0.4697 | 8 | 3.17 | 0.6553 | 27 |
| Private Boat | 7.58 | 1.9169 | 18 | 7.40 | 4.1610 | 8 |
| Sailing |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Charter/Party Boat | 3.00 | 0.0000 | 2 | 3.96 | 0.4018 | 37 |
| Rental Boat | 4.00 | - | 0 | 2.75 | 0.3794 | 10 |
| Private Boat | 3.60 | 1.0022 | 5 | 35.4 | 12.346 | 6 |

Table A.4.8. Average Number of Hours of Activity Per Trip: Lower Keys \& Key West, Dec. '95-May '96 (continued)

| Activity | Lower Keys |  |  | Key West |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mean | Std. Error | N | Mean | Std. Error | N |
| Other Boating |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Charter/Party | 2.80 | 0.1786 | 5 | 3.48 | 0.1822 | 27 |
| Rental Boat | 3.33 | 1.1904 | 3 | 2.15 | 1.0788 | 5 |
| Private Boat | 5.00 | 1.2626 | 3 | 6.37 | 13.032 | 4 |
| Viewing Nature \& Wildlife |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Glass-bottom Boat | 3.17 | 0.5365 | 6 | 2.86 | 0.0600 | 137 |
| Guided Backcountry Excursion | 3.97 | 0.8224 | 5 | 4.63 | 1.6199 | 8 |
| Private/Rental Boat | 4.69 | 0.7218 | 36 | 4.12 | 2.2923 | 26 |
| Wildlife \& Nature Study-Land Based |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wildlife Observation/photography | 3.97 | 0.4441 | 182 | 3.81 | 0.2706 | 112 |
| Other Nature Study | 3.79 | 0.5264 | 68 | 3.80 | 0.6207 | 53 |
| All Beach Activities |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Swimming at Beaches | 5.70 | 0.7940 | 155 | 6.20 | 0.3868 | 301 |
| Other Beach Activities | 5.74 | 0.5767 | 175 | 6.93 | 0.3671 | 259 |
| Windsurfing or Sailboarding | 10.0 | 5.3569 | 2 | 2.41 | 0.4542 | 8 |
| Swimming in Outdoor Pools | 7.65 | 1.0577 | 73 | 5.72 | 0.2916 | 229 |
| Museum \& Historic Sites |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Museums | 2.07 | 0.2693 | 27 | 2.66 | 0.0886 | 422 |
| Historic Areas | 3.71 | 0.4910 | 70 | 5.02 | 0.1181 | 763 |

Table A.4.9. Total Number of Days of Activity by Region and Season (Thousands of Days)

| Activity | Region/Season |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Upper Keys |  | Middle Keys |  | Lower Keys |  | Key West |  |
|  | June - <br> Nov. '95 | Dec. '95- <br> May '96 | June - <br> Nov. '95 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec. '95- } \\ & \text { May '96 } \end{aligned}$ | June - <br> Nov. '95 | Dec. '95- <br> May '96 | June - <br> Nov. '95 | Dec. '95 <br> May '96 |
| All Snorkeling | 414.5 | 168.6 | 546.1 | 74.1 | 140.2 | 48.3 | 160.2 | 150.5 |
| Charter/Party Boat | 126.9 | 55.3 | 20.4 | 12.4 | 9.6 | 11.2 | 60.7 | 58.3 |
| Rental Boat | 25.9 | 11.7 | 32.6 | 4.4 | 15.7 | 5.4 | 16.6 | 6.6 |
| Private Boat | 154.0 | 30.3 | 350.9 | 18.4 | 88.1 | 14.3 | 3.4 | 21.3 |
| Snorkeling from Boat | 306.8 | 97.3 | 403.9 | 35.2 | 113.4 | 30.9 | 80.7 | 86.2 |
| Shore | 107.7 | 71.3 | 142.2 | 38.9 | 26.8 | 17.4 | 79.5 | 64.3 |
| All Scuba Diving | 158.0 | 75.5 | 140.2 | 21.8 | 70.6 | 7.4 | 40.0 | 21.3 |
| Charter/Party Boat | 71.4 | 41.6 | 28.0 | 12.6 | 6.9 | 4.5 | 23.9 | 12.5 |
| Rental Boat | 3.0 | 0.8 | 3.7 | 1.5 | 4.2 | 0.0 | 2.4 | 0.0 |
| Private Boat | 78.4 | 30.1 | 95.3 | 4.7 | 56.7 | 2.9 | 7.9 | 0.5 |
| Scuba from Boat | 152.8 | 72.5 | 127.0 | 18.8 | 67.8 | 7.4 | 34.2 | 13.0 |
| Shore | 5.2 | 3.0 | 13.2 | 3.0 | 2.8 | 0.0 | 5.8 | 8.3 |
| Offshore Fishing | 123.1 | 234.5 | 397.3 | 123.1 | 42.6 | 56.4 | 36.6 | 73.8 |
| Charter Boat | 20.8 | 14.2 | 15.0 | 14.1 | 1.8 | 5.0 | 20.3 | 30.2 |
| Party Boat | 4.5 | 8.6 | 13.6 | 12.5 | 4.1 | 7.0 | 4.6 | 12.1 |
| Rental Boat | 6.7 | 17.4 | 22.0 | 8.4 | 2.0 | 4.2 | 2.7 | 4.2 |
| Private Boat | 91.1 | 194.3 | 346.7 | 88.1 | 34.7 | 40.2 | 9.0 | 27.3 |
| Flats/Backcountry Fishing | 43.9 | 19.7 | 84.4 | 62.9 | 27.7 | 27.2 | 9.8 | 28.8 |
| Guided | 0.7 | 2.6 | 8.3 | 9.9 | 3.5 | 10.7 | 3.1 | 4.6 |
| Rental Boat | 0.3 | 5.9 | 0.0 | 4.8 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 2.2 | 6.8 |
| Private Boat | 42.9 | 11.2 | 76.1 | 48.2 | 24.2 | 15.1 | 4.5 | 17.4 |
| Other Fishing | 68.5 | 23.4 | 43.8 | 27.3 | 9.5 | 5.9 | 6.4 | 13.3 |
| Charter Boat | 0.4 | 0.0 | 2.2 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.0 |
| Party Boat | 1.1 | 0.2 | 1.1 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 1.8 |
| Rental Boat | 1.5 | 4.4 | 4.9 | 0.6 | 1.5 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.0 |
| Private Boat | 65.5 | 18.8 | 35.6 | 23.5 | 8.0 | 5.3 | 4.9 | 11.5 |
| Fishing from Shore | 36.2 | 68.8 | 87.8 | 51.6 | 19.9 | 21.9 | 17.6 | 56.1 |
| All Fishing | 271.7 | 346.4 | 613.3 | 264.9 | 99.7 | 111.4 | 70.4 | 172.0 |
| Personal Watercraft | 108.5 | 38.4 | 81.3 | 57.1 | 12.8 | 9.3 | 35.4 | 35.6 |
| Rental Boat | 43.6 | 14.0 | 19.5 | 15.4 | 1.5 | 1.1 | 32.6 | 25.4 |
| Private Boat | 64.9 | 24.4 | 61.8 | 41.7 | 11.3 | 8.2 | 2.8 | 10.2 |
| Sailing | 29.7 | 23.4 | 25.6 | 48.4 | 3.0 | 2.2 | 22.3 | 63.1 |
| Charter/Party Boat | 3.2 | 14.9 | 2.8 | 4.3 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 15.1 | 32.1 |
| Rental Boat | 22.8 | 0.6 | 10.4 | 2.0 | 3.0 | 0.3 | 2.5 | 2.3 |
| Private Boat | 3.7 | 7.9 | 12.4 | 42.1 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 4.7 | 28.7 |
| Other Boating | 101.8 | 28.7 | 61.3 | 15.3 | 3.4 | 4.2 | 24.1 | 21.9 |
| Charter/Party Boat | 11.0 | 9.2 | 3.9 | 5.3 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 14.3 | 15.7 |
| Rental Boat | 12.7 | 6.5 | 26.2 | 4.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.5 | 2.6 |
| Private Boat | 78.1 | 13.0 | 31.2 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 2.7 | 6.3 | 3.6 |

Table A.4.9. Total Number of Days of Activity by Region and Season (Thousands of Days) - (continued)

| Activity | Region/Season |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Upper Keys |  | Middle Keys |  | Lower Keys |  | Key West |  |
|  | June - <br> Nov. '95 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Dec. '95- } \\ \text { May '96 } \end{array}$ | June - <br> Nov. '95 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Dec. '95- } \\ \text { May '96 } \end{array}$ | June - <br> Nov. '95 | Dec. '95- <br> May '96 | June - <br> Nov. '95 | Dec. '95 <br> May '96 |
| Viewing Nature \& Wildlife | 152.4 | 218.1 | 189.9 | 57.8 | 54.4 | 34.4 | 64.3 | 84.1 |
| Glass-bottom Boat | 53.8 | 72.0 | 6.5 | 7.8 | 2.2 | 5.8 | 40.3 | 48.2 |
| Guided Backcountry Excursion | 16.9 | 16.8 | 8.6 | 4.9 | 0.0 | 2.3 | 5.3 | 4.6 |
| Private/Rental Boat | 81.7 | 129.3 | 174.8 | 45.1 | 52.2 | 26.3 | 18.7 | 31.3 |
| Wildlife \& Nature Study - Land | 124.5 | 780.2 | 253.3 | 126.0 | 77.8 | 113.6 | 115.2 | 199.2 |
| Wildlife Observation/photography | 40.6 | 373.3 | 77.2 | 69.9 | 39.7 | 70.0 | 57.6 | 112.0 |
| Other Nature Study | 83.9 | 406.9 | 176.1 | 56.1 | 38.1 | 43.6 | 57.6 | 87.2 |
| All Viewing Wildlife \& Nature | 276.9 | 998.3 | 443.2 | 183.8 | 132.2 | 148.0 | 179.5 | 283.3 |
| All Beach Activities | 338.9 | 393.4 | 514.5 | 352.1 | 48.8 | 133.6 | 419.7 | 487.6 |
| Swimming at beaches | 253.4 | 231.1 | 323.9 | 188.3 | 35.5 | 60.0 | 288.5 | 264.8 |
| Other Beach Activities | 85.5 | 162.3 | 190.6 | 163.8 | 13.3 | 73.6 | 131.2 | 222.8 |
| Windsurfing or Sailboarding | 0.0 | 7.5 | 4.3 | 3.7 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 3.7 | 3.7 |
| Swimming in Outdoor Pools | 220.3 | 537.7 | 596.9 | 169.0 | 28.3 | 134.3 | 435.8 | 366.9 |
| Museums \& Historic Sites | 67.5 | 125.2 | 80.7 | 72.3 | 48.6 | 42.6 | 539.9 | 718.5 |
| Museums | 28.1 | 44.2 | 30.9 | 31.4 | 19.8 | 9.6 | 120.7 | 215.9 |
| Historic Areas | 39.4 | 81.0 | 49.8 | 40.9 | 28.8 | 33.0 | 419.2 | 502.6 |

Table A.4.10. Total Annual Number of Days of Activity by Region (Thousands of Days)

| Activity | Region |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Upper Keys | Middle Keys | Lower Keys | Key West | All Keys |
| All Snorkeling | 583.1 | 620.2 | 188.5 | 310.7 | 1,702.5 |
| Charter/Party Boat | 182.2 | 32.8 | 20.8 | 119.0 | 354.8 |
| Rental Boat | 37.6 | 37.0 | 21.1 | 23.2 | 118.9 |
| Private Boat | 184.3 | 369.3 | 102.4 | 24.7 | 680.7 |
| Snorkeling from Boat | 404.1 | 439.1 | 144.3 | 166.9 | 1,154.4 |
| Shore | 179.0 | 181.1 | 44.2 | 143.8 | 548.1 |
| All Scuba Diving | 233.5 | 162.0 | 78.0 | 61.0 | 534.5 |
| Charter/Party Boat | 113.0 | 40.6 | 11.4 | 36.4 | 201.4 |
| Rental Boat | 3.8 | 5.2 | 4.2 | 2.4 | 15.6 |
| Private Boat | 108.5 | 100.0 | 59.6 | 8.4 | 276.5 |
| Scuba from Boat | 225.3 | 145.8 | 75.2 | 47.2 | 493.5 |
| Shore | 8.2 | 16.2 | 2.8 | 13.8 | 41.0 |
| Offshore Fishing | 357.6 | 520.4 | 99.0 | 110.4 | 1,087.4 |
| Charter Boat | 35.0 | 29.1 | 6.8 | 50.5 | 121.4 |
| Party Boat | 13.1 | 26.1 | 11.1 | 16.7 | 67.0 |
| Rental Boat | 24.1 | 36.4 | 6.2 | 6.9 | 67.6 |
| Private Boat | 285.4 | 434.8 | 74.9 | 36.3 | 831.4 |
| Flats/Backcountry Fishing | 63.6 | 147.3 | 54.9 | 38.6 | 304.4 |
| Guided | 3.3 | 18.2 | 14.2 | 7.7 | 43.4 |
| Rental Boat | 6.2 | 4.8 | 1.4 | 9.0 | 21.4 |
| Private Boat | 54.1 | 124.3 | 39.3 | 21.9 | 239.6 |
| Other Fishing | 91.9 | 71.1 | 15.4 | 19.7 | 198.1 |
| Charter Boat | 0.4 | 4.1 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 5.7 |
| Party Boat | 1.3 | 2.4 | 0.0 | 2.2 | 5.9 |
| Rental Boat | 5.9 | 5.5 | 1.6 | 0.4 | 13.4 |
| Private Boat | 84.3 | 59.1 | 13.3 | 16.4 | 173.1 |
| Fishing from Shore | 105.0 | 139.4 | 41.8 | 73.7 | 359.9 |
| All Fishing | 618.1 | 878.2 | 211.1 | 242.4 | 1,949.8 |
| Personal Watercraft | 146.9 | 188.4 | 22.1 | 71.0 | 378.4 |
| Rental Boat | 57.6 | 34.9 | 2.6 | 58.0 | 153.1 |
| Private Boat | 89.3 | 103.5 | 19.5 | 13.0 | 225.3 |
| Sailing | 53.1 | 74.0 | 5.2 | 85.4 | 217.7 |
| Charter/Party | 18.1 | 7.1 | 1.3 | 47.2 | 73.7 |
| Rental Boat | 23.4 | 12.4 | 3.3 | 4.8 | 43.9 |
| Private Boat | 11.6 | 54.5 | 0.6 | 33.4 | 100.1 |

Table A.4.10. Total Annual Number of Days of Activity by Region (Thousands of Days) - (continued)

| Activity | Region |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Upper Keys | Middle Keys | Lower Keys | Key West | All Keys |
| Other Boating | 130.5 | 76.6 | 7.6 | 46.0 | 260.7 |
| Charter/Party Boat | 20.2 | 9.2 | 1.5 | 30.0 | 60.9 |
| Rental Boat | 19.2 | 30.5 | 0.0 | 6.1 | 55.8 |
| Private Boat | 91.1 | 36.9 | 6.1 | 9.9 | 107.1 |
| Viewing Nature \& Wildlife | 370.5 | 247.7 | 88.8 | 148.4 | 855.4 |
| Glass-bottom Boat | 125.8 | 14.3 | 8.0 | 88.5 | 236.6 |
| Guided Backcountry Excursion | 33.7 | 13.5 | 2.3 | 9.9 | 59.4 |
| Private/Rental Boat | 211.0 | 219.9 | 78.5 | 50.0 | 559.4 |
| Wildlife \& Nature Study - Land | 904.7 | 379.3 | 191.4 | 314.4 | 1,789.8 |
| Wildlife Observation/Photography | 413.9 | 147.1 | 109.7 | 169.6 | 840.3 |
| Other Nature Study | 490.8 | 232.2 | 81.7 | 144.8 | 949.5 |
| All Viewing Wildlife \& Nature | 1,275.2 | 627.0 | 280.2 | 462.8 | 2,645.2 |
| All Beach Activities | 732.3 | 866.6 | 182.4 | 907.3 | 2,688.6 |
| Swimming at beaches | 484.5 | 512.2 | 95.5 | 553.3 | 1,645.5 |
| Other Beach Activities | 247.8 | 354.4 | 86.9 | 354.0 | 1,043.1 |
| Windsurfing or Sailboarding | 7.5 | 8.0 | 1.5 | 7.4 | 24.4 |
| Swimming in Outdoor Pools | 758.0 | 765.9 | 162.9 | 802.7 | 2,489.2 |
| Museums \& Historic Sites | 192.7 | 153.0 | 91.2 | 1,258.4 | 1,695.3 |
| Museums | 72.3 | 62.3 | 29.4 | 336.6 | 500.6 |
| Historic Areas | 120.4 | 90.7 | 61.8 | 921.8 | 1,194.7 |

Table A.4.11. Number of Hours of Activity by Region and Season (Thousands of Hours)

| Activity | Region/Season |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Upper Keys |  | Middle Keys |  | Lower Keys |  | Key West |  |
|  | June - <br> Nov. '95 | Dec. '95- <br> May '96 | June - <br> Nov. '95 | Dec. '95- <br> May '96 | June - <br> Nov. '95 | Dec. '95- <br> May '96 | June - <br> Nov. '95 | Dec. '95 <br> May '96 |
| All Snorkeling | 1,065.8 | 451.8 | 1,735.9 | 155.6 | 701.4 | 131.6 | 623.7 | 418.1 |
| Charter/Party Boat | 404.7 | 226.2 | 62.5 | 43.1 | 35.4 | 38.8 | 269.0 | 210.2 |
| Rental Boat | 119.8 | 22.9 | 98.4 | 15.9 | 66.8 | 13.7 | 64.7 | 25.3 |
| Private Boat | 337.0 | 69.7 | 1,218.9 | 32.4 | 533.4 | 24.9 | 120.3 | 59.8 |
| Snorkeling from Boat | 861.5 | 318.8 | 1,379.8 | 91.4 | 635.6 | 77.4 | 454.0 | 295.3 |
| Shore | 204.3 | 133.0 | 356.1 | 64.2 | 65.8 | 54.2 | 169.7 | 122.8 |
| All Scuba Diving | 464.0 | 210.6 | 340.6 | 64.8 | 73.9 | 21.0 | 118.2 | 50.2 |
| Charter/Party Boat | 261.4 | 140.3 | 101.9 | 40.0 | 22.6 | 10.3 | 69.3 | 35.3 |
| Rental Boat | 11.9 | 2.9 | 11.6 | 4.6 | 22.5 | 0.0 | 5.9 | 0.0 |
| Private Boat | 176.9 | 57.9 | 207.7 | 15.8 | 23.0 | 10.7 | 31.8 | 2.0 |
| Scuba Diving from Boat | 450.2 | 201.1 | 321.2 | 60.4 | 68.1 | 21.0 | 107.0 | 37.3 |
| Shore | 13.8 | 9.5 | 19.4 | 4.4 | 5.8 | 0.0 | 11.2 | 12.9 |
| Offshore Fishing | 386.3 | 1,061.5 | 2,157.5 | 553.9 | 228.7 | 209.2 | 177.5 | 409.4 |
| Charter Boat | 71.3 | 54.2 | 89.7 | 74.6 | 15.0 | 17.8 | 99.5 | 155.2 |
| Party Boat | 11.8 | 43.0 | 77.3 | 52.4 | 19.4 | 28.5 | 22.2 | 64.9 |
| Rental Boat | 9.0 | 24.7 | 81.5 | 36.7 | 11.5 | 21.7 | 10.0 | 17.5 |
| Private Boat | 294.2 | 939.6 | 1,909.0 | 390.2 | 182.8 | 141.2 | 45.8 | 171.8 |
| Flats/Backcountry Fishing | 110.2 | 77.4 | 129.9 | 87.3 | 56.7 | 65.2 | 58.8 | 54.7 |
| Guided | 4.2 | 12.8 | 32.6 | 35.6 | 17.0 | 43.8 | 15.6 | 24.5 |
| Rental Boat | 4.5 | 15.8 | 0.0 | 16.9 | 0.0 | 11.2 | 7.3 | 8.8 |
| Private Boat | 101.5 | 48.8 | 97.3 | 34.8 | 39.7 | 10.2 | 35.9 | 21.4 |
| Other Fishing | 172.0 | 73.7 | 172.7 | 97.4 | 56.5 | 29.9 | 18.2 | 24.3 |
| Charter Boat | 1.3 | 0.0 | 7.5 | 8.8 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 4.2 | 0.0 |
| Party Boat | 4.5 | 4.2 | 4.3 | 6.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.1 | 9.0 |
| Rental Boat | 1.5 | 5.0 | 29.2 | 1.1 | 12.6 | 0.1 | 1.5 | 0.0 |
| Private Boat | 164.7 | 64.5 | 131.7 | 80.8 | 43.9 | 27.8 | 10.4 | 15.3 |
| Fishing from Shore | 118.2 | 156.9 | 282.6 | 189.0 | 91.8 | 89.5 | 51.4 | 141.3 |
| All Fishing | 786.7 | 1,369.5 | 2,742.7 | 927.6 | 433.7 | 393.8 | 305.9 | 629.7 |
| Personal Watercraft | 227.5 | 91.1 | 227.8 | 175.8 | 51.4 | 35.4 | 80.6 | 94.8 |
| Rental Boat | 77.1 | 19.4 | 47.5 | 26.2 | 3.3 | 2.6 | 69.4 | 58.9 |
| Private Boat | 150.4 | 71.7 | 180.3 | 149.6 | 48.1 | 32.8 | 11.2 | 35.9 |
| Sailing | 30.2 | 46.2 | 46.2 | 35.4 | 12.0 | 6.6 | 99.6 | 180.3 |
| Charter/Party Boat | 15.2 | 2.0 | 21.5 | 13.7 | 0.0 | 3.8 | 84.1 | 114.6 |
| Rental Boat | 7.5 | 14.2 | 8.2 | 5.2 | 12.0 | 1.0 | 4.3 | 6.3 |
| Private Boat | 7.5 | 30.0 | 16.5 | 16.5 | 0.0 | 1.8 | 11.2 | 59.4 |
| Other Boating | 134.0 | 197.6 | 86.5 | 41.2 | 9.0 | 11.9 | 67.8 | 208.8 |
| Charter/Party Boat | 36.4 | 25.0 | 13.0 | 15.6 | 0.0 | 4.3 | 46.0 | 37.4 |
| Rental Boat | 14.8 | 18.3 | 9.0 | 14.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7.6 | 8.7 |
| Private Boat | 82.8 | 154.3 | 64.5 | 11.4 | 9.0 | 7.6 | 14.2 | 162.7 |

Table A.4.11. Number of Hours of Activity by Region and Season (Thousands of Hours) - (continued)

| Activity | Region/Season |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Upper Keys |  | Middle Keys |  | Lower Keys |  | Key West |  |
|  | June - <br> Nov. '95 | Dec. '95- <br> May '96 | June - <br> Nov. '95 | Dec. '95- <br> May '96 | June - <br> Nov. '95 | Dec. '95 <br> May '96 | - June - <br> Nov. '95 | Dec. '95- <br> May '96 |
| Viewing Nature \& Wildlife | 416.4 | 481.9 | 503.1 | 154.5 | 196.5 | 84.2 | 195.0 | 320.1 |
| Glass-bottom Boat | 151.8 | 192.6 | 13.8 | 28.8 | 7.3 | 18.5 | 114.2 | 154.2 |
| Guided Backcountry Excursion | 42.7 | 169.8 | 28.2 | 18.0 | 0.0 | 9.3 | 20.8 | 25.8 |
| Private/Rental Boat | 221.9 | 119.5 | 461.1 | 107.7 | 189.2 | 56.4 | 60.0 | 140.1 |
| Wildlife \& Nature Study - Land | 228.3 | 1,527.7 | 914.9 | 247.9 | 244.3 | 218.0 | 249.7 | 389.5 |
| Wildlife Observation/Photography | 80.5 | 518.8 | 256.9 | 129.9 | 105.7 | 130.5 | 107.6 | 210.5 |
| Other Nature Study | 147.8 | 1,008.9 | 658.0 | 118.0 | 138.6 | 87.5 | 142.1 | 179.0 |
| All Viewing Wildlife \& Nature | 644.7 | 2,009.6 | 1,418.0 | 402.4 | 440.8 | 302.2 | 444.7 | 709.6 |
| All Beach Activities | 926.9 | 773.4 | 1,346.2 | 875.9 | 168.4 | 377.4 | 1,073.5 | 1,554.5 |
| Swimming at Beaches | 621.6 | 410.6 | 793.2 | 444.0 | 114.0 | 181.9 | 718.4 | 846.7 |
| Other Beach Activities | 305.3 | 362.8 | 553.0 | 431.9 | 54.4 | 195.5 | 355.1 | 707.8 |
| Windsurfing or Sailboarding | 0.0 | 14.4 | 8.0 | 6.7 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 9.0 | 7.6 |
| Swimming in Outdoor Pools | 470.8 | 813.9 | 984.8 | 389.0 | 83.0 | 198.3 | 828.2 | 687.1 |
| Museums \& Historic Areas | 156.4 | 204.0 | 185.0 | 154.7 | 136.0 | 109.2 | 1,441.9 2, | 2,254.5 |
| Museums | 47.2 | 64.5 | 51.5 | 66.2 | 35.2 | 19.1 | 252.9 | 622.0 |
| Historic Areas | 109.2 | 139.5 | 133.5 | 88.5 | 100.6 | 90.1 | 1,189.0 | 1,632.5 |

Table A.4.12. Total Annual Number of Hours of Activity by Region (Thousands of Hours)

| Activity | Region |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Upper Keys | Middle Keys | Lower Keys | Key West | All Keys |
| All Snorkeling | 1,517.6 | 1,891.5 | 833.0 | 1,041.8 | 5,283.9 |
| Charter/Party Boat | 630.9 | 105.6 | 74.2 | 479.2 | 1,289.9 |
| Rental Boat | 142.7 | 114.3 | 80.5 | 90.0 | 427.5 |
| Private Boat | 406.7 | 1,251.3 | 558.3 | 180.1 | 2,396.4 |
| Snorkeling from Boat | 1,180.3 | 1,471.2 | 713.0 | 749.3 | 4,113.8 |
| Shore | 337.3 | 420.3 | 120.0 | 292.5 | 1,170.1 |
| All Scuba Diving | 674.6 | 405.4 | 94.9 | 168.4 | 1,343.3 |
| Charter/Party Boat | 401.7 | 141.9 | 32.9 | 104.6 | 681.1 |
| Rental Boat | 14.8 | 16.2 | 22.5 | 5.9 | 59.4 |
| Private Boat | 234.8 | 223.5 | 33.7 | 33.8 | 525.8 |
| Scuba Diving from Boat | 651.3 | 381.6 | 89.1 | 144.3 | 1,266.3 |
| Shore | 23.3 | 23.8 | 5.8 | 24.1 | 77.0 |
| Offshore Fishing | 1,447.8 | 2,711.4 | 437.9 | 586.9 | 5,184.0 |
| Charter Boat | 125.5 | 164.3 | 32.8 | 254.7 | 577.3 |
| Party Boat | 54.8 | 129.7 | 47.9 | 87.1 | 712.3 |
| Rental Boat | 33.7 | 118.2 | 33.2 | 27.5 | 212.6 |
| Private Boat | 1,233.8 | 2,299.2 | 324.0 | 217.6 | 4,074.6 |
| Flats/Backcountry Fishing | 187.6 | 217.2 | 121.9 | 113.5 | 640.2 |
| Guided | 17.0 | 68.2 | 60.8 | 40.1 | 186.1 |
| Rental Boat | 20.3 | 16.9 | 11.2 | 16.1 | 64.5 |
| Private Boat | 150.3 | 132.1 | 49.9 | 57.3 | 389.6 |
| Other Fishing | 245.7 | 270.1 | 86.4 | 42.5 | 644.7 |
| Charter Boat | 1.3 | 16.3 | 2.0 | 4.2 | 23.8 |
| Party Boat | 8.7 | 11.0 | 0.0 | 11.1 | 30.8 |
| Rental Boat | 6.5 | 30.3 | 12.7 | 1.5 | 51.0 |
| Private Boat | 229.2 | 212.5 | 71.7 | 25.7 | 539.1 |
| Fishing from Shore | 275.1 | 471.6 | 181.3 | 192.7 | 1,120.7 |
| All Fishing | 2,152.2 | 3,670.3 | 827.5 | 935.6 | 7,589.6 |
| Personal Watercraft | 318.6 | 403.6 | 86.8 | 175.4 | 984.4 |
| Rental Boat | 96.5 | 73.7 | 5.9 | 128.3 | 304.4 |
| Private Boat | 222.1 | 329.9 | 80.9 | 47.1 | 680.0 |
| Sailing | 76.4 | 81.6 | 18.6 | 279.9 | 456.5 |
| Charter/Party Boat | 17.2 | 35.2 | 3.8 | 198.7 | 254.9 |
| Rental Boat | 21.7 | 13.4 | 13.0 | 10.6 | 58.7 |
| Private Boat | 37.5 | 33.0 | 1.8 | 70.6 | 142.9 |

Table A.4.12. Total Annual Number of Hours of Activity by Region (Thousands of Hours) (continued)

| Activity | Region |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Upper Keys | Middle Keys | Lower Keys | Key West | All Keys |
| Other Boating | 331.6 | 127.7 | 20.9 | 276.6 | 756.8 |
| Charter Boat | 61.4 | 28.6 | 4.3 | 83.4 | 177.7 |
| Rental Boat | 33.1 | 23.2 | 0.0 | 16.3 | 72.6 |
| Private Boat | 237.1 | 75.9 | 16.6 | 176.9 | 506.5 |
| Viewing Nature \& Wildlife | 898.3 | 657.6 | 280.7 | 515.1 | 2,351.7 |
| Glass-bottom Boat | 344.4 | 42.6 | 25.8 | 268.4 | 681.2 |
| Guided Backcountry Excursion | 212.5 | 46.2 | 9.3 | 46.6 | 314.6 |
| Private/Rental Boat | 341.4 | 568.8 | 245.6 | 200.1 | 1,355.9 |
| Wildlife \& Nature Study - Land | 1,756.0 | 1,162.8 | 462.3 | 639.2 | 4,020.3 |
| Wildlife Observation/Photography | 599.3 | 386.8 | 236.2 | 318.1 | 1,540.4 |
| Other Nature Study | 1,156.7 | 776.0 | 226.1 | 321.1 | 2,479.9 |
| All Viewing Wildlife \& Nature | 2,654.3 | 1,820.4 | 743.0 | 1,154.3 | 6,372.0 |
| All Beach Activities | 1,700.3 | 2,222.1 | 545.8 | 2,628.0 | 7,096.2 |
| Swimming at Beaches | 1,032.2 | 1,237.2 | 295.9 | 1,565.1 | 4,130.4 |
| Other Beach Activities | 668.1 | 984.9 | 249.9 | 1,062.9 | 2,965.8 |
| Windsurfing or Sailboarding | 14.4 | 14.7 | 3.0 | 16.6 | 48.7 |
| Swimming in Outdoor Pools | 1,284.7 | 1,373.8 | 281.3 | 1,515.3 | 4,455.1 |
| Museums \& Historic Sites | 360.4 | 339.7 | 245.2 | 3,696.4 | 4,641.7 |
| Museums | 111.7 | 117.7 | 54.5 | 874.9 | 1,158.8 |
| Historic Areas | 248.7 | 222.0 | 190.7 | 2,821.5 | 3,482.9 |

## Chapter 5. Methods of Estimating the Economic Contribution to Monroe County

This Chapter provides the details on how we estimated the economic contribution that recreating visitors had on Monroe County. The results of this estimation are reported in "Economic Contribution of Recreating Visitors to the Florida Keys/ Key West" (English et al 1996). In this report, estimates of the economic contribution of recreating visitors was reported for Monroe County and for the three South Florida counties (Dade, Broward, and Monroe). The IMPLAN input-output model was used for estimating the economic contribution for the South Florida economy. A more simplified approach was used for the Monroe County economy because the IMPLAN input-output model for Monroe County could not be properly calibrated due to the many interconnections with the larger South Florida economy. Here, the more simplified approach for Monroe County is documented.

The Use of Census Ratios. The simplified approach for Monroe County used several types of ratios on economic measurements for the Monroe County economy from the U.S. Department of Commerce, Census Bureau, Census of Business 1992 and from the U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of Economic Analysis, Regional Economic Information System 1994. Table A.5.1 shows the wages-to-sales and wages-to-employment ratios by standard industrial classification (SIC). Table A.5.2 shows the derivation of the total income to wages \& salaries ratio and the proprietor's income to proprietors employment ratios. These ratios are fundamental to estimating the direct income and employment impacts from visitor expenditures.

Direct Wages \& Salaries and Employment. To estimate the direct wages \& salaries and wages \& salaries related employment impacts in Monroe County, first required estimating the total expenditures by spending category and then matching each spending category to the appropriate SIC from Table A.5.1. Total expenditures are equal to total visitation (measured in person-trips or visits) times the average expenditure per person per trip. This was done for each category of spending for each season. There were 1,172,004 person-trips of visitation during the June - November 1995 season and 1,368,484 persontrips of visitation during the December 1995 - May 1996 season (see Chapter 1). Direct wages \& salaries are first derived by multiplying total expenditures by category by the appropriate wages-to-sales ratio. Direct wages $\&$ salaries employment is then equal to the direct wages $\&$ salaries divided by the wages-to-employment ratios. Table A.5.3 shows these calculations for the June - November 1995 season and Table A.5.4 shows the same calculations for the December 1995-May 1996 season.

Total Output, Income and Employment. To estimate total output required two steps. In step one, the total expenditures from Tables A.5.3 and A.5.4 are multiplied by the percent of inputs purchased locally (.70). This percent was taken from the Monroe County IMPLAN input-output model tables and revised downwards from .77 to .70 using information about the percent of wages \& salaries to nonresidents (commuter workers) to Monroe County. Total output was then equal to direct output times an output multiplier of 1.6. Table A.5.5 shows these calculations.

Total estimate total income also required two steps. In step one, the direct wages \& salaries derived and reported in Tables A.5.3 and A.5.4 are multiplied by the total income-to-wages \& salaries ratio (1.2222) from Table A.5.2. This yields an estimate of total direct income, that is, income to wages \& salary workers and income to proprietors. In step two, total direct income was multiplied by an income multiplier of 1.6 to get the total income impact on Monroe County. These calculations are shown in Table A.5.5.

Finally, to estimate the total employment impact required several steps. First, direct wages \& salaries employment from Tables A.5.3 and A.5.4 were multiplied by the employment multiplier of 1.6 to get the total wages \& salaries employment. Second, direct proprietors income was divided by the proprietors income-to-employment ratio from Table $1.5 .2(18,690)$ to yield an estimate of direct proprietors employment. Direct proprietors employment was then multiplied by the employment multiplier of 1.6 to get an estimate of the total proprietors employment. Total wages \& salaries employment was then added to the total proprietors employment to get an estimate of the total employment impact. These calculations are all shown in Table A.5.6.

Percent of Monroe County Economy. The economic contribution of recreating visitors to Monroe County can be put into perspective by estimating the proportion of the economy dependent on recreating visitors. We obtained actual reported gross sales for Monroe County for the June - November 1995 season and for the December 1995 - May 1996 season from the Florida Department of Revenue. The percent of output/sales accounted for by recreating visitors is estimated by dividing the total output by the total reported gross sales in Monroe County. These calculations are presented in Table A.5.5.

For income, the latest available information for Monroe County was from the U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of Economic Analysis, Regional Economic Information System 1994. We took the ratio of reported income for 1994 to reported sales for 1994 for Monroe County and multiplied it by the reported sales for the June - November 1995 season and for the December 1995 - May 1996 season, and for the year June 1995 - May 1996 to get estimates of total Monroe County reported income. Our estimates of total income from visitor spending was then divided by these reported incomes to get estimates of the percent of Monroe County's income dependent on recreating visitors. These calculations are shown in Table A.5.5.

For employment, we obtained an estimate of total Monroe County employment from the U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of Economic Analysis, Regional Economic Information System 1994 and projected it to the time period of our study June - May 1996. We estimated this to be approximately 47,000 . We also used the relationship between summer and winter employment to estimate total employment during the June - November 1995 season. The percent of Monroe County employment dependent on recreating visitors was then calculated as the total employment from visitor spending divided by the total Monroe County employment. These calculations are presented in Table A.5.6.

Table A.5.1. Wages-to-Sales and Wages-to-Employment Ratios for Monroe County

| SIC | Industry W | Wages-to-Sales | Wages-to-Employment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 70 | Hotels and Motels | . 2418 | 14,874 |
| 72 | Personal Services | . 2673 | 10,083 |
| 73 | Business Services | . 3077 | 14,416 |
| 80 | Health Services | . 3689 | 24,081 |
| 89 | Other Services | . 3556 | 48,643 |
| 75 | Automotive Repair, Services and Parking | . 2213 | 18,036 |
| 751 | Automotive Rental and Leasing | g . 1542 | 19,577 |
| 753 | Automotive Repair | . 2191 | 19,188 |
| 54 | Food Stores | . 1024 | 12,492 |
| 554 | Gasoline Service Stations | . 0644 | 13,951 |
| 58 | Eating and Drinking Places | . 2415 | 8,902 |
| 56 | Apparel and Accessory Stores | . 1413 | 12,621 |
| 53 | General Merchandise Stores | . 1116 | 10,636 |
| 591 | Drug and Proprietary Stores | . 1023 | 16,197 |
| 59 | Miscellaneous Retail Stores | . 1666 | 13,528 |
| 78,79,84 | Amusement and Recreation Servic including Motion Pictures and Museums | rvices <br> d <br> .2806 | 14,398 |
| $\begin{gathered} 79 \text { ex. } 792, \\ 793,84 \end{gathered}$ | Commercial Sports and Other Recreation Services, including Museums | g . 2927 | 15,273 |

Source: U.S. Department of Commerce, Census Bureau, Census of Business 1992

Table A.5.2. Derivation of Total Income to Wages \& Salaries Ratio for Monroe County

| Employment by Place of Work | 46,784 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Wage and Salary Employment | 36,621 |
| Proprietors Employment | 10,163 |
|  |  |
| Wages \& Salaries and other Labor Income | $854,877(000 ' s)$ |
| Proprietor's Income | $189,947(000$ 's $)$ |
| Total Income by Place of Work | $1,044,824(000$ 's) |
| Total Income-to-Wages \& Salaries Ratio | 1.2222 |
|  | 18,690 |

Source: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of Economic Analysis, Regional Economic Information System 1994

Table A.5.3. Derivation of Direct Wages and Salaries Income and Employment for Monroe County, June - November 1995

| Category | Expenditures <br> Per Person Per Trip | Estimated Expenditures | Wages to Sales Ratio | Total <br> Wages | Wages to Employment Ratio | Estimated Employment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lodging | 150.38 | 176,245,961.52 | 0.242 | 42,616,273.50 | 14874 | 2,865.15 |
| Publicly Owned |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hotel/motel/bed \& breakfast/cabin, etc. | 19.66 | 23,041,598.64 | 0.2418 | 5,571,458.55 | 14874 | 374.58 |
| Camping site (RV/tent/camper) | 2.10 | 2,461,208.40 | 0.2418 | 595,120.19 | 14874 | 40.01 |
| Privately Owned |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hotel/motel/bed \& breakfast/cabin, etc. | 91.10 | 106,769,564.40 | 0.2418 | 25,816,880.67 | 14874 | 1,735.71 |
| Rental home, cottage, cabin, condo | 30.17 | 35,359,360.68 | 0.2418 | 8,549,893.41 | 14874 | 574.82 |
| Camping site (RV/tent/camper) | 7.35 | 8,614,229.40 | 0.2418 | 2,082,920.67 | 14874 | 140.04 |
| Food and Beverages | 112.01 | 131,276,168.04 |  | 24,334,961.07 |  | 2,886.94 |
| Food \& drinks consumed at restuarants \& bars | 81.61 | 95,647,246.44 | 0.2415 | 23,098,810.02 | 8902 | 2,594.79 |
| Beverages purchased at a store for carry-out | 10.30 | 12,071,641.20 | 0.1024 | 1,236,136.06 | 12492 | 98.95 |
| Food purchased at a store for carry-out | 20.11 | 23,569,000.44 | 0.1024 | 2,413,465.65 | 12492 | 193.20 |
| Transportation | 39.53 | 46,329,318.12 |  | 7,313,081.11 |  | 436.58 |
| Rental automobile, motor home, trailer, motor- |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gas \& Oil - auto or RV | 12.64 | 14,814,130.56 | 0.0644 | 954,030.01 | 13951 | 68.38 |
| Repair \& Service - auto or RV | 0.91 | 1,066,523.64 | 0.2191 | 233,675.33 | 19188 | 12.18 |
| Parking fees \& tolls | 1.82 | 2,133,047.28 | 0.2213 | 472,043.36 | 18036 | 26.17 |
| Taxi fare | 0.39 | 457,081.56 | 0.2213 | 101,152.15 | 18036 | 5.61 |
| Bus Fare |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| a) Package tour | 0.57 | 668,042.28 | 0.2418 | 161,532.62 | 14874 | 10.86 |
| b) Any other bus fare | 0.28 | 328,161.12 | 0.2213 | 72,622.06 | 18036 | 4.03 |
| Airline fares |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| a) Package tours | 5.18 | 6,070,980.72 | 0.2418 | 1,467,963.14 | 14874 | 98.69 |
| b) Any other airline fare | 9.09 | 10,653,516.36 | 0.2213 | 2,357,623.17 | 18036 | 130.72 |
|  |  | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |
| Boating | 28.32 | 33,191,153.28 |  | 6,776,609.17 |  | 438.60 |
| Boat, jet ski, and wave runner rental | 10.37 | 12,153,681.48 | 0.2927 | 3,557,382.57 | 15273 | 232.92 |
| Boat fuel and oil | 10.06 | 11,790,360.24 | 0.0644 | 759,299.20 | 13951 | 54.43 |
| Boat repairs | 2.86 | 3,351,931.44 | 0.2191 | 734,408.18 | 19188 | 38.27 |
| Boat launch fees | 0.48 | 562,561.92 | 0.2927 | 164,661.87 | 15273 | 10.78 |
| Boat slip or marina fees (this trip only) | 0.33 | 386,761.32 | 0.2927 | 113,205.04 | 15273 | 7.41 |
| Sailing charters or sunset cruises | 4.22 | 4,945,856.88 | 0.2927 | 1,447,652.31 | 15273 | 94.79 |
| Fishing | 10.14 | 11,884,120.56 | 0.293 | 3,478,482.09 | 15273 | 227.75 |
| Cut bait | 1.59 | 1,863,486.36 | 0.2927 | 545,442.46 | 15273 | 35.71 |
| Live bait | 0.47 | 550,841.88 | 0.2927 | 161,231.42 | 15273 | 10.56 |
| Daily or special fishing permits | 0.71 | 832,122.84 | 0.2927 | 243,562.36 | 15273 | 15.95 |
| Fishing lines, fly lines, fish nets, traps | 1.41 | 1,652,525.64 | 0.2927 | 483,694.25 | 15273 | 31.67 |
| Charter/party boat/guide service | 5.97 | 6,996,863.88 | 0.2927 | 2,047,982.06 | 15273 | 134.09 |

Table A.5.3. Derivation of Direct Wages and Salaries Income and Employment for Monroe County, June - November 1995 (Continued)

| Category | $\begin{gathered} \text { Expenditures } \\ \text { Per Person } \\ \text { Per Trip } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Estimated Expenditures | Wages to Sales Ratio | Total Wages | Wages to Employment Ratio | Estimated Employment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Scuba Diving/Snorkeling | 18.51 | 21,693,794.04 | 0.293 | 6,349,773.52 | 15273 | 415.75 |
| Rental fee for equipment | 7.47 | 8,754,869.88 | 0.2927 | 2,562,550.41 | 15273 | 167.78 |
| Charter/party boat/guide service | 11.05 | 12,950,644.20 | 0.2927 | 3,790,653.56 | 15273 | 248.19 |
| Sightseeing | 9.84 | 11,532,519.36 | 0.293 | 3,375,568.42 | 15273 | 221.02 |
| Sightseeing tours | 3.36 | 3,937,933.44 | 0.2927 | 1,152,633.12 | 15273 | 75.47 |
| Glass-bottom boat rides | 1.70 | 1,992,406.80 | 0.2927 | 583,177.47 | 15273 | 38.18 |
| Backcountry excursions, kayak tours | 0.34 | 398,481.36 | 0.2927 | 116,635.49 | 15273 | 7.64 |
| Park entrance fees | 1.04 | 1,218,884.16 | 0.2927 | 356,767.39 | 15273 | 23.36 |
| Admission to tourist, amusement, festivals and other commercial attractions | 3.39 | 3,973,093.56 | 0.2927 | 1,162,924.49 | 15273 | 76.14 |
| Other Activity Expenditures | 5.36 | 6,281,941.44 | 0.293 | 1,838,724.26 | 15273 | 120.39 |
| Rental fee for recreation equipment (bicycles, golf carts or others not listed above) | 2.66 | 3,117,530.64 | 0.2927 | 912,501.22 | 15273 | 59.75 |
| Guide service, tour, or outfitters (not listed above, like parasailing) | 1.25 | 1,465,005.00 | 0.2927 | 428,806.96 | 15273 | 28.08 |
| Admission to motion pictures, theaters, museums, etc. | 1.46 | 1,711,125.84 | 0.2927 | 500,846.53 | 15273 | 32.79 |
| Miscellaneous Expenditures | 33.62 | 39,402,774.48 |  | 5,886,799.12 |  | 446.76 |
| Film purchases | 1.72 | 2,015,846.88 | 0.1023 | 206,221.14 | 16197 | 12.73 |
| Film development | 0.58 | 679,762.32 | 0.1023 | 69,539.69 | 16197 | 4.29 |
| Footware | 1.94 | 2,273,687.76 | 0.1413 | 321,272.08 | 12621 | 25.46 |
| Clothing | 15.07 | 17,662,100.28 | 0.1413 | 2,495,654.77 | 12621 | 197.74 |
| Souvenirs and gifts (not clothing) | 14.31 | 16,771,377.24 | 0.1666 | 2,794,111.45 | 13528 | 206.54 |
| Services | 5.29 | 6,199,901.16 |  | 2,112,260.62 |  | 108.62 |
| Barber, laundry, and other personal services | 0.65 | 761,802.60 | 0.2673 | 203,629.83 | 10083 | 20.20 |
| Telephone,fax, and other business services | 1.27 | 1,488,445.08 | 0.3077 | 457,994.55 | 14416 | 31.77 |
| Physician, dentist, and other medical services | 2.96 | 3,469,131.84 | 0.3689 | 1,279,762.74 | 24081 | 53.14 |
| Other Services | 0.41 | 480,521.64 | 0.3556 | 170,873.50 | 48643 | 3.51 |
| Total - Trip | 413.02 | 484,061,092.08 |  | 104,082,532.86 |  | 8,167.57 |
| Annual Boat Storage/Marina | 0.33 | 386,761.32 | 0.2927 | 113,205.04 | 15273 | 7.41 |
| Annual Condo/Time Share | 4.36 | 5,109,937.44 | 0.2418 | 1,235,582.87 | 14874 | 83.07 |
| Annual RV/Trailer Park | 4.82 | 5,649,059.28 | 0.2418 | 1,365,942.53 | 14874 | 91.83 |
| Total Annual Expense Items | 9.51 | 11,145,758.04 |  | 2,714,730.45 |  | 182.32 |
| Total All | 422.53 | 495,206,850.12 |  | 106,797,263.31 |  | 8,349.89 |

Table A.5.4. Derivation of Direct Wages and Salaries Income and Employment for Monroe County, December 1995-May 1996

| Category | Expenditures Per Person Per Trip | Estimated Expenditures | Wages <br> to Sales Ratio | Total <br> Wages | Wages to Employment Ratio | Estimated Employment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lodging | 187.38 | 256,426,531.92 | 0.242 | 62,003,935.42 | 14874 | 4,168.61 |
| Publicly Owned |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hotel/motel/bed \& breakfast/cabin, etc. | 18.71 | 25,604,335.64 | 0.2418 | 6,191,128.36 | 14874 | 416.24 |
| Camping site (RV/tent/camper) | 3.80 | 5,200,239.20 | 0.2418 | 1,257,417.84 | 14874 | 84.54 |
| Privately Owned |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hotel/motel/bed \& breakfast/cabin, etc. | 110.57 | 151,313,275.88 | 0.2418 | 36,587,550.11 | 14874 | 2,459.83 |
| Rental home, cottage, cabin, condo | 34.10 | 46,665,304.40 | 0.2418 | 11,283,670.60 | 14874 | 758.62 |
| Camping site (RV/tent/camper) | 20.20 | 27,643,376.80 | 0.2418 | 6,684,168.51 | 14874 | 449.39 |
| Food and Beverages | 138.93 | 190,123,482.12 |  | 35,803,332.09 |  | 4,255.19 |
| Food \& drinks consumed at restuarants \& bars | 104.09 | 142,445,499.56 | 0.2415 | 34,400,588.14 | 8902 | 3,864.37 |
| Beverages purchased at a store for carry-out | 10.01 | 13,698,524.84 | 0.1024 | 1,402,728.94 | 12492 | 112.29 |
| Food purchased at a store for carry-out | 24.83 | 33,979,457.72 | 0.1024 | 3,479,496.47 | 12492 | 278.54 |
| Transportation | 52.42 | 71,735,931.28 |  | 11,650,327.15 |  | 678.16 |
| Rental automobile, motor home, trailer, motorcycle or other recreation vehicle | 16.09 | 22,018,907.56 | 0.1542 | 3,395,315.55 | 19577 | 173.43 |
| Gas \& Oil - auto or RV | 13.00 | 17,790,292.00 | 0.0644 | 1,145,694.80 | 13951 | 82.12 |
| Repair \& Service - auto or RV | 3.15 | 4,310,724.60 | 0.2191 | 944,479.76 | 19188 | 49.22 |
| Parking fees \& tolls | 1.28 | 1,751,659.52 | 0.2213 | 387,642.25 | 18036 | 21.49 |
| Taxi fare | 1.09 | 1,491,647.56 | 0.2213 | 330,101.61 | 18036 | 18.30 |
| Bus Fare |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| a) Package tour | 0.57 | 780,035.88 | 0.2418 | 188,612.68 | 14874 | 12.68 |
| b) Any other bus fare | 0.41 | 561,078.44 | 0.2213 | 124,166.66 | 18036 | 6.88 |
| Airline fares |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| a) Package tours | 5.76 | 7,882,467.84 | 0.2418 | 1,905,980.72 | 14874 | 128.14 |
| b) Any other airline fare | 11.07 | 15,149,117.88 | 0.2213 | 3,352,499.79 | 18036 | 185.88 |
| Boating | 15.88 | 21,731,525.92 |  | 5,056,343.11 |  | 316.29 |
| Boat, jet ski, and wave runner rental | 3.66 | 5,008,651.44 | 0.2927 | 1,466,032.28 | 15273 | 95.99 |
| Boat fuel and oil | 2.86 | 3,913,864.24 | 0.0644 | 252,052.86 | 13951 | 18.07 |
| Boat repairs | 4.08 | 5,583,414.72 | 0.2191 | 1,223,326.17 | 19188 | 63.75 |
| Boat launch fees | 0.09 | 123,163.56 | 0.2927 | 36,049.97 | 15273 | 2.36 |
| Boat slip or marina fees (this trip only) | 1.26 | 1,724,289.84 | 0.2927 | 504,699.64 | 15273 | 33.05 |
| Sailing charters or sunset cruises | 3.93 | 5,378,142.12 | 0.2927 | 1,574,182.20 | 15273 | 103.07 |
| Fishing | 16.36 | 22,388,398.24 | 0.2927 | 6,553,084.16 | 15273 | 429.06 |
| Cut bait | 1.17 | 1,601,126.28 | 0.2927 | 468,649.66 | 15273 | 30.68 |
| Live bait | 0.78 | 1,067,417.52 | 0.2927 | 312,433.11 | 15273 | 20.46 |
| Daily or special fishing permits | 0.72 | 985,308.48 | 0.2927 | 288,399.79 | 15273 | 18.88 |
| Fishing lines, fly lines, fish nets, traps | 0.61 | 834,775.24 | 0.2927 | 244,338.71 | 15273 | 16.00 |
| Charter/party boat/guide service | 13.08 | 17,899,770.72 | 0.2927 | 5,239,262.89 | 15273 | 343.04 |

Table A.5.4. Derivation of Direct Wages and Salaries Income and Employment for Monroe County, December 1995 - May 1996 (Continued)

| Category | $\begin{gathered} \text { Expenditures } \\ \text { Per Person } \\ \text { Per Trip } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Estimated Expenditures | Wages to Sales Ratio | Total Wages | Wages to Employment Ratio | Estimated Employment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Scuba Diving/Snorkeling | 6.72 | 9,196,212.48 | 0.293 | 2,691,731.39 | 15273 | 176.24 |
| Rental fee for equipment | 1.47 | 2,011,671.48 | 0.2927 | 588,816.24 | 15273 | 38.55 |
| Charter/party boat/guide service | 5.24 | 7,170,856.16 | 0.2927 | 2,098,909.60 | 15273 | 137.43 |
| Sightseeing | 13.04 | 17,845,031.36 | 0.293 | 5,223,240.68 | 15273 | 341.99 |
| Sightseeing tours | 4.78 | 6,541,353.52 | 0.2927 | 1,914,654.18 | 15273 | 125.36 |
| Glass-bottom boat rides | 2.39 | 3,270,676.76 | 0.2927 | 957,327.09 | 15273 | 62.68 |
| Backcountry excursions, kayak tours | 0.46 | 629,502.64 | 0.2927 | 184,255.42 | 15273 | 12.06 |
| Park entrance fees | 1.38 | 1,888,507.92 | 0.2927 | 552,766.27 | 15273 | 36.19 |
| Admission to tourist, amusement, festivals and other commercial attractions | 4.03 | 5,514,990.52 | 0.2927 | 1,614,237.73 | 15273 | 105.69 |
| Other Activity Expenditures | 7.34 | 10,044,672.56 | 0.293 | 2,940,075.66 | 15273 | 192.50 |
| Rental fee for recreation equipment (bicycles, golf carts or others not listed above) | 3.20 | 4,379,148.80 | 0.2927 | 1,281,776.85 | 15273 | 83.92 |
| Guide service, tour, or outfitters (not listed above,like parasailing) | 2.18 | 2,983,295.12 | 0.2927 | 873,210.48 | 15273 | 57.17 |
| Admission to motion pictures, theaters, museums, etc. | 1.95 | 2,668,543.80 | 0.2927 | 781,082.77 | 15273 | 51.14 |
| Miscellaneous Expenditures | 38.99 | 53,357,191.16 |  | 7,980,994.58 |  | 608.60 |
| Film purchases | 1.34 | 1,833,768.56 | 0.1023 | 187,594.52 | 16197 | 11.58 |
| Film development | 0.60 | 821,090.40 | 0.1023 | 83,997.55 | 16197 | 5.19 |
| Footware | 2.42 | 3,311,731.28 | 0.1413 | 467,947.63 | 12621 | 37.08 |
| Clothing | 18.95 | 25,932,771.80 | 0.1413 | 3,664,300.66 | 12621 | 290.33 |
| Souvenirs and gifts (not clothing) | 15.69 | 21,471,513.96 | 0.1666 | 3,577,154.23 | 13528 | 264.43 |
| Services | 12.98 | 17,762,922.32 |  | 5,895,953.20 |  | 268.03 |
| Barber, laundry, and other personal services | 1.83 | 2,504,325.72 | 0.2673 | 669,406.26 | 10083 | 66.39 |
| Telephone,fax, and other business services | 3.63 | 4,967,596.92 | 0.3077 | 1,528,529.57 | 14416 | 106.03 |
| Physician, dentist, and other medical services | 1.85 | 2,531,695.40 | 0.3689 | 933,942.43 | 24081 | 38.78 |
| Other Services | 5.68 | 7,772,989.12 | 0.3556 | 2,764,074.93 | 48643 | 56.82 |
| Total - Trip | 490.05 | 670,625,584.20 |  | 145,799,017.45 |  | 11,434.68 |
| Annual Boat Storage/Marina | 1.76 | 2,408,531.84 | 0.2927 | 704,977.27 | 15273 | 46.16 |
| Annual Condo/Time Share | 13.78 | 18,857,709.52 | 0.2418 | 4,559,794.16 | 14874 | 306.56 |
| Annual RV/Trailer Park | 2.72 | 3,722,276.48 | 0.2418 | 900,046.45 | 14874 | 60.51 |
| Total Annual Expense Items | 18.26 | 24,988,517.84 |  | 6,164,817.88 |  | 413.23 |
| Total All | 508.31 | 695,614,102.04 |  | 151,963,835.33 |  | 11,847.91 |

Table A.5.5. Derivation of Total Output and Income Impacts for Monroe County

|  | June - Nov ‘95 | Dec ‘95- <br> May '96 | Annual |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Person-Trips | 1,172,004 | 1,368,484 | 2,540,488 |
| Expenditures Per Person Per Trip $=$ | \$422.53 | \$508.31 |  |
| Total Expenditures (Tables A.5.3 \& A.5.4) x | \$495,206,850 | \$695,614,102 | \$1,190,820,952 |
| Percent of Inputs Purchased Locally $=$ | . 70 | . 70 | . 70 |
| Direct Output X | \$346,644,795 | \$486,929,871 | \$833,574,666 |
| Output Multiplier $=$ | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.6 |
| Total Output | \$554,631,672 | \$779,087,794 | \$1,333,719,466 |
| Reported Gross Sales | \$984,995,584 | \$1,218,309,773 | \$2,203,305,357 |
| Percent of Gross Sales | $\mathbf{5 6 . 3 1 \%}$ | 63.95\% | 60.53\% |
| Wages \& Salaries Income (Direct) (from Tables A.5.3 and A.5.4) x | \$106,797,263 | \$151,963,835 | \$258,761,098 |
| Total Income-to-Wages \& Salaries (from Table A.5.2) | 1.2222 | 1.2222 | 1.2222 |
| Direct Income | \$130,527,615 | \$185,730,200 | \$316,257,815 |
| x |  |  |  |
| Income Multiplier | 1.60 | 1.60 | 1.60 |
| $=$ |  |  |  |
| Total Income | \$208,844,184 | \$297,168,320 | \$506,012,504 |
| Reported Income ( .51 * Reported Sales) | \$502,347,748 | \$621,337,984 | \$1,123,685,732 |
| Percent of Income | 41.57\% | 47.83\% | 45.03\% |

Table A.5.6. Derivation of Total Employment Impacts for Monroe County

| Wage \& Salaries Employment | Jun - Nov '95 | Dec '95-May '96 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Employent (Direct) <br> (from Tables A.5.3 and A.5.4) | 8,350 | 11,848 |
| Employment Multiplier | 1.6 | 1.6 |
| Employment (Total) | 13,360 | 18,957 |
| Proprietor's Employment |  |  |
| Proprietors Income (Direct) <br> ( Wages \& Salaries * 1.2222)Wages \& Salaries | 23,730,352 | 33,766,365 |
| Proprietors Income-to-Employment Ratio (from Table A.5.2) | 18,690 | 18,690 |
| Proprietors Employment (Direct) <br> Employment Multiplier <br> Proprietors Employment (Total) | $\begin{aligned} & 1,270 \\ & 1.6 \\ & 2,032 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,807 \\ & 1.6 \\ & 2,891 \end{aligned}$ |
| Total Employment (Wages \& Salaries Plus Proprietors) |  |  |
| Direct <br> Total | $\begin{aligned} & 9,620 \\ & 15,392 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 13,655 \\ & 21,848 \end{aligned}$ |
| Total Monroe County Employment (Estimate form BEA, summer . 863 of winter employment) | 40,543 | 47,000 |
| Tourist Impact as percent of Monroe County Employment | 37.96\% | 46.49\% |
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## Exhibits

Exhibit 1

## Talley Sheet <br> Auto Survey

U.S. 1

1. Are you a permanent resident of Monroe County?

Yes Thank you. We are only interviewing nonresidents of Monroe County.
(Place tic mark in column 4)
No 2. Are you ending your trip to The Florida Keys Today?

$\quad \square$ No | Thank you. We are only interviewing people at |
| :--- |
| the end of their trip to the Florida Keys. (Place |
| tic mark in column 5) |


| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Site | Date | Time <br> Period | Permanent <br> Resident | Non Exit <br> Visitor | Non <br> Recreating <br> Tourist <br> Visitor | Recreating <br> Visitor <br> Refusal or <br> Language <br> Barrier | Recreating <br> Visitor <br> Interviewed |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Blue Card - Activities List

## Recreation/Tourist Activities

Snorkeling
Scuba Diving
Fishing
Swimming
Boating (including personal watercraft, jet skis)
Nature Study or Viewing Wildlife
Windsurfing, Parasailing or Hang gliding
Beach Activities
Visiting Museums and Historic Areas
Sightseeing or Tourist Attractions
Attending Outdoor Festival and Events
Attending Concerts, Events and Performances
Camping, Picnicking, Hiking
Horseback riding, Bicycling
Participation in Outdoor Sports (Tennis, Golf, or other sports)
Sunset Cruises

Exhibit 3
Auto Tally Sheet: Type of Vehicle by Lane

| Site | Date | Lane |  | Cars | $\mid$ | Pick-up Vans/mtr. hm's | Tour <br> Bus | $\substack{\text { Motor- } \\ \text { Cycle }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Comm. } \\ & \text { P/ups } \\ & \text { Gov. } \\ & \text { Vans } \end{aligned}$ |  | Bus |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| July 1995 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Exhibit 4 Auto Survey Calandar |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sun | Mon | Tue |  | Thu | Fri | Sat |
| Thom Thumb 7 days Key Largo Elementary School 8 days |  | 15 days Auto Survey <br> 8 mornings <br> 7 afternoons |  |  |  | 1 |
| 2 <br> Auto <br> 8:30 am to $12: 30$ <br> Thom Thumb <br> Store | 3 | 4 | 5 <br> Auto <br> 2:00 to 6:00 pm <br> Key Largo <br> Elementary <br> School | 6 <br> Auto <br> 8:30 am to $12: 30$ <br> Thom Thumb <br> Store | 7 | $\mathbf{8}$ <br> Auto <br> 2:00 to 6:00 pm <br> Key Largo <br> Elementary <br> School <br> Cancelled <br> Officers Pulled |
| 9 | 10 <br> Auto <br> 8:30 am to $12: 30$ <br> Thom Thumb <br> Store <br> Cancelled Officers Pulled | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \mathbf{1} \\ & \text { Auto } \\ & \text { 2:00 to } 6: 00 \mathrm{pm} \\ & \text { Key Largo } \\ & \text { Elementary } \\ & \text { School } \\ & \text { Cancelled } \\ & \text { Officers Pulled } \end{aligned}$ | 12 | 13 | 14 <br> Auto <br> 8:30 am to $12: 30$ <br> Thom Thumb Store | 15 |
| $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \mathbf{1} 6 \\ \text { Auto } \\ \text { 2:00 to 6:00 pm } \\ \text { Key Largo } \\ \text { Elementary } \\ \text { School } \end{array}$ | 17 | 18 | 19 <br> Auto <br> 8:30 am to $12: 30$ <br> Thom Thumb <br> Store | 20 <br> Auto <br> 2:00 to 6:00 pm <br> Key Largo <br> Elementary <br> School | 21 | $\mathbf{2} 2$ <br> Auto <br> 8:30 am to 12:30 <br> Thom Thumb <br> Store |
| 23 | 24 <br> Auto <br> 2:00 to 6:00 pm <br> Key Largo <br> Elementary <br> School | $\mathbf{2} 5$ <br> Auto <br> 8:30 am to 12:30 <br> Thom Thumb <br> Store | 26 | 27 | 28 <br> Auto <br> 2:00 to 6:00 pm <br> Key Largo <br> Elementary <br> School | 29 |
| $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \mathbf{3} \mathbf{0} \\ \text { Auto } \\ \text { 2:00 to 6:00 pm } \\ \text { Key Largo } \\ \text { Elementary } \\ \text { School } \end{array}$ | 31 |  |  |  |  |  |

August 1995
Exhibit 4 Auto Survey Calandar

| Sun | Mon | Tue | Wed | Thu | Fri | Sat |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Thom Thu Key Largo School | mb 2 days Elementary 12 days <br> to Survey rings noons | 1 | 2 <br> Auto <br> 8:30 am - 12:30 <br> Key Largo <br> Elementary <br> School <br> Cancelled Storm <br> Evacuation | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathbf{3} \\ & \text { Auto } \\ & \text { A:00-5:00 pm } \\ & \text { Key Largo } \\ & \text { Elementary } \\ & \text { School } \\ & \text { Cancelled Storm } \\ & \text { Evacuation } \end{aligned}$ | 4 | $\mathbf{5}$ <br> Auto <br> 8:30 am - 12:30 <br> Key Largo <br> Elementary <br> School <br> Cancelled Storm <br> Evacuation |
| 6 | $\begin{aligned} & 7 \\ & \text { Auto } \\ & 8: 30 \text { am }-12: 30 \\ & \text { Key Largo } \\ & \text { Elementary } \\ & \text { School } \end{aligned}$ | 8 <br> Auto <br> 1:00 $-5: 00 \mathrm{pm}$ <br> Key Largo <br> Elementary <br> School | 9 | 10 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 11 \\ & \text { Auto } \\ & 8: 30 \text { am - 12:30 } \\ & \text { Key Largo } \\ & \text { Elementary } \\ & \text { School } \end{aligned}$ | 12 |
| $\mathbf{1 3}$ <br> Auto <br> A:3 am - 12:30 <br> Key Largo <br> Klementary <br> School | 14 | 15 | 16 Auto 1:00 $5: 00 \mathrm{pm}$ Key Lago Elementary School | 17 Auto 8:30 am - 12:30 Key Largo Elementary School | 18 | $\begin{aligned} & 19 \\ & \text { Auto } \\ & \text { 1:00-5:00 pm } \\ & \text { Key Largo } \\ & \text { Elementary } \\ & \text { School } \end{aligned}$ |
| 20 | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline 2 \text { 1 } \\ \text { Auto } \\ \text { 1:00-5:00 pm } \\ \text { Key Largo } \\ \text { Elementary } \\ \text { School } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 22 \\ & \text { Auto } \\ & 8: 30 \text { am }-12: 30 \\ & \text { Thom Thumb } \\ & \text { Store } \end{aligned}$ | 23 | 24 | 2 5 Auto 1:00-5:00 pm Thom Thumb Store Auto 1:00 - $5: 00 \mathrm{pm}$ Key Largo Elementary School | 26 |
| 27 <br> Auto <br> 2:00-4:00 pm <br> Key Largo <br> Elementary <br> School <br> Make-up day | 28 | 29 | 30 | 31 |  |  |

January 1996
Exhibit 4 Auto Survey Calandar

| Sun | Mon | Tue | Wed | Thu | Fri | Sat |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} \hline 1 & \\ & \begin{array}{r} \text { Thom Tr } \\ 9 \\ \text { da } \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | s auto Sur mornings afternoons | 4 <br> ey | 5 | 6 |
| 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 |
| 14 | 15 Auto 12:00-2:30 pm Thom Thumb Store | $\mathbf{1} 6$ <br> Auto <br> $9: 00-11: 30 \mathrm{am}$ <br> Thom Thumb <br> Store | 17 | 18 | $1 \mathbf{9}$ Auto 1:00-2:30 pm $3: 30-4: 30 \mathrm{pm}$ Thom Thumb Store | 20 |
| $\mathbf{2 ~ 1}$ <br> Auto <br> a:00 $11: 30 \mathrm{am}$ <br> Thom Thumb <br> Store | 22 | 23 | $2 \mathbf{4}$ Auto 12:00-2:30 pm Thom Thumb Store | $\mathbf{2} 5$ <br> Auto <br> $9: 00-11: 30 \mathrm{am}$ <br> Thom Thumb <br> Store | 26 | 2 7 Auto 2:00-4:30 pm Thom Thumb Store |
| 28 | $\mathbf{2} 9$ Auto $9: 00-11: 30 \mathrm{am}$ Thom Thumb Store | 3 0 Auto 1:00-2:30 pm 3:30-4:30 pm Thom Thumb Store | 31 |  |  |  |

February 1996
Exhibit 4 Auto Survey Calandar

| Sun | Mon | Tue | Wed | Thu | Fri | Sat |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Thom Thumb 10 days | 10 days auto Survey 5 mornings 5 afternoons |  |  | 1 <br> 8 <br> 8 | 2 <br> Auto <br> 9:30-11:30 am <br> Thom Thumb <br> Store | 3 |
| 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |  |  | 10 |
| 11 | $\mathbf{1 2}$ Auto 12:00 - 2:30 pm Thom Thumb Store | $\mathbf{1 3}$ Auto 9:30-11:30 am Thom Thumb Store | 14 | 15 | 16 Auto 1:00 $-2: 30 \mathrm{pm}$ $3: 30-4: 30 \mathrm{pm}$ Thom Thumb Store | 17 |
| $\mathbf{1 8}$  <br> Auto  <br> 2:00 $-4: 30 \mathrm{pm}$ <br> pm Thom <br> Thumb Store | 19 | 20 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 2 \text { 1 } \\ & \text { Auto } \\ & 9: 30-11: 30 \mathrm{am} \\ & \text { Thom Thumb } \\ & \text { Store } \end{aligned}$ | $2 \mathbf{2}$ Auto 12:00 - 2:30 pm Thom Thumb Store | 23 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{2} 4 \\ & \text { Auto } \\ & 9: 30-11: 30 \mathrm{am} \\ & \text { Thom Thumb } \\ & \text { Store } \end{aligned}$ |
| 25 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{2} \mathbf{6} \\ & \text { Auto } \\ & 9: 30-11: 30 \mathrm{am} \\ & \text { Thom Thumb } \\ & \text { Store } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{2 ~ 7} \\ & \text { Auto } \\ & 1: 00-2: 30 \mathrm{pm} \\ & 3: 30-4: 30 \mathrm{pm} \\ & \text { Thom Thumb } \\ & \text { Store } \end{aligned}$ | 28 | 29 |  |  |

March 1996
Exhibit 4 Auto Survey Calandar

| Sun | Mon | Tue | Wed | Thu | Fri | Sat |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Thom Thumb } \\ 13 \text { days } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Auto } \\ & \text { A:00 - 11:30 am } \end{aligned}$ | 2 |
| 13 days Auto Survey 7 mornings 6 afternoons |  |  |  |  | Store |  |
| $\mathbf{3}$ <br> Auto <br> 华 $-11: 30 \mathrm{am}$ <br> Thom Thumb <br> Store | 4 | 5 | 6 <br> Auto <br> 12:00 - 2:30 pm <br> Thom Thumb <br> Store <br> Cancelled due to <br> fires on U.S. 1 | 7 <br> Auto <br> $9: 00-11: 30 \mathrm{am}$ <br> Thom Thumb <br> Store | 8 | 9 Auto 2:00- $4: 30 \mathrm{pm}$ Thom Thumb Store |
| 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 |
| 17 <br> Auto <br> 2:00-4:30 pm <br> Thom Thumb <br> Store | 18 | 19 | 2 0 Auto 1:00 $2: 30 \mathrm{pm}$ $3: 30-4: 30 \mathrm{pm}$ Thom Thumb Store | $2 \mathbf{1}$ Auto $9: 00-11: 30 \mathrm{am}$ Thom Thumb Store | 22 | $\mathbf{2} 3$ Auto 9:00-11:30 am Thom Thumb Store |
| 24 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathbf{2 5} \\ & \text { Auto } \\ & \text { Au:00 - 2:30 pm } \\ & \text { Thom Thumb } \\ & \text { Store } \end{aligned}$ | 2 6 Auto $9: 00-11: 30 \mathrm{am}$ Thom Thumb Store | 27 | 28 | $\mathbf{2 ~ 9}$ Auto 1:00-2:30 pm $3: 30-4: 30 \mathrm{pm}$ Thom Thumb Store | 30 |
| $\mathbf{3} 1$ <br> Auto <br> a:0 - $11: 30 \mathrm{am}$ <br> Thom Thumb <br> Store |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Sun | April 1996 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Exhibit 4 Auto Survey Calandar |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Mon | Tue | Wed | Thu | Fri | Sat |
|  | 1 | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{3} \\ & \text { Auto } \\ & 9: 00-11: 30 \mathrm{am} \\ & \text { Thom Thumb } \\ & \text { Store } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4 } \\ & \text { Auto } \\ & \text { 12:00 - 2:30 pm } \\ & \text { Thom Thumb } \\ & \text { Store } \end{aligned}$ | 5 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{6} \\ & \text { Auto } \\ & \text { 2:00-4:30 pm } \\ & \text { Thom Thumb } \\ & \text { Store } \end{aligned}$ |
| 7 | 8 <br> Auto <br> $9: 00-11: 30 \mathrm{am}$ <br> Thom Thumb <br> Store <br> Rain-out | 9 <br> Auto <br> 1:00-2:30 pm <br> $3: 30-4: 30 \mathrm{pm}$ <br> Thom Thumb <br> Store | 10 | 11 | 12 Auto $9: 00-11: 30 \mathrm{am}$ Thom Thumb Store | 13 |
| 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 |
|  | Thom Thum | 6 days |  | 6 days Auto Survey 3 mornings 3 afternoons |  |  |
| 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 |
| 28 | 29 | 30 |  |  |  |  |

## Exhibit 5

Florida Department of Transportation
Traffic Counts
Hourly Continuous Counts Final Report
July 1995

County Name: MONROE Station: 0164 Direction: N
Description: SR 5/US 1, 800 feet S JCT CR 905 in Key Largo

| Day | Dof | HR1 | HR2 | HR3 | HR4 | HR5 | HR6 | HR7 | HR8 | HR9 | HR10 | HR11 | HR12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | A | 147 | 120 | 68 | 57 | 75 | 100 | 171 | 321 | 429 | 590 | 711 | 738 |
| 2 | S | 259 | 197 | 158 | 144 | 111 | 95 | 138 | 234 | 354 | 481 | 643 | 776 |
| 3 | M | 284 | 188 | 97 | 81 | 80 | 218 | 399 | 564 | 595 | 655 | 799 | 889 |
| 4 | T | 200 | 122 | 102 | 84 | 75 | 85 | 155 | 274 | 497 | 598 | 727 | 1004 |
| 5 | W | 239 | 123 | 63 | 59 | 72 | 294 | 575 | 709 | 699 | 798 | 935 | 932 |
| 6 | R | 81 | 61 | 38 | 24 | 63 | 190 | 375 | 516 | 547 | 612 | 630 | 706 |
| 7 | F | 70 | 48 | 39 | 47 | 58 | 181 | 343 | 504 | 541 | 558 | 663 | 717 |
| 8 | A | 144 | 69 | 67 | 73 | 56 | 101 | 209 | 337 | 456 | 645 | 768 | 836 |
| 9 | S | 202 | 133 | 93 | 70 | 70 | 86 | 131 | 241 | 339 | 540 | 732 | 957 |
| 10 | M | 113 | 61 | 30 | 29 | 74 | 217 | 436 | 566 | 574 | 630 | 682 | 692 |
| 11 | T | 85 | 37 | 41 | 33 | 58 | 170 | 366 | 506 | 512 | 549 | 553 | 593 |
| 12 | W | 63 | 54 | 36 | 24 | 64 | 198 | 350 | 463 | 536 | 562 | 534 | 648 |
| 13 | R | 83 | 52 | 28 | 31 | 46 | 181 | 354 | 457 | 537 | 586 | 626 | 632 |
| 14 | F | 87 | 69 | 41 | 37 | 67 | 167 | 342 | 509 | 539 | 513 | 636 | 685 |
| 15 | A | 122 | 78 | 58 | 39 | 69 | 107 | 174 | 308 | 486 | 609 | 758 | 787 |
| 16 | S | 191 | 129 | 73 | 53 | 53 | 86 | 120 | 235 | 373 | 551 | 749 | 984 |
| 17 | M | 125 | 55 | 42 | 44 | 80 | 214 | 432 | 566 | 565 | 622 | 703 | 691 |
| 18 | T | 48 | 34 | 22 | 23 | 74 | 197 | 360 | 487 | 466 | 547 | 579 | 636 |
| 19 | W | 85 | 46 | 23 | 27 | 54 | 196 | 348 | 501 | 500 | 532 | 592 | 602 |
| 20 | R | 74 | 63 | 47 | 26 | 64 | 165 | 386 | 500 | 499 | 596 | 612 | 644 |
| 21 | F | 67 | 40 | 34 | 31 | 66 | 159 | 359 | 451 | 539 | 581 | 665 | 685 |
| 22 | A | 108 | 106 | 66 | 54 | 63 | 104 | 183 | 358 | 455 | 634 | 724 | 771 |
| 23 | S | 274 | 231 | 91 | 72 | 81 | 107 | 133 | 241 | 349 | 554 | 777 | 1012 |
| 24 | M | 138 | 46 | 53 | 38 | 80 | 243 | 469 | 562 | 649 | 616 | 690 | 713 |
| 25 | T | 92 | 43 | 29 | 30 | 76 | 174 | 351 | 471 | 526 | 569 | 598 | 638 |
| 26 | W | 81 | 67 | 34 | 42 | 69 | 199 | 358 | 419 | 491 | 519 | 617 | 620 |
| 27 | R | 79 | 46 | 26 | 31 | 71 | 178 | 354 | 481 | 533 | 587 | 649 | 695 |
| 28 | F | 98 | 48 | 43 | 29 | 58 | 198 | 400 | 577 | 664 | 726 | 914 | 919 |
| 29 | A | 116 | 76 | 48 | 38 | 55 | 109 | 179 | 308 | 516 | 720 | 979 | 1111 |
| 30 | S | 197 | 107 | 68 | 45 | 60 | 80 | 116 | 229 | 373 | 592 | 856 | 1156 |
| 31 | M | 105 | 52 | 46 | 26 | 84 | 208 | 433 | 510 | 551 | 614 | 764 | 820 |

** Dof=Day of week.
Exhibit 5

Exhibit 5
(Continued)
Florida Department of Transportation
Traffic Counts
Hourly Continuous Counts Final Report
July 1995

County Name: MONROE Station: 0164 Direction: N
Description: SR 5/US 1, 800 feet S JCT CR 905 in Key Largo

| Day | Dof | HR13 | HR14 | HR15 | HR16 | HR17 | HR18 | HR19 | HR20 | HR21 | HR22 | HR23 | HR24 | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | A | 721 | 659 | 622 | 644 | 756 | 735 | 749 | 650 | 533 | 557 | 415 | 323 | 10891 |
| 2 | S | 833 | 846 | 936 | 1022 | 1162 | 1256 | 1369 | 1373 | 1308 | 1152 | 736 | 465 | 16048 |
| 3 | M | 880 | 960 | 985 | 989 | 961 | 1005 | 827 | 674 | 534 | 459 | 361 | 284 | 13768 |
| 4 | T | 1085 | 1041 | 1095 | 1039 | 1112 | 1084 | 1094 | 912 | 790 | 810 | 743 | 459 | 15187 |
| 5 | W | 848 | 827 | 839 | 777 | 783 | 745 | 613 | 422 | 356 | 259 | 212 | 132 | 12311 |
| 6 | R | 623 | 674 | 700 | 726 | 700 | 713 | 558 | 469 | 360 | 319 | 205 | 151 | 10041 |
| 7 | F | 719 | 658 | 776 | 750 | 743 | 775 | 659 | 508 | 305 | 295 | 205 | 188 | 10350 |
| 8 | A | 812 | 814 | 724 | 735 | 823 | 769 | 698 | 654 | 538 | 498 | 371 | 291 | 11488 |
| 9 | S | 1064 | 1097 | 1253 | 1304 | 1308 | 1420 | 1381 | 1271 | 932 | 719 | 447 | 223 | 16013 |
| 10 | M | 679 | 617 | 682 | 667 | 638 | 626 | 523 | 367 | 300 | 245 | 146 | 106 | 9700 |
| 11 | T | 573 | 628 | 581 | 604 | 629 | 633 | 434 | 352 | 251 | 217 | 187 | 126 | 8718 |
| 12 | W | 658 | 660 | 661 | 630 | 640 | 614 | 497 | 377 | 280 | 210 | 175 | 128 | 9062 |
| 13 | R | 615 | 628 | 657 | 623 | 599 | 625 | 580 | 381 | 337 | 263 | 159 | 124 | 9204 |
| 14 | F | 712 | 687 | 697 | 702 | 717 | 721 | 597 | 462 | 385 | 284 | 189 | 178 | 10023 |
| 15 | A | 797 | 733 | 683 | 742 | 797 | 764 | 749 | 642 | 479 | 444 | 385 | 287 | 11097 |
| 16 | S | 1027 | 1052 | 1170 | 1249 | 1282 | 1365 | 1354 | 1149 | 918 | 707 | 396 | 237 | 15503 |
| 17 | M | 678 | 590 | 615 | 646 | 597 | 612 | 508 | 344 | 267 | 173 | 164 | 121 | 9454 |
| 18 | T | 642 | 617 | 609 | 566 | 552 | 601 | 452 | 316 | 249 | 211 | 167 | 99 | 8554 |
| 19 | W | 651 | 640 | 618 | 615 | 594 | 627 | 461 | 350 | 289 | 229 | 159 | 120 | 8859 |
| 20 | R | 644 | 647 | 660 | 677 | 641 | 656 | 498 | 409 | 317 | 239 | 187 | 130 | 9381 |
| 21 | F | 734 | 671 | 686 | 646 | 698 | 732 | 654 | 495 | 396 | 287 | 213 | 158 | 10047 |
| 22 | A | 826 | 743 | 745 | 751 | 844 | 863 | 757 | 672 | 654 | 561 | 445 | 362 | 11849 |
| 23 | S | 1030 | 1198 | 1383 | 1292 | 1485 | 1436 | 1530 | 1381 | 1155 | 847 | 507 | 285 | 17451 |
| 24 | M | 772 | 675 | 659 | 680 | 676 | 659 | 517 | 420 | 341 | 266 | 176 | 121 | 10259 |
| 25 | T | 627 | 676 | 649 | 635 | 585 | 649 | 534 | 399 | 326 | 266 | 165 | 118 | 9226 |
| 26 | W | 681 | 674 | 743 | 668 | 691 | 735 | 598 | 460 | 377 | 292 | 240 | 139 | 9814 |
| 27 | R | 724 | 789 | 903 | 954 | 953 | 864 | 686 | 522 | 423 | 323 | 252 | 165 | 11288 |
| 28 | F | 906 | 901 | 866 | 792 | 822 | 768 | 673 | 490 | 361 | 273 | 210 | 152 | 11888 |
| 29 | A | 1168 | 1128 | 978 | 842 | 863 | 806 | 653 | 581 | 526 | 399 | 323 | 262 | 12784 |
| 30 | S | 1287 | 1215 | 1299 | 1104 | 1154 | 1139 | 1039 | 869 | 796 | 565 | 329 | 209 | 14884 |
| 31 | M | 908 | 1139 | 1308 | 1449 | 1435 | 1277 | 1268 | 989 | 799 | 687 | 473 | 299 | 16244 |
| ** | Dof= | y of week |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Screening Criteria: | 1) NOT a resident of Monroe County |
| :--- | :--- |
| (See Tally Sheet) | 2) Visiting Keys and did some recreation/tourist activity |


| Auto: | U.S. 1 | $\square$ | Time of interview: |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Air: | Key West |  |  |
|  | Marathon |  | Month Day Time |
| Cruise Ship: | Mallory Square Truman Annex | $\square$ | Number of people in Vehicle or Party:$\begin{array}{l}\text { \# People }\end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |  |

1. How many People in your vehicle (party) are age 16 or older? $\qquad$
2. Where is your primary residence?

City or Nearest City
$\qquad$
County
State

Zipcode
Country: $\qquad$
O U.S.A.

- Canada
Australia/OceaniaOther Europe
- Mexico
- JapanMiddle East
- Central Am./South Am.O United Kingdom Africa
O Other

3. On this trip to the Florida Keys, when did you first arrive? $\qquad$ Month Day Time
4. Including this trip, how many times have you visited the Florida Keys for all recreation/tourist activities in the last 12 months, that is since (date last year)?

Times
5. Including this trip, how many days have you spent in the Florida Keys where you did some recreation/ tourist activity in the last 12 months?
Days

If overnight visitor, hand respondent map of Florida Keys. If not overnight visitor skip to next section.
6. Looking at the map, could you tell me how many nights you spent on this trip to the Florida Keys in the
Upper Keys $\underset{\text { \# nights }}{ }$ Middle Keys $_{\text {\# nights }}$ Lower Keys_ \# nights Key West $\underset{\text { \# nights }}{ }$

Interviewer: Make sure if answer to Q.4. is greater than one, that answer to Q.6. is not equal to Q.5.

I would now like to ask you about some of the recreation/tourist activities in which you, or someone in your group, participated in during this trip to the Florida Keys. Please refer to the white card.
7. In which of these activities did you or someone in your group participate?
8. As I read you each activity in which you said you or someone in your group participated, could you tell me in which areas of the Florida Keys you participated in the activity? For <activity> Upper Keys, Middle Keys, Lower Keys, Key West?
9. Now as I read each activity, could you tell me how many others in your group participated in the activity in each area of the Florida Keys?
For <activity>, how many others participated in Upper Keys, Middle Keys, Lower Keys, Key West?


## Exhibit 6

## Auto, Air and Cruise Ship Survey

10. Please refer to the yellow Events Card. The events are listed by date to help you skip down the list. Events are listed on both sides of the card.

Did you attend any of these events on this trip to the Florida Keys?
Yes (continue) $\quad \square$ No (skip to Q. 12)
11. Please refer to Section 1 on your green card and tell me how important was the event in planning your trip to the Florida Keys?

DK Don't know
A Not important
B Somewhat important
C Important
D Very important
E Extremely important
12. Please refer to Section 2 on your green card and tell me which reason best describes the primary purpose of your trip to the Florida Keys.

A Recreation or vacation
B Visit family or friends
C Business trip
D Business/pleasure
E Other (specify)
Finally, for statistical purposes, we need to know a few things about yourself.
13. In what year were you born? (code last two digits) $\qquad$
14. Sex male $\square$ female $\square$
15. Race/Ethnicity

| $\square$ American Indian or Alaskan native |
| :--- |
| $\square$ Asian or pacific Islander |
| $\square$ |
| $\square$ |
| Black, not of Hispanic origin |
| $\square$ |
| $\square$ |

16. Please refer to Section 3 of your green card again and tell me which of the income categories best describes your annual household income last year before taxes. Please give me the letter on the card that is the closest.
(a) (b) (C) (d)
(e)
(1)
(a) (b)
(i) (1) (k) (1) (m)
(n) ©
$\bigcirc$ refused
don't know
17. Do you own a second home or time share in the Florida Keys?Yes
No
18. On this trip, are you paying your own expenses, sharing expenses or is someone else paying your expenses?
own expenses
$\rightarrow$ Beside your own expenses, how

- shared expenses
someone else paying expenses many other people are you paying for on this trip?
$>$ With how many people are you sharing expenses?
$\qquad$
$\qquad$


## Exhibit 6

## Interviewer: Mailback Information

We would like to collect some additional information on your (expenditures or satisfaction) with the Florida Keys during your trip. Please take this (satisfaction or expenditure) questionnaire and return it to us in the mail. The questionnaire has a business reply page and postage is pre-paid. It will cost you nothing to return to us. The information gained from these questions are very important to all those responsible for making your trip to the Florida Keys an enjoyable experience. As an incentive to return your questionnaires, a sweepstakes has been organized by the local business community. Hand brochure describing sweepstakes By returning your questionnaire with your name and address, we will enter you in the sweepstakes.
Reminder: Your name and address and all personal information collected in this project are protected under the Privacy Act. After the survey is completed and the sweepstakes prizes awarded, all name and address information will be destroyed. No one will be allowed to use this information for contacting you about any promotions.
19. Will you complete this questionnaire?


## Satisfaction

We suggest completing the satisfaction questionnaire on your way home while your thoughts about your trip to the Florida Keys are fresh.

## Expenditure

The expenditure survey should be completed after your trip is over and you have returned home.

## Interviewer: Code on-site survey number and location on mailback

Show example of mailback questionnaire, where to start, the types of questions that are asked, and how to seal it to mail back
20. Please give us your name and address. In the event that we do not receive the take home questionnaire we will send you another.

Satisfaction name and address

Name: $\qquad$

Address: $\qquad$

City: $\qquad$ State: $\qquad$ Zip: $\qquad$
21. If someone other than yourself paid for all your expenses on this trip to the Florida Keys, we would like that person to answer the questionnaire. Will you please give us the name and address of that person?

Expenditure name and address Same as satisfaction
Name: $\qquad$

Address: $\qquad$

City: $\qquad$ State: $\qquad$ Zip: $\qquad$

This concludes our interview. Thank you for your time. In appreciation for your participation, we would like to offer you this gift.

## July 1995

Exhibit 7 Airport Survey Calendar


## August 1995



January 1996


February 1996


## March 1996



## April 1996



Exhibit 8

> Tally Sheet Air Survey

Sites

```
Key West (KW)
Marathon (M)
```

1. Are you a permanent resident of Monroe County?


| 1 | 2 | 3 | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Site |  |  | Date <br> Permanent <br> Resedent | Non <br> Recreating/ <br> Tourist <br> Visitor | (isitor <br> Refusal or <br> Language <br> Barrier |
|  |  |  |  | Visitor <br> Interviewed |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

Exhibit 9
Air Enplanement Counts
KEYWEST AIRPORT ENPLANEMENTS (ENP) AND DEPLANEMENTS (DEP) 1993-1996

|  | 1993 |  | 1994 |  | 1995 |  | 1996 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ENP | DEP | ENP | DEP | ENP | DEP | ENP | DEP |
| January | 18,856 | 16,803 | 22,911 | 20,550 | 22,605 | 19,751 | 27,119 | 24,444 |
| February | 18,559 | 18,565 | 22,310 | 22,903 | 22,367 | 21,451 | 28,927 | 28,905 |
| March | 20,956 | 19,785 | 26,707 | 25,613 | 26,203 | 25,913 | 32,861 | 30,166 |
| April | 19,254 | 19,072 | 24,954 | 23,450 | 24,100 | 22,331 | 30,227 | 26,663 |
| May | 19,563 | 18,436 | 24,240 | 22,273 | 22,759 | 20,984 | 25,932 | 24,657 |
| June | 16,580 | 16,429 | 19,467 | 18,383 | 18,347 | 18,555 |  |  |
| July | 16,793 | 16,810 | 19,582 | 19,241 | 17,755 | 16,533 |  |  |
| August | 17,330 | 16,600 | 19,033 | 18,670 | 15,955 | 15,822 |  |  |
| September | 15,443 | 15,754 | 16,843 | 16,840 | 14,361 | 14,598 |  |  |
| October | 17,081 | 18,919 | 18,744 | 18,856 | 19,438 | 20,137 |  |  |
| November | 19,755 | 18,012 | 17,184 | 15,632 | 22,835 | 22,160 |  |  |
| December | 18,647 | 21,184 | 18,322 | 20,993 | 21,171 | 23,912 |  |  |
| TOTAL | 218,817 | 216,369 | 250,297 | 243,404 | 247,896 | 242,147 | 145,066 | 134,835 |

MARATHON AIRPORT ENPLANEMENTS (ENP) AND DEPLANEMENTS (DEP) 1993-1996

|  | 1993 |  | 1994 |  | 1995 |  | 1996 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ENP | DEP | ENP | DEP | ENP | DEP | ENP | DEP |
| January | 2,913 | 2,701 | 2,889 | 2,657 | 3,695 | 3,286 | 4,288 | 3,878 |
| February | 2,884 | 2,874 | 3,007 | 2,796 | 3,920 | 4,006 | 4,469 | 4,646 |
| March | 3,308 | 3,008 | 3,474 | 3,169 | 4,889 | 4,596 | 4,896 | 4,357 |
| April | 2,989 | 2,767 | 3,090 | 2,724 | 3,841 | 3,517 | 4,651 | 3,744 |
| May | 2,685 | 2,511 | 2,830 | 2,667 | 3,239 | 2,872 | 3,037 | 2,747 |
| June | 2,140 | 2,085 | 2,185 | 2,040 | 2,337 | 2,317 |  |  |
| July | 2,078 | 2,005 | 2,071 | 1,925 | 2,451 | 2,148 |  |  |
| August | 1,917 | 1,843 | 2,109 | 1,993 | 2,165 | 2,007 |  |  |
| September | 1,565 | 1,509 | 1,700 | 1,519 | 1,748 | 1,500 |  |  |
| October | 1,750 | 1,928 | 1,887 | 1,924 | 2,271 | 2,493 |  |  |
| November | 2,170 | 1,946 | 2,493 | 2,300 | 2,950 | 2,769 |  |  |
| December | 2,226 | 2,486 | 2,459 | 2,646 | 2,865 | 2,996 |  |  |
| TOTAL | 28,625 | 27,663 | 30,194 | 28,360 | 36,371 | 34,507 | 21,341 | 19,372 |

SOURCE: Monroe County Airport Authority


## August 1995 Sample (6)

Exhibit 10 Interview Passengers
Exhibit 10 Cruise Ship Survey Calendar

| Sun | Mon | Tue | Wed | Thu | Fri | S at |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count passeng of | nt (2) umber of rs getting ships | $\mathbf{1}$ Cancelled due to storm | 2 | 3 | 4 <br> $\begin{array}{l}\text { Sample } \\ \text { Truman Annex }\end{array}$ | 5 |
| 6 | 7 | 8 <br> Sample <br> Truman Annex | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| 13 | 14 | 15 <br> Sample <br> Truman Annex | 16 | 17 | $\mathbf{1} 8$  <br> Count  <br> Zenith  <br> Sample Mallory <br> Square  | 19 |
| 20 | 21 <br> Sample <br> Truman Annex | 22 <br> Count <br> Ecstasy <br> Sample <br> Truman Annex | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 |
| 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 | 31 |  |  |

January 1996


February 1996
Exhibit 10 Cruise Ship Survey Calendar

| Sun |  | on |  |  | Wed |  | Fri | Sat |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample Intervie Passen | (3) <br> ers | Count (5) <br> Count Number of passengers getting off ships |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| 4 | 5 |  | 6 | 7 |  | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} \hline \mathbf{1} 1 \\ \text { Count } \\ \text { Noordam } \end{array}$ | 12 |  | 13 | 14 |  | 15 | 16 | 17 <br> Count <br> Leeward <br> Sample <br> Truman Annex |
| 18 | 19 | Costa <br> ica | 20 | 21 |  | 22 | $\begin{array}{ll} \hline 23 & \\ \text { Count } & \\ \text { Crown } & \text { Dynasty } \\ \text { Sample } & \\ \text { Truman } & \text { Annex } \end{array}$ | 24 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathbf{2} 5 \\ & \text { Count } \\ & \text { Royal Majesty } \\ & \text { Sample } \\ & \text { Truman Annex } \end{aligned}$ | 26 |  | 27 | 28 |  | 29 |  |  |

March 1996


Exhibit 11
Tally Sheet
Cruise Ships
Sites
$\square$ Mallory Square (MS)
$\square$ Truman Annex (TA)

1. Are you a permanent resident of Monroe County?
$\square \quad$ YES Thank you. We are only interviewing nonresidents of Monroe County. (Place tic mark in Column 3)
$\square \quad \mathrm{NO} \longrightarrow$
2. Will you participate in a short 5-10 minute interview about your visit to the Florida Keys?
$\square$ No Thank you. (Place tic mark in column 4)
$\square$ Yes (Place tic mark in column 5)
Begin interview.

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Site | Date | Permanent Resedent | Visitor Refusal or Language Barrier | Visitor Interviewed |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Exhibit 12

## Cruise Ship Passenger Counts

## Key West Cruise Ship Passenger Arrivals



| October | 17 | 13,021 | 22 | 18,713 | 33 | 30,915 | 26 | 25,695 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| November | 21 | 16,848 | 42 | 37,941 | 42 | 40,540 | 31 | 29,456 |
| December | 19 | 15,207 | 39 | 37,871 | 49 | 47,200 | 37 | 39,495 |
| January | 29 | 25,361 | 19 | 33,028 | 47 | 46,282 | 32 | 38,921 |
| February | 27 | 22,330 | 36 | 41,626 | 40 | 41,668 | 31 | 37,575 |
| March | 33 | 29,151 | 49 | 50,620 | 44 | 50,823 | 38 | 48,067 |
| April | 25 | 29,419 | 50 | 48,614 | 44 | 50,858 | 40 | 46,745 |
| May | 30 | 20,914 | 41 | 42,322 | 23 | 24,187 | 23 | 24,382 |
| June | 25 | 21,930 | 30 | 36,248 | 10 | 14,605 |  |  |
| July | 26 | 23,722 | 33 | 38,388 | 13 | 20,358 |  |  |
| August | 29 | 25,177 | 35 | 42,030 | 10 | 15,529 |  |  |
| September | 19 | 12,497 | 24 | 24,901 | 13 | 15,405 |  |  |
| TOTAL | 300 | 255,577 | 420 | 452,302 | 368 | 398,370 | 157 | 171,142 |
| Change From |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Previous Year |  | 83.0\% |  | 77.0\% |  | -11.9\% |  | -12.9\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | YTD |
| FISCAL YEAR: OCTOBER 1 THROUGH SEPTEMBER 30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Number | Activities Using Boats and Personal Watercraft |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | Snorkeling |
| 100 A | Snorkeling from charter/party boat (pay operation) |
| 101 A | Snorkeling from Rental boat |
| 102 A | Snorkeling from private boat |
|  | Scuba Diving |
| 200 A | Scuba diving from charter/party boat (pay operation) |
| 201 A | Scuba diving from rental boat |
| 202 A | Scuba diving from private boat |
|  | Special Activities while Snorkeling or Scuba Diving |
| 300 | Diving for lobsters |
| 301 | Underwater photography |
| 302 | Wreck diving |
| 303 | Spear fishing |
|  | Fishing-Offshore |
| 400 A | Fishing from charter boat (pay operation six persons or less) - offshore |
| 401 A | Fishing from party or head boat (charge per person) - off shore |
| 402 A | Fishing from rental boat - offshore |
| 403 A | Fishing from private boat - offshore |
|  | Fishing - Flats or Back Country |
| 404 A | Fishing from Charter/party boat (pay operation) - flats or back country |
| 405 A | Fishing from rental boat - flats or back country |
| 406 A | Fishing from private boat - flats or back country |
|  | Other Fishing |
| 407 A | Other fishing from charter boat (pay operation six persons or less) |
| 408 A | Other Fishing from party or head boat (charge per person) |
| 409 A | Other fishing from rental boat |
| 410 A | Other fishing from private boat |
|  | Viewing Nature and Wildlife |
| 500 A | Glass bottom boat rides (pay operation) |
| 501 A | Back country boating excursions (pay operation/guided service/NOT FISHING) |
| 502 A | Viewing nature and wildlife from private or rental boat |
|  | Personal Watercraft (jet skis, wave runners, etc.) |
| 600 A | Personal watercraft - rental |
| 601 A | Personal watercraft - private |
|  | Sailing |
| 700 A | Sailing charter/party boat (pay operation) |
| 701 A | Sailing rental boat |
| 702 A | Sailing private boat |
|  | Other Activities NOT MENTIONED ABOVE (parasailing, hang gliding, sunset cruises, water-skiing) |
| 800 A | Other activities from charter/party (pay operation) |
| 801 A | Other activities from rental boat |
| 802 A | Other activities from private boat |

## ACTIVITIES LIST

| Number Other Water-Based Activities - NO BOATS |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | Snorkeling \& Scuba Diving |
| 10 A | Snorkeling from shore |
| 11 A | Scuba diving from shore |
|  | Special Activities while Diving from Shore |
| 12 | Diving for lobsters |
| 13 | Underwater photography |
| 14 A | Fishing from shore (beach, bank, pier, bridge, jetty, dock) |
| 15 A | Swimming at Beaches (not in pool) |
| 16 A | Swimming in Outdoor pool |
| 17 | Swimming with Dolphins |
| 18 A | Windsurfing or sailboarding |
| Number | Land-Based_Activities |
|  | Nature Study - Wildlife Observation - Photography |
| 19 A | Wildlife observation or wildlife photography |
| 20 A | Other nature study and observation |
| 21 | Photography (not including wildlife) |
|  | Camping - Backpacking - Hiking - Picnicking |
| 22 | Backpacking |
| 23 | Camping in developed campgrounds |
| 24 | Camping in primitive campgrounds |
| 25 | Day Hiking |
| 26 | Attending ranger guided walk |
| 27 | Self-guided nature or historic trails |
| 28 | Picnicking |
|  | Cultural, Historic and Tourist Attractions |
| 29 A | Visiting historic areas, sites, buildings or memorials |
| 30 | Attending special events (fairs, festivals, ceremonies, etc.) |
| 31 | Attending outdoor concerts, plays or other outdoor performances |
| 32 | Attending indoor concerts, plays, performances or events |
| 33 | Sight-seeing tours and tourist attractions (paid) |
| 34 | Sight-seeing (not paid tours) |
| 35 | Reading roadside exhibits or markers |
| 36 A | Visiting a museum, educational facility or information center |
| 37 | Attending outdoor sports events (sailing or boat races; spectator at fishing tournament) |
|  | Outdoor sports |
| 38 | Golf |
| 39 | Tennis outdoors |
| 40 | Participation in other outdoor sports and games |
|  | Bicycling - Horseback riding - Driving for Pleasure |
| 41 | Bicycling |
| 42 | Horseback riding |
| 43 | Driving for pleasure (mopeds, motorcycles) |
|  | Beach Activities - Sunbathing |
| 44 A | All Beach Activities (other than swimming) |
| 45 | Sunbathing (not at beach) |

Exhibit 14



[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ We compared visitor profiles collected by the Monroe County Tourist Development council for years 1991 and 1992. In these years, both months included and excluded from our sample were included and origin and length of stay information was available to compare visitors during different months. We found that visitors during June, September, October, and November more like visitors during July and August than during January-April, and visitors during December and May were more like visitors during the January-April season than the July-August season. We also talked with several businesses throughout the Florida Keys about our season aggregations. The overwhelming majority agreed with our aggregations. Those that questioned it, thought that there was a definite season break at Thanksgiving. Dividing the month of November into before and after Thanksgiving would not be possible with air enplanement data or cruise ship passenger counts. But this may not be necessary anyway because our method of counting visitation captures people at the end of their trip and the information we obtain is about the time they spent on their current visit. Thus, most of the visitors that arrived after Thanksgiving would be counted in December counts. Therefore, we placed November in the June-November season.
    ${ }^{2}$ Sample weighting will be discussed in Chapter 2 of this appendix.
    ${ }^{3}$ The Bicentennial Volunteers, Inc. is an organization made-up of primarily retired Tennessee Valley Authority employees. Members volunteer their time to various public projects throughput the Nation. The volunteers are engaged in a variety of efforts from teaching water safety classes to manning visitor centers at local, state, and federal parks. A cadre of volunteers have their own recreational vehicles (RVs) and have been doing survey work for federal and state agencies since 1988. The U.S. Forest Service and NOAA have used the volunteers at over 100 sites since 1988. We negotiate for free campsites for the volunteers and reimburse them for mileage and provide them $\$ 38$ per couple per day for food and other costs. There were 12 volunteers in the Florida Keys during July-August, 1995 and 18 during January-April, 1996.
    ${ }^{4}$ Off-duty members of the Florida Highway Patrol, the Monroe County Sheriff's Department, and the Florida Marine Patrol were hired to implement traffic control and provide security to our interviewers (the Bicentennial volunteers). Lt. Russ Bass of the Florida Highway Patrol coordinated all the officers. Lt. Hank Arnold of the Monroe County Sheriff's Department handled administrative details and coordinated scheduling for the Monroe County Sheriff's Department during the JulyAugust, 1995 sampling period. Two officers were used on each sampling day. This was especially important during the summer because of the heat. Officers took half-hour turns directing traffic to the parking lot.
    ${ }^{5}$ The Kolmogorov-Smirnoff, two-sample test tests for differences in the entire empirical distribution function not just particular moments of the distribution like the mean or median.

[^1]:    * Kolmogorov-Smirnoff Two-Sample Test for differences in the empirical distribution function.

